AI-Based Energy Transportation Safety: Pipeline Radial Threat Estimation Using Intelligent Sensing System

Chengyuan Zhu¹, Yiyuan Yang^{2*}, Kaixiang Yang^{3†}, Haifeng Zhang⁴, Qinmin Yang^{1†}, C. L. Philip Chen³

¹Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China ²University of Oxford, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom ³South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China ⁴Research Institute of Tsinghua University, Pearl River Delta, Guangzhou, China zhuchengyuan517@zju.edu.cn, yiyuan.yang@cs.ox.ac.uk, yangkaixiang@zju.edu.cn, zhanghf@tsinghua-gd.org, qmyang@zju.edu.cn, philipchen@scut.edu.cn

Abstract

The application of artifcial intelligence technology has greatly enhanced and fortifed the safety of energy pipelines, particularly in safeguarding against external threats. The predominant methods involve the integration of intelligent sensors to detect external vibration, enabling the identifcation of event types and locations, thereby replacing manual detection methods. However, practical implementation has exposed a limitation in current methods - their constrained ability to accurately discern the spatial dimensions of external signals, which complicates the authentication of threat events. Our research endeavors to overcome the above issues by harnessing deep learning techniques to achieve a more fne-grained recognition and localization process. This refnement is crucial in effectively identifying genuine threats to pipelines, thus enhancing the safety of energy transportation. This paper proposes a radial threat estimation method for energy pipelines based on distributed optical fber sensing technology. Specifcally, we introduce a continuous multi-view and multi-domain feature fusion methodology to extract comprehensive signal features and construct a threat estimation and recognition network. The utilization of collected acoustic signal data is optimized, and the underlying principle is elucidated. Moreover, we incorporate the concept of transfer learning through a pre-trained model, enhancing both recognition accuracy and training efficiency. Empirical evidence gathered from real-world scenarios underscores the effcacy of our method, notably in its substantial reduction of false alarms and remarkable gains in recognition accuracy. More generally, our method exhibits versatility and can be extrapolated to a broader spectrum of recognition tasks and scenarios.

Introduction

Energy safety has a signifcant impact on natural safety and social stability. Among them, energy transportation is an important part of energy safety. Pipelines undertake the majority of energy transportation capacity, including oil, natural gas, hydrogen, etc (Xiang et al. 2022). Especially in the oil and gas feld, according to statistics, 70% of crude oil in the United States is transported through pipelines, and the total length of global energy pipelines has exceeded 4 million kilometers. However, long-distance energy pipelines are in open natural areas and pose great safety risks. Pipeline leakage and breakage accidents may threaten human life, property and health, and have a negative and nonnegligible social impact. In 2022, the Nord Stream natural gas pipeline suffered external damage and leaked, resulting in multiple explosions¹. In the same year, more than 14,000 barrels of crude oil leaked from the pipeline of Kansas, USA, causing significant economic loss and environmental pollution².

To ensure pipeline safety, a large amount of manpower and resources have been invested in pipeline inspection recently. The development of intelligent sensing, data-driven, and advanced artifcial intelligence (AI) technology has empowered the pipeline safety feld (Zhang, Liu, and He 2019; Yang et al. 2022a; Bao and Yang 2021). Therefore, industry experts and researchers have begun to combine sensing measurement technology with AI algorithms to achieve pipeline safety early warning (PSEW) (Zhu et al. 2023a,b; Yang, Zhang, and Li 2021). Among them, distributed optical fber sensing (DOFS) technology plays a crucial role and has been widely recognized by the industry. On the one hand, it has the advantages of high sensitivity, long-distance continuous monitoring, low cost, anti-electromagnetic interference, etc. On the other hand, the device is easy to deploy in stations along the pipeline. The storage and invocation of data, as well as the training and updating of algorithms, have become convenient (Zhu et al. 2022; Yang et al. 2022b).

However, the current development of this technology still faces many important challenges. (1) There are complex background noise and interference signals in the external en-

^{*}Work done as a student in Tsinghua University. He is now with the Department of Computer Science, University of Oxford, OX1 3SA, Oxford, UK.

[†]Corresponding author

Copyright © 2024, Association for the Advancement of Artifcial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

¹https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2022_Nord_Stream_pipeline_sab otage

²https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2022_Keystone_Pipeline_oil_spill

Figure 1: The structure of an typical optical-fber-based PSEW facility and architecture of the DAS system.

vironment of the pipeline, making it diffcult to distinguish similar signals. (2) The identifcation and location of threat events are only based on the pipeline axial location (i.e., defense zone), and it is diffcult to determine the radial location of the threat event from the pipeline, which places higher requirements on spatial recognition ability. (3) The false alarm rate (FAR) is quite high, with a large number of false early warnings occurring in the radial direction of the pipeline, which wastes a lot of verifcation costs and is not conducive to optimizing scheduling and other maintenance measures.

