Dynamic Multi-Behavior Sequence Modeling for Next Item Recommendation

Junsu Cho¹, Dongmin Hyun², Dong won Lim³, Hyeon jae Cheon³, Hyoung-iel Park³, Hwanjo Yu^{1*}

¹Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, POSTECH, Republic of Korea ²Institute of Artificial Intelligence, POSTECH, Republic of Korea ³GS Retail, Republic of Korea

{junsu7463, dm.hyun}@postech.ac.kr, {dlaehddnjs99, redhat2k, hi.park}@gmail.com, hwanjoyu@postech.ac.kr

Abstract

Sequential Recommender Systems (SRSs) aim to predict the next item that users will consume, by modeling the user interests within their item sequences. While most existing SRSs focus on a single type of user behavior, only a few pay attention to multi-behavior sequences, although they are very common in real-world scenarios. It is challenging to effectively capture the user interests within multi-behavior sequences, because the information about user interests is entangled throughout the sequences in complex relationships. To this end, we first address the characteristics of multi-behavior sequences that should be considered in SRSs, and then propose novel methods for Dynamic Multi-behavior Sequence modeling named DyMuS, which is a light version, and DyMuS⁺, which is an improved version, considering the characteristics. DyMuS first encodes each behavior sequence independently, and then combines the encoded sequences using dynamic routing, which dynamically integrates information required in the final result from among many candidates, based on correlations between the sequences. DyMuS⁺, furthermore, applies the dynamic routing even to encoding each behavior sequence to further capture the correlations at item-level. Moreover, we release a new, large and up-to-date dataset for multibehavior recommendation. Our experiments on DyMuS and DyMuS⁺ show their superiority and the significance of capturing the characteristics of multi-behavior sequences.

Introduction

In the era of information overload, Recommender Systems (RSs) have played an important role in helping users to discover which items to consume from a large amount of items, by modeling the user interests. As the user interests on items drift over time, Sequential Recommender Systems (SRSs) (Zhou et al. 2022; Cho et al. 2021b; Hyun et al. 2022) which capture the sequential dynamics of user interests have shown outstanding performance.

Although there have been many studies for SRSs, most of them consider a single type of behavior and only a few studies take into account *multi-behavior sequences*. In realworld scenarios, however, users often take several kinds of behaviors such as click, add-to-cart, add-to-favorite, and purchase. Compared to the single-behavior data, the multibehaviors of users provide diverse perspectives of user interests, which conjointly imply the context of user interests and causal relationships between the user behaviors (Xia et al. 2022). For learning such various information and effectively utilizing it to the next item recommendation, the sequence modeling for multi-behavior sequences needs to consider some unique characteristics of multi-behavior sequences.

In this paper, we first address the characteristics of multibehavior sequences that should be considered in SRSs: 1) The data distribution of each behavior type is *imbalanced*. For example, users usually perform more clicks than add-tocarts or purchases. 2) The multi-behavior sequences involve heterogeneous information about user interests, so each can provide complementary information in predicting the users' next item. For example, purchase data implies the user's general preferences while recent click data indicates the category of the item the user is currently looking for. 3) The key information involved in each type of behavior sequence and its importance is *personalized* to the user. For example, a behavior sequence may indicate the user interest on an item category, brand or price, or it may not be important, depending on the user's intention for that behavior. Therefore, the model should be able to extract necessary information from a behavior sequence according to the user. 4) There are cor*relations* between the behavior sequences. In other words, important information of a behavior sequence may be determined according to the information of other sequences. For example, if a user recently clicked on items in similar categories, it is also likely to affect on the purchase sequence.

The existing SRS models, for single-behavior or multibehavior sequences, do not take into account the characteristics of multi-behavior sequences addressed above in depth. For example, a single unified sequence for the multibehavior data is unmanageably too long to contain a sufficient amount of sparse behaviors (). In addition, it is difficult to learn heterogeneous and personalized information from the various types of behavior sequences with some coarsegrained vector representations (Shen, Ou, and Li 2022).

To this end, this paper proposes two novel modeling methods that captures the characteristics of multi-behavior sequences mentioned above, named DyMuS and DyMuS⁺. Our proposing methods encode each behavior sequences and combine them, rather than handling a single sequence con-

^{*}Corresponding Author.

Copyright © 2023, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

taining all the behaviors in order to deal with the behavior imbalance problem. DyMuS combines the information encoded from each behavior sequence using the concept of dynamic routing (Sabour, Frosst, and Hinton 2017), which dynamically combines important information required in the combined result from among many candidates. The dynamic routing in DyMuS integrates personalized information for the user among candidate capsules (Hinton, Krizhevsky, and Wang 2011) encoding heterogeneous information of multi-behavior sequences, based on the correlations between them. DyMuS⁺, further applies the dynamic routing to the modeling of each behavior sequence to consider itemlevel heterogeneity and personalization based on the correlations. We also resolve the scalability issue that occurs when directly applying the dynamic routing to recommendation. In brief, our methods dynamically determine the important heterogeneous information of multi-behavior sequences for the user at sequence- and item-level based on the correlations between sequences, capturing all of the aforementioned characteristics of multi-behavior sequences.

