Towards Interpretable Semantic Segmentation via Gradient-Weighted Class Activation Mapping (Student Abstract)

Kira Vinogradova, Alexandr Dibrov, Gene Myers

Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Dresden, Germany
Center for Systems Biology Dresden, Germany
vinograd@mpi-cbg.de

Abstract

Convolutional neural networks have become state-of-the-art in a wide range of image recognition tasks. The interpretation of their predictions, however, is an active area of research. Whereas various interpretation methods have been suggested for image classification, the interpretation of image segmentation still remains largely unexplored. To that end, we propose SEG-GRAD-CAM, a gradient-based method for interpreting semantic segmentation. Our method is an extension of the widely-used Grad-CAM method, applied locally to produce heatmaps showing the relevance of individual pixels for semantic segmentation.

Introduction

Approaches based on deep learning, and convolutional neural networks (CNNs) in particular, have recently substantially improved the performance for various image understanding tasks, such as image classification, object detection, and image segmentation. However, our understanding of *why* and *how* CNNs achieve state-of-the-art results is rather immature.

One avenue to remedy this is to visually indicate which regions of an input image are (especially) important for the decision made by a CNN. These so-called *heatmaps* can thus be useful to understand a CNN, for example to check that it does not focus on idiosyncratic details of the training images that will not generalize to unseen images.

Gradient-based heatmap methods have generally been popular in the context of image classification. A simple approach are *saliency maps* (Simonyan, Vedaldi, and Zisserman 2014), which are obtained via the derivative of the logit y^c (the score of class c before the softmax) with respect to all pixels of the input image. Hence, they highlight pixels whose change would affect the score of class c the most. A more recent and widely-used method by Selvaraju et al. 2017 is *gradient-weighted class activation mapping* (Grad-CAM). It first uses the aggregated gradients of logit y^c with respect to chosen feature layers to determine their general relevance for the decision of the network. Based on this relevance, a heatmap is obtained as a weighted average of the

Figure 1: SEG-GRAD-CAM for a single pixel (white dot) and class *Flat*. The heatmap is obtained with respect to a convolutional layer at the bottleneck (i.e. end of contracting path) of a U-Net (Ronneberger, Fischer, and Brox 2015).

activations of the respective feature layers (feature maps). Grad-CAM can be seen as a generalization of CAM (Zhou et al. 2016) , which could only produce class activation mappings for CNNs with a special architecture.

Methods that provide visual explanations for the decisions of neural networks have predominantly focused on the task of image classification. In this work, we go beyond that and are interested in explaining the decisions of CNNs for semantic image segmentation. To that end, we propose SEG-GRAD-CAM, and extension of Grad-CAM for semantic segmentation, which can produce heatmaps that explain the relevance for the decision of individual pixels or regions in the input image. We demonstrate that our approach produces reasonable visual explanations for the commonly-used Cityscapes datasets (Cordts et al. 2016).

Concurrent to our work, Hoyer et al. have independently proposed a method for the visual explanation of semantic segmentation CNNs (Hoyer et al. 2019). They assume co-occurences of some classes are important for their segmentation. However, their approach is not based on Grad-CAM, but on perturbation analysis, and is rather different from ours since it focuses on identification of contextual biases.

To the best of our knowledge, we present the first approach to produce visual explanations of CNNs for semantic

segmentation, specifically by extending Grad-CAM.

Method

As mentioned above, our approach is based on Grad-CAM (Selvaraju et al. 2017), which we first briefly explain. Let $\{A^k\}_{k=1}^K$ be selected feature maps of interest (K kernels of the last convolutional layer of a classification network), and y^c the logit for a chosen class c. Grad-CAM averages the gradients of y^c with respect to all N pixels (indexed by u, v) of each feature map A^k to produce a weight α_c^k to denote its importance. The heatmap

$$L^c = \mathrm{ReLU}\left(\sum_k \alpha_c^k A^k\right) \ \text{ with } \ \alpha_c^k = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{u,v}\frac{\partial y^c}{\partial A_{uv}^k} \quad (1)$$

is then generated by using these weights to sum the feature maps; finally, ReLU is applied pixel-wise to clip negative values at zero, to only highlight areas that positively contribute to the decision for class c.