These challenges greatly limit the accuracy and efficiency of DOFS technology in safety monitoring and warning for energy pipelines. To address the challenges currently faced by DOFS technology, this paper uses an advanced deep learning algorithm framework to build a fne-grained pipeline radial threat recognition algorithm, which helps pipeline workers more accurately judge and process warning results. Specifcally, we frst collect data from real sites and preprocess the original signal of fber optic sensing to enhance signal quality, including signal denoising and reconstruction. Secondly, we integrate multi-view and multidomain features from both the time-domain, frequencydomain and space-domain of continuous defense zones in feature construction. Next, the EfficientNetv2 model with the introduction of transfer learning is used to classify the threat level and identify the external damage events in the real danger area. Finally, the processing decisions of external threats to pipelines are subdivided with experts in the energy pipeline feld, and unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) verifcation and intervention are taken to the real threats.

Our main contributions are summarized as follows.

- Compared with previous work, we focus on fne-grained identifcation of PSEW issues. We enhance the early warning system by estimating the distance of external damage events along the pipeline's radial direction, effectively mining valuable features from sensing signals.
- We innovate a preprocessing technique for extracting multi-view, multi-domain features from continuous space-time-frequency data. This approach maximizes the

potential of optical fber acoustic signals gathered during real-world scenarios. Our experiments confrm the superiority of our method over alternatives.

- We elevate model performance and classifcation outcomes through data augmentation, composite scale feature extraction, and pre-training models. This also accelerates model training, expediting convergence.
- Our method accurately recognizes safety threats from external pipeline damage, minimizing operational expenses while ensuring timely alerts. This contributes to a stable energy supply and societal progress.

Related Works

Distributed Optical Fiber Sensing for PSEW

DOFS has the advantages of high sensitivity, distributed detection, and high signal-to-noise ratio of vibration signals (Yang, Li, and Zhang 2021). For long-distance monitoring, the measurement range and spatial resolution of the system are two important indicators that need to be balanced. Moreover, the DOFS system used for pipelines needs a longer measurement range and usually needs to continuously monitor tens to hundreds of kilometers, so the spatial resolution is low. In the PSEW feld (Zhu et al. 2023c; Yang and Zhao 2022), it is hoped that the DOFS system has both a high accuracy rate and a low false alarm rate to reduce the frequency of false alarms, so as to reduce the operation and maintenance cost of the measures taken after each early warning. However, due to the complex environment of long-distance pipeline (Zhu et al. 2023d; Lyu et al. 2023), it is still a challenging problem in practical applications to classify and identify various vibrations with a high recognition rate.

Distributed acoustic sensing (DAS) (Wu et al. 2019) is a novel technology that enables continuously distributed detection of vibrations and acoustic felds. In the intrusion detection methods of the DAS system, the pattern recognition algorithms can classify vibration events (Xu et al. 2017; Wu et al. 2020b). Yang et al. constructed a multi-feature fusion convolution neural network (CNN) and LightGBM model based on two new complementary spatial-temporal features

Figure 2: The feature construction of multi-view and multi-domain based on space-time-frequency information fusion.

and achieved an effective recognition rate of more than 95% in the real environment (Yang, Zhang, and Li 2021). More effective feature extraction was the key to improving the recognition accuracy (Liu et al. 2022; Yang et al. 2021a). New research began to try feature extraction and recognition technology based on multidimensional signals (Liang et al. 2019; Li et al. 2023). Meanwhile, the real-time transformation of massive raw data into useful sensing signals is an important direction for future research (Yang, Zhang, and Li 2021; Zhang, Li, and Yang 2023). In general, DOFS provides a transformative means for the perception of the physical world and has signifcant implications for advancement.

Spatial Localization for Pipeline External Threats

As shown in Fig. 1, spatial localization for pipeline external threats can be divided into two main parts, pipeline axial and radial localization. The axial direction corresponds to the defense zone in the DOFS system. The radial direction represents the direction perpendicular to the pipeline. Fig. 1 demonstrates the intelligent identifcation, localization and decision-making intervention process of the entire PSEW system. First, the optical module (2) of the DAS system obtains the acoustic signal along the external pipeline through the parallel communication optical fiber (1)). The parallel communication optical fber is laid along with the pipeline construction. The optical module is responsible for transmitting optical signals. Second, the DAS system obtains and analyzes the perception signal in real time through the signal acquisition module (3) and the signal analysis module (4) . Among them, the signal acquisition module realizes the conversion and digital demodulation of photoelectric signals and stores the signals of each defense zone as one-dimensional timing signals. The signal analysis module deploys the proposed method by our research on the upper computer for signal processing and pattern recognition.