This paper releases a new dataset, *GS Retail*, for Multi-Behavior Recommender System (MBRS), which is collected from a real-world e-commerce service in South Korea. This dataset contains larger and more up-to-date data than the existing public datasets commonly used for MBRSs. Our extensive experiments on existing public datasets as well as our new dataset demonstrate that our proposed methods considerably outperform various state-of-the-art baselines. Also, our analyses show that the ability of DyMuS and DyMuS⁺ to capture the characteristics of multibehavior sequences presented above significantly affects the recommendation performance.

Related Work

Sequential Recommender Systems

SRSs aim to predict the next item a user will consume using the sequence of items consumed by the user. Many studies on SRS focus on how to effectively learn the user's longterm and short-term interest from the sequence. For example, GRU4Rec (Hidasi et al. 2016) models the user interests by encoding the sequence using GRU (Cho et al. 2014). SASRec (Kang and McAuley 2018) uses self-attention mechanism (Vaswani et al. 2017) to consider the long- and short-term interest in the item sequence. Recently, FMLP-Rec (Zhou et al. 2022) based on an all-MLP structure reduces the noises in the sequence using filtering algorithms.

Although there are many studies on SRS, only a few pay attention to the multi-behavior scenario. Compared with the SRSs based on single-behavior sequences, SRSs based on multi-behavior sequences can take advantage of the finegrained information about user interests from the multibehavior sequences. For instance, MBN (Shen, Ou, and Li 2022) uses a meta multi-behavior sequence encoder to model meta-knowledge across behavior sequences, and a recurring-item-aware predictor to predict duplicated items in the sequences. TGT (Xia et al. 2022) utilizes a behavioraware transformer (Vaswani et al. 2017) network to capture the short-term interest in multi-behavior sequences, and a temporal graph neural network to capture the multi-behavior dependencies. Although they utilize the multi-behavior sequences to capture the sequential patterns of user interests, they cannot achieve optimal performance because they do not fully consider the characteristics of multi-behavior sequences such as data imbalance and heterogeneity.

Multi-Behavior Recommender Systems

MBRSs predict the next item of users on a target behavior, modeling the user interests from their multi-behavior data. Some methods use the multi-behavior data as auxiliary information about the user interests on the target behavior: HMG-CR (Yang et al. 2021) uses graph neural networks based on hyper meta-paths between the user's behaviors on an item, and a graph contrastive learning between them. CML (Wei et al. 2022) uses a contrastive meta network to model cross-type behavior dependencies via selfsupervised learning. On the other hand, others treat the multi-behavior recommendation as a multi-task problem: METAS (Lee et al. 2019) designs the user-item relations by dividing them into an action space and an entity space, and learns them with multi-task metric learning. EHCF (Chen et al. 2020) learns the user-item relations through transfer learning between the behaviors, and is trained with an efficient non-sampling method and multi-task learning.

Lastly, some methods (Shen, Ou, and Li 2022; Xia et al. 2022) use the sequential information in multi-behavior sequences, as mentioned above. We note that the sequential information is significant in MBRS, as a user's next item is determined as a result of the drifts of various interests revealed in the multi-behavior sequences. However, it is difficult to effectively discover the various interests, as the high-level information about the interests is involved in each sequence in complex relationships between them. Therefore, we focus on how to effectively capture the user interests in the multi-behavior sequences considering their characteristics.

Dynamic Routing

Dynamic routing was proposed with CapsNet (Sabour, Frosst, and Hinton 2017) to effectively combine several capsules (Hinton, Krizhevsky, and Wang 2011), each of which is a vector neuron in neural networks and encodes various properties of an entity (e.g., an object in an image) in a highdimensional manner. It derives the final result considering the various properties of entities, by dynamically integrating the capsules required in the integration result via iterative routing phases considering the influence of each capsule in the result. In RSs, the dynamic routing is often used to integrate diverse information about user interests from data. CARP (Li et al. 2019) extracts users' sentiments from user reviews by modeling various properties of the reviews with the dynamic routing. JTCN (Liang et al. 2020) uses dynamic routing to estimate high-level user preferences with the side information for cold-start users. On the other hand, we employ the dynamic routing to extract personalized information from multi-behavior sequences by dynamically integrating the important capsules encoding heterogeneous information about user interests, which is the first method that applies the dynamic routing to multi-behavior sequence