Whereas a classification network predicts a single class distribution per input image x, a CNN for semantic segmentation typically produces logits y_{ij}^c for every pixel x_{ij} and class c. Hence, we propose SEG-GRAD-CAM by replacing y^c by $\sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{M}} y_{ij}^c$ in Eq. (1), where $\mathcal{M}$ is a set of pixel indices of interest in the output mask. This allows to adapt Grad-CAM to a semantic segmentation network in a flexible way, since $\mathcal{M}$ can denote just a single pixel, or pixels of an object instance, or simply all pixels of the image. Furthermore, we explore using feature maps from intermediate convolutional layers, not only the last one as used in Selvaraju et al. 2017.

Experiments

We demonstrate our approach by training a U-Net (Ronneberger, Fischer, and Brox 2015) for semantic segmentation of the popular *Cityscapes* dataset (Cordts et al. 2016). We generally find that the convolutional layers of the U-Net bottleneck (end of the encoder before upsampling) are more informative than the layers close to the end of the U-Net decoder, which would be more similar to those inspected by Selvaraju et al. 2017. As a sanity check, we do observe (not shown) that heatmaps produced from the initial convolutional layers exhibit edge-like structures, which does agree with common knowledge that early convolutional layers pick up on low-level image features. Feature maps located between the bottleneck and last layer successively give rise to heatmaps that look more and more similar to the logits of the selected class and the output segmentation mask.

Fig. 1 shows a heatmap produced by SEG-GRAD-CAM for a bottleneck layer of the U-Net when $\mathcal{M}$ denotes a single pixel. The visually highlighted region seems plausible, mostly indicating similar pixels of the selected class. Note that the heatmap shows the weighted sum of feature maps activated for the whole image (cf. Eq. 1), and can thus go beyond the receptive field of the CNN for the selected pixel, whose relevance is only for determining the weights α_c^k. Furthermore, Fig. 2 shows a heatmap for class *Sky* when $\mathcal{M}$ indicates all pixels of the image; it most strongly highlights pixels of a tree (class *Nature*), which may be highly informative to predict *Sky* pixels.


Figure 2: SEG-GRAD-CAM for all pixels and class *Sky*. The heatmap is obtained with respect to a convolutional layer at the bottleneck (i.e. end of contracting path) of a U-Net (Ronneberger, Fischer, and Brox 2015).

Discussion and Future Work

Our initial results seem promising, and we would like to systematically investigate the generated heatmaps of our SEG-GRAD-CAM method in the future. Concretely, we want to compare and reason about different intermediate feature maps that can be chosen for visualization. Furthermore, it might be helpful to truncate the extent of the heatmap only to regions that are directly relevant for the prediction at pixels contained in $\mathcal{M}$. For a fixed class c, it would also be interesting to compare the weights $\{\alpha_c^k\}_{k=1}^K$ as obtained at different locations. Finally, we aim to explore other interpretation approaches (Montavon, Samek, and Müller 2018) and plan to demonstrate the merits of our method quantitatively, based on a suitable synthetic dataset.

References

Cordts, M.; Omran, M.; Ramos, S.; Rehfeld, T.; Enzweiler, M.; Benenson, R.; Franke, U.; Roth, S.; and Schiele, B. 2016. The cityscapes dataset for semantic urban scene understanding. In *CVPR*.

Hoyer, L.; Munoz, M.; Katiyar, P.; Khoreva, A.; and Fischer, V. 2019. Grid saliency for context explanations of semantic segmentation. In *NeurIPS*.

Montavon, G.; Samek, W.; and Müller, K.-R. 2018. Methods for interpreting and understanding deep neural networks. *Digital Signal Processing* 73:1–15.

Ronneberger, O.; Fischer, P.; and Brox, T. 2015. U-Net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *MICCAI*.

Selvaraju, R. R.; Cogswell, M.; Das, A.; Vedantam, R.; Parikh, D.; and Batra, D. 2017. Grad-CAM: Visual explanations from deep networks via gradient-based localization. In *ICCV*.

Simonyan, K.; Vedaldi, A.; and Zisserman, A. 2014. Deep inside convolutional networks: Visualising image classification models and saliency maps. In *ICLR Workshop*.

Zhou, B.; Khosla, A.; Lapedriza, A.; Oliva, A.; and Torralba, A. 2016. Learning deep features for discriminative localization. In *CVPR*.