After providing early warning information, UAVs are dispatched for verification and intervention (5) .

When applying DOFS in the pipeline safety feld, researchers initially focused on detecting external intrusions to the pipeline (Lv et al. 2021; Yang et al. 2021b). Due to the fact that the DAS system continuously monitors the pipeline, with the sensing fbers often laid parallel to the pipeline, it is relatively easy to locate the occurrence position of intrusion events along the axial direction of the pipeline (Tejedor et al. 2016). Tejedor et al. made a series of works by combining DOFS with machine learning methods in the feld of pipeline safety warnings. Furthermore, researchers have increasingly paid attention to the types of external events in the axial direction of the pipeline and conducted research in the direction of pattern recognition (Tejedor et al. 2017, 2019). Pipeline axial localization can estimate the occurrence of external damage events of pipelines, so as to give early warning to threatening events. However, in addition to the model's performance issues, the radial distance of external hazardous events (such as mechanical construction) from the pipeline also caused a large number of false positives.

In the past, few researches conducted on the distance of hazardous events occurring in the radial direction of pipelines (Wu et al. 2020a). Nevertheless, optical fbers have a wide range of external sensing, which can generally sense vibration signals along the radial direction of the pipeline at around 50 meters. According to relevant industry standards and regulations, the safety distance for construction near oil and gas pipelines is typically 5 meters, while the safety distance for structures such as factories is 22.5 meters. Moreover, we classify the areas with different radial distances into three classes as shown in Fig. 1. No processing is required for the non-threat area. For the tracking area, we can continue monitoring the threatening behavior, and further determine its working condition in the next time step. For the alarm area, we need to take timely verifcation and intervention measures, such as sending UAVs for evidence.

Methodology

Signal Preprocessing and Feature Construction

The signals collected by the DAS system are of low signalto-noise ratio due to both device-generated noises and environmental noise, resulting in unsatisfactory signal quality. To address this issue, we frst perform a pre-processing operation of denoising and reconstructing the signals. Specifcally, we use variational mode decomposition (VMD) to enhance the signal quality, thereby improving the performance of the feature extraction and recognition network. VMD employs a non-repeated development process, avoiding modal distortion and ensuring operational efficiency (Dragomiretskiy and Zosso 2013; Pu et al. 2023).

Then, we mainly introduce the feature construction method proposed in this paper. Our approach is built on the basis of a signal time-frequency map and integrates multiview and multi-domain features from both the time-domain, frequency-domain and space-domain of continuous defense zones. As shown in Fig. 2, the vibration generated by external events has an effect on the axial localization defense zone and its adjacent defense zones. The location information of the radial direction can be hidden within the acoustics signals of adjacent defense zones to the axial localization defense zone. Therefore, we plot time-frequency maps (Parchami et al. 2016) for each i^{th} defense zone and its adjacent zone $i - 1$ and $i + 1$. Finally, we obtain a space-timefrequency fusion feature map through image stitching.

We perform this approach to achieve the same as multipoint spatial localization. We found that limited dimensional feature extraction results in signifcant feature overlap when attempting to achieve fne-grained levels of recognition tasks (such as radial localization). It is diffcult to distinguish radial location solely through the signal from a single defense zone, while the complementary information from adjacent defense zones can enhance behavioral features in space. The vibration transmission of excavating behavior and the fading law of sensing light can enable nearby defense zones to capture effective information on threat signals (Xu, Tao, and Xu 2013; Chen et al. 2023). Additionally, on the advice of energy pipeline experts, two adjacent defense zones are selected and the feature fusion of three zones proved to be a satisfactory result. Increasing the adjacent defense zone signals would result in redundant information, increased computational complexity, and reduce processing speed. Therefore, we construct features for threat signals as shown in Fig. 2 to better distinguish signals from three radial threat areas.

Threat Estimation and Recognition Network

Considering that radial threat estimation is performed on the basis of axial localization, from the perspective of the practical application of AI technology, we need to balance model performance and time consumption when building the recognition network. Therefore, lightweight design has more advantages. We choose to build the threat area recognition network based on EffcientNetV2 (Tan and Le 2021). EffcientNet comprehensively optimizes the effects of input

Figure 3: A composite scaling method that uniformly scales all three dimensions at a fxed ratio.

resolution, network depth, and network width to obtain the optimal network parameters for specifc tasks. Especially, a composite scaling method that uniformly scales all three dimensions at a fxed ratio (enhancing network width, depth, and resolution) is proposed. As shown in Fig. 3, a convolutional network layer i can be defined as:

$$
Y_i = F_i(X_i) \tag{1}
$$

where F denotes the operation, Y represents the output tensor, X is the input tensor with dimension $\langle H_i, W_i, C_i \rangle$. H and W are the spatial dimension, and C is the channel dimension. H_i , W_i , and C_i are predetermined parameters in the baseline network. CNN can defne the same or value of each convolution calculation in the network as:

$$
N = F_k \odot \ldots \odot F_2 \odot F_1 \left(X_1 \right) = \odot_i F_i^{dL_i} \left(X_{(rH_i, rW_i, wC_i)}^i \right) \tag{2}
$$

where $F_i^{dL_i}$ indicates that F_i is repeated L_i times in the stage $i. < H_i, W_i, C_i >$ represents the type of input tensor in the i^{th} layer, and w, d, and r are coefficients for scaling network width, depth, and resolution. F_i and L_i are also predetermined parameters in the baseline network.

The overall network structure of EfficientnetV2 is shown in Fig. 4. It mainly consists of MBconv and Fused-MBConv modules. In Fig. 4, we specifcally demonstrate the operation process of these two modules. The MBconv module includes an attention mechanism of sequence and extraction (SE) block (Hu, Shen, and Sun 2018). The purpose of the SE module is to obtain more important feature information through a weight matrix. Eq. 3 to 5 are performed on the feature map to obtain different weights assigned to different positions of the image from the channel domain.

The Thirty-Eighth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-24)

Figure 4: The structure of pipeline radial threat estimation and recognition network.

$$
z_c = \mathbf{F}_{sq}(\mathbf{u}_c) = \frac{1}{H \times W} \sum_{i=1}^{H} \sum_{j=1}^{W} u_c(i, j)
$$
 (3)

$$
\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{F}_{ex}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{W}) = \sigma(g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{W})) = \sigma(\mathbf{W}_2 \delta(\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{z})) \quad (4)
$$

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_c = \mathbf{F}_{scale} \left(\mathbf{u}_c, s_c \right) = s_c \mathbf{u}_c \tag{5}
$$

where $\mathbf{F}_{sq}(\cdot)$ represents global average pooling. H, W and C represent the height, width and channel respectively. $\mathbf{F}_{ex}(\cdot)$ represents two fully connected operations. σ and δ are the activation functions Sigmoid and ReLU respectively. W, W_1 and W_1 represent the weight. Both \mathbf{X}_c and $\mathbf{F}_{scale}(\cdot)$ mean the channel multiplication between the metric quantity s_c and the feature map u_c .

For the Fused-MBConv module, when expansion $= 1$, there is only one 3×3 convolution on the main branch, followed by the BN layer and SILU activation function, and a Dropout. Moreover, when expansion \neq 1, there is an ascending dimension of 3×3 convolution on the main branch, followed by the BN layer and SILU activation function, and then pass through 1×1 convolution, BN and Dropout.

Pre-training Model Based on Transfer Learning

Transfer learning refers to the ability to transfer knowledge from one domain to another. During training a neural network, it acquires knowledge information and converts it into corresponding weights (Weiss, Khoshgoftaar, and Wang 2016). These weights can be extracted and transferred to a new network model in the emerging feld. In computer vision, transfer learning is typically achieved by using a pretrained model. It is a model trained on large benchmark datasets to solve similar problems. The research on pipeline radial threat estimation faces challenges such as diffculty in obtaining data samples and limited dataset size. Therefore,

Figure 5: Experimental data collection in the real-world scenario. (a) Mechanical excavation in different radial threat areas. (b) UAV verifcation and intervention.

transfer learning based on pre-trained models can improve the learning efficiency and performance of the model.

Experiments and Analysis

The section introduces the dataset used to verify the effectiveness of our work, as well as the comparative experiments that we set up, evaluation indicators, implementation details, and the analysis and discussion of the experimental results.

Data Acquisition

Due to the uniqueness of the research domain and the novelty of the research issue, there are no relevant publicly available datasets that can be utilized. Therefore, we conduct on-site experiments in real-world scenarios to collect experimental data for validating our proposed method. As shown in Fig. 5 (a), we carry out signal acquisition for mechanical excavation events at different radial distances (5m, 20m, and 40m) in a certain deployed natural gas pipeline

Figure 6: The comparison of per-class evaluation indicators for the fve processing methods. (a) precision. (b) recall. (c) F1 score.

network in Zhejiang Province, China. The setting of three threat areas categories (alarm area, tracking area, and nonthreat area) is based on the requirements for construction safety distances for pipelines as per relevant global (industry) standards (Russo and Parisi 2016). Fig. 5 (b) shows that we dispatch UAVs for verifcation and intervention for the true early warning from an intelligent sensing system.

The device used is a self-developed DAS system. Some basic parameters of the system include the monitoring distance of 20 km (bidirectional), the spatial resolution set to 10 m, and the sampling frequency of 2 kHz. By dispatching excavator machines to simulate threat scenarios, the signals collected can truly refect the characteristics of the real site. It is evident that such an experiment is expensive, including the suspension of natural gas transmission and operation, as well as costs related to machinery and labor. Therefore, our dataset is limited in size. After the screening, we obtained 300 effective samples for each of the three areas, covering complete data for every 2,000 defense zones (sensing range of 20 kilometers) within the experimental area. The selected effective data samples only retain the time-sequence signals from the defense zone of excavating operation and adjacent defense areas to establish the data set for the experiment.

To ensure the performance of the model, we augment the dataset by increasing the sample set size. Meanwhile, to avoid the infuence of data imbalance on the model, each type of sample has a quantity of 1,000 (Fortuna, Martens, and Johansen 2020). This is a feature dataset that integrates expert knowledge³. Each sample data consists of 20,000 points, representing the sensing signal of the i^{th} construction area in 10 seconds. The labels come from the labeling (threat distance) when conducting experiments in the experimental areas. In addition, we randomly divide the dataset into training and testing sets according to a 4:1 ratio.

Experiment Setting

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed feature construction method, we conduct comparative experiments to compare the proposed method with four other processing methods, including raw data without any processing, timedomain feature (TF) extraction, time-frequency domain feature (TFF) extraction, and space-time-frequency domain feature (STFF) extraction without data enhancement. To ensure the validity of experimental results, the classifers are all based on the EffcientNetV2 model (Tan and Le 2021), and the model parameters are set according to the introduction in the previous sections. The model uses an SGD optimizer (set momentum $= 0.9$, weight decay $= 1e^{-4}$). The batch size is 8. In addition, we conduct model-level comparative experiments to demonstrate the advantages of the constructed recognition network and pre-trained model. Due to the consideration of training and recognition computational costs, the comparison network we established has the same level of depth, including MobileNetV2 (Sandler et al. 2018), EffcientNetV1 (Tan and Le 2019), and SwinT-B (Liu et al. 2021). Moreover, the performance of the model and the required training epoch are experimentally achieved without the use of pre-trained models. The signal preprocessing methods and recognition model are shown in the appendix 4 .

To demonstrate the superiority of our proposed method, we use per-class evaluation indexes (Precision, Recall and F1-score) and average evaluation indexes (P_{ave} , R_{ave} and $F1_{ave}$) to comprehensively evaluate the model. Since the sample size for each class is the same, the values of accuracy and average recall are the same. Therefore, we only use Recall to compare different models between the two indexes. Additionally, we also select false alarm rate (FAR) as an equally important evaluation metric.

Result and Analysis

The experimental results demonstrate that the feature set obtained from the multi-view and multi-domain fusion of continuous defense zones features signifcantly improves the model recognition performance, confrming our initial hypothesis. As shown in Fig. 6, it represents the recognition results of different methods. It can be seen that the differentiation effect becomes signifcant when the multi-view and multi-domain features of continuous defense zones are fused. This is because the multi-view and multi-domain features can capture more detailed information about the samples, which helps to improve the model's ability to distinguish between different types.

³ https://github.com/zhuchengyuan517/AAAI-7856-DATA.git

⁴ https://github.com/zhuchengyuan517/AAAI-7856-CODE.git

Figure 7: The training curves for different models. (a) The training loss curve of four recognition models. (b) The training loss curve and accuracy curve of our model without the pre-trained model.

| Method | $P_{ave} \uparrow (\%)$ | $R_{ave} \uparrow (\%)$ | $F1_{ave} \uparrow (\%)$ FAR $\downarrow (\%)$ | |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------|
| Raw data | 54.57 | 51.67 | 51.50 | 65.92 |
| TF | 55.74 | 53.83 | 53.59 | 44.52 |
| TFF | 67.80 | 63.75 | 63.33 | 26.72 |
| STFF | 88.02 | 87.50 | 87.48 | 5.81 |
| Ours | 97.82 | 97.67 | 97.69 | 0.99 |

Table 1: The comparison of comprehensive indexes of the five processing methods.

As shown in Table 1, the model performance is greatly improved with significant improvements in P_{ave} , R_{ave} , and $F1_{ave}$ for all three types using the STFF method. Furthermore, the proposed data augmentation techniques further enhance the model learning performance, resulting in improved recognition performance. It can be seen that the P_{ave} of the proposed method reaches 97.82%, with a signifcant advantage, 9.8% higher than the STFF without data augmentation of the best performance of other methods. R_{ave} and $F1_{ave}$ are also high, reaching more than 97%, which indicates that the model has good generalization ability. Especially, compared with the other four methods, the FAR of the proposed method reaches the best effect of 0.99%. The experimental results we presented are averaged over 10 repeated experiments. We found the misclassifed samples are all divided into tracking areas. This is a good sign because the tracking area also represents the potential threat. Moreover, the reason for this phenomenon may be that some samples in the alarm area are very close to the tracking area.

Fig. 7 (a) reports the training process of the network loss functions for four recognition models. It can be seen that our proposed model can converge faster. Additionally, we compare the training of the model without the pre-trained model, as shown in Fig. 7 (b). It takes 200 epochs to train the model before reaching the effect achieved by only 40 epochs using a pre-trained model. Table 2 shows the per-class and comprehensive evaluation indicators of different recognition models. It can also be seen from the table that our model has advantages in various indicators. Among them, P_{ave} , R_{ave} and $F1_{ave}$ all reach over 97%, higher than the other three models. Furthermore, we report on the params, FAR and the testing time consumption of the comparison's four models, as shown in Table 3. It can be seen that our method achieves the lowest FAR and the shortest response time. In

| Model | Class | | | Comprehensive |
|----------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|---------------------------|
| | No-threat Tracking | | Alarm | index |
| | Precision \uparrow (%) | | | $P_{ave} \uparrow (\%)$ |
| MobileNetV2 | 89.22 | 91.05 | 90.78 | 90.35 |
| EfficientNetV1 | 97.95 | 100 | 88.39 | 95.45 |
| SwinT-B | 93.63 | 97.31 | 92.38 | 94.44 |
| Our method | 100 | 93.46 | 100 | 97.82 |
| | Recall \uparrow (%) | | | $R_{ave} \uparrow (\%)$ |
| MobileNetV2 | 91.00 | 86.50 | 93.50 | 90.33 |
| EfficientNetV1 | 95.50 | 90.50 | 99.00 | 95.00 |
| SwinT-B | 95.50 | 90.50 | 97.00 | 94.33 |
| Our method | 96.00 | 100 | 97.00 | 97.67 |
| | F1-score \uparrow (%) | | | $F1_{ave} \uparrow (\%)$ |
| MobileNetV2 | 90.01 | 88.72 | 92.12 | 90.31 |
| EfficientNetV1 | 96.71 | 95.01 | 93.40 | 95.04 |
| SwinT-B | 94.55 | 93.78 | 94.63 | 94.32 |
| Our method | 97.96 | 96.62 | 98.48 | 97.69 |

Table 2: The comparison of evaluation indicators for four network models.

| Model | Params \downarrow | FAR J | Time consumed \downarrow |
|----------------|---------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| MobileNetv2 | 17M | 9.22% | 3.5486s |
| EfficientNetV1 | 30M | 2.05% | 3.3737s |
| SwinT-B | 88M | 7.62% | 3.6328s |
| Our method | 22M | 0.99% | 2.7245s |

Table 3: The network parameters and performance indicators of four models.

summary, our proposed method can provide more effective information for energy pipeline operators to identify true threat events in practical scenarios. Our system based on the above-proposed algorithm deployment on over 2000 kilometers of pipelines can reduce false alarms by more than 10,000 annually, saving tens of millions of costs in operation and maintenance management.

Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a radial threat estimation and early warning method based on distributed optical fber sensing systems, which are used to monitor and identify real external threat events of energy pipelines. In detail, we design a novel feature construction method that integrates multi-view and multi-domain features from continuous defense zones. The composite feature extraction strategy and recognition model construction have been demonstrated to be more advantageous. This approach deepens the sensing information and increases the recognition dimension, thereby improving the effectiveness of safety decision-making for pipelines. Through the validation of real-world datasets, our proposed method achieves state-of-the-art performance while greatly reducing false alarm rates. Furthermore, our research not only meets the practical needs of various energy scenarios but also allows for further exploration of fne-grained granularity. The proposed pipeline safety early warning algorithm and system are already in use in real sites and have a positive impact on energy security and societal stability.

Ethical Statement

This paper proposes a novel external safety warning method for energy pipeline transportation and applies it in a realworld scenario. In terms of ethical and social implications, our method incorporates artifcial intelligence and intelligent sensing systems to help the energy industry monitor the safety of energy pipelines with a more fne-grained perspective, signifcantly improving the intelligence of the sensing system in this feld. Therefore, it can not only ensure the transmission and supply of energy but also reduce the consumption of resources for the purpose. On the other hand, due to the current stage of artifcial intelligence development, we are constantly exploring its enormous benefts to society. However, it may also present unexpected situations. Although our proposed system has been verifed in practical applications, it still has some uncertainties such as misoperation, insufficient power supply or communication system failures. Considering the importance of the energy industry, the best solution is to arrange for a few professionals and experts to supervise and optimize the system, thereby guaranteeing the validity of our solution and maximising the system's beneft to society.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the "Pioneer" and "Leading Goose" R&D Program of Zhejiang under Grant 2022C01178, in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. U21A20478, No. 62106224, and No.62002322, in part by Zhejiang High-Level Talents Special Support Program under Grant 2021R52002, in part by Zhejiang Provincial Nature Science Foundation of China under Grant LZ21F030004, and in part by the Key Research and Development Program of Zhejiang Province under Grant 2021C03037.

References

Bao, Y.; and Yang, Q. 2021. A data-mining compensation approach for yaw misalignment on wind turbine. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 17: 8154–8164.

Chen, C.; Hu, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Zou, H.; Zhu, B.; and Chng, E. S. 2023. Leveraging modality-specifc representations for audio-visual speech recognition via reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artifcial Intelligence*, 12607–12615. AAAI.

Dragomiretskiy, K.; and Zosso, D. 2013. Variational mode decomposition. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 62: 531–544.

Fortuna, J.; Martens, H.; and Johansen, T. A. 2020. Multivariate image fusion: A pipeline for hyperspectral data enhancement. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 205: 104097.

Hu, J.; Shen, L.; and Sun, G. 2018. Squeeze-and-excitation networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 7132–7141. IEEE.

Li, S.; Meng, W.; He, S.; Bi, J.; and Liu, G. 2023. STAGED: A Spatial-Temporal Aware Graph Encoder-Decoder for Fault Diagnosis in Industrial Processes. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 1–11.

Liang, J.; Wang, Z.; Lu, B.; Wang, X.; Li, L.; Ye, Q.; Qu, R.; and Cai, H. 2019. Distributed acoustic sensing for 2D and 3D acoustic source localization. *Optics Letters*, 44: 1690– 1693.

Liu, G.; Si, J.; Meng, W.; Yang, Q.; and Li, C. 2022. Wind Turbine Fault Detection With Multimodule Feature Extraction Network and Adaptive Strategy. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 72: 1–13.

Liu, Z.; Lin, Y.; Cao, Y.; Hu, H.; Wei, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Lin, S.; and Guo, B. 2021. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 10012–10022. IEEE.

Lv, J.; Fang, N.; Wang, C.; and Wang, L. 2021. Location method of Sagnac distributed optical fber sensing system based on CNNs ensemble learning. *Optics & Laser Technology*, 138: 106841.

Lyu, Z.; Zhu, C.; Pu, Y.; Chen, Z.; Yang, K.; and Yang, Q. 2023. Two-Stage Intrusion Events Recognition for Vibration Signals from Distributed Optical Fiber Sensors. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 1–10.

Parchami, M.; Zhu, W.; Champagne, B.; and Plourde, E. 2016. Recent developments in speech enhancement in the short-time Fourier transform domain. *IEEE Circuits and Systems Magazine*, 16: 45–77.

Pu, Y.; Zhu, C.; Yang, K.; Hu, H.; and Yang, Q. 2023. A Novel AMSS-FFN for Underwater Multi-Source Localization Using Artifcial Lateral Line. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 72: 1–14.

Russo, P.; and Parisi, F. 2016. Risk-targeted safety distance of reinforced concrete buildings from natural-gas transmission pipelines. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 148: 57–66.

Sandler, M.; Howard, A.; Zhu, M.; Zhmoginov, A.; and Chen, L.-C. 2018. Mobilenetv2: Inverted residuals and linear bottlenecks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 4510–4520. IEEE.

Tan, M.; and Le, Q. 2019. EfficientNet: Rethinking Model Scaling for Convolutional Neural Networks. In *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning*, 6105–6114. IEEE.

Tan, M.; and Le, Q. 2021. Efficientnetv2: Smaller models and faster training. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning*, 10096–10106. PMLR.

Tejedor, J.; Macias-Guarasa, J.; Martins, H. F.; Martin-Lopez, S.; and Gonzalez-Herraez, M. 2019. A contextual GMM-HMM smart fber optic surveillance system for pipeline integrity threat detection. *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, 37: 4514–4522.

Tejedor, J.; Macias-Guarasa, J.; Martins, H. F.; Pastor-Graells, J.; and Martin-Lopez, S. 2017. Real feld deployment of a smart fber-optic surveillance system for pipeline integrity threat detection: Architectural issues and blind feld

test results. *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, 36: 1052– 1062.

Tejedor, J.; Martins, H. F.; Piote, D.; Macias-Guarasa, J.; Pastor-Graells, J.; and Martin-Lopez, S. 2016. Towards Prevention of Pipeline Integrity Threats Using a Smart Fiber-Optic Surveillance System. *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, 34: 4445–4453.

Weiss, K.; Khoshgoftaar, T. M.; and Wang, D. 2016. A survey of transfer learning. *Journal of Big data*, 3: 1–40.

Wu, H.; Chen, J.; Liu, X.; Xiao, Y.; Wang, M.; Zheng, Y.; and Rao, Y. 2019. One-dimensional CNN-based intelligent recognition of vibrations in pipeline monitoring with DAS. *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, 37: 4359–4366.

Wu, H.; Lu, H.; Yang, S.; Wang, Y.; Wang, C.; and Rao, Y. 2020a. Vertical offset-distance estimation and threat level prediction of vibrations with DAS. *IEEE Access*, 8: 177245– 177254.

Wu, H.; Yang, M.; Yang, S.; Lu, H.; Wang, C.; and Rao, Y. 2020b. A novel DAS signal recognition method based on spatiotemporal information extraction with 1DCNNs-BiLSTM network. *IEEE Access*, 8: 119448–119457.

Xiang, X.; Shen, J.; Yang, K.; Zhang, G.; Qian, J.; and Zhu, C. 2022. Daily natural gas load forecasting based on sequence autocorrelation. In *Proceedings of the 37th Youth Academic Annual Conference of Chinese Association of Automation*, 1452–1459. Beijing, China.: IEEE.

Xu, C.; Guan, J.; Bao, M.; Lu, J.; and Ye, W. 2017. Pattern recognition based on enhanced multifeature parameters for vibration events in φ -OTDR distributed optical fiber sensing system. *Microwave and Optical Technology Letters*, 59: 3134–3141.

Xu, C.; Tao, D.; and Xu, C. 2013. A survey on multi-view learning. In *Proceedings of the Machine Learning*, 1–59. arXiv.

Yang, K.; Liu, Y.; Yu, Z.; and Chen, C. P. 2021a. Extracting and composing robust features with broad learning system. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 35: 3885 – 3896.

Yang, K.; Shi, Y.; Yu, Z.; Yang, Q.; Sangaiah, A. K.; and Zeng, H. 2022a. Stacked one-class broad learning system for intrusion detection in industry 4.0. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 19: 251–260.

Yang, K.; Wang, H.; Yang, Q.; Shi, Y.; Zhu, C.; and Bi, J. 2022b. An Ensemble Broad Learning Scheme for Short-Term Load Forecasting. In *Proceedings of 2022 China Automation Congress (CAC)*, 1–5. IEEE.

Yang, L.; and Zhao, Q. 2022. Combined dual-prediction based data fusion and enhanced leak detection and isolation method for WSN pipeline monitoring system. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 20: 571– 582.

Yang, Y.; Li, Y.; and Zhang, H. 2021. Pipeline safety early warning method for distributed signal using bilinear CNN and LightGBM. In *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 4110–4114. IEEE.

Yang, Y.; Li, Y.; Zhang, T.; Zhou, Y.; and Zhang, H. 2021b. Early safety warnings for long-distance pipelines: A distributed optical fber sensor machine learning approach. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artifcial Intelligence*, 14991–14999. AAAI.

Yang, Y.; Zhang, H.; and Li, Y. 2021. Pipeline safety early warning by multifeature-fusion CNN and LightGBM analysis of signals from distributed optical fber sensors. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 70: 1– 13.

Zhang, K.; Li, C.; and Yang, Q. 2023. TriD-MAE: A Generic Pre-trained Model for Multivariate Time Series with Missing Values. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, 3164–3173.

Zhang, S.; Liu, B.; and He, J. 2019. Pipeline deformation monitoring using distributed fber optical sensor. *Measurement*, 133: 208–213.

Zhu, C.; Jiang, Y.; Liu, G.; and Zhang, T. 2023a. Integration frameworks and intelligent research in dynamic fault tree: A comprehensive review and future perspectives. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 1–22.

Zhu, C.; Pu, Y.; Lyu, Z.; Qian, J.; and Yang, K. 2023b. Long-Distance Pipeline Intrusion Warning Based on Environment Embedding from Distributed Optical Fiber Sensing. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Artifcial Neural Networks*, 260–271.

Zhu, C.; Pu, Y.; Yang, K.; Yang, Q.; and Chen, C. P. 2023c. A Novel Visual Transformer for Long-Distance Pipeline Pattern Recognition in Complex Environment. *IEEE Transactions on Artifcial Intelligence*, 1: 1–13.

Zhu, C.; Pu, Y.; Yang, K.; Yang, Q.; and Chen, C. P. 2023d. Distributed Optical Fiber Intrusion Detection by Image Encoding and SwinT in Multi-Interference Environment of Long-Distance Pipeline. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 72: 1–12.

Zhu, C.; Yang, K.; Yang, Q.; Pu, Y.; and Chen, C. P. 2022. A comprehensive bibliometric analysis of signal processing and pattern recognition based on distributed optical fber. *Measurement*, 206: 112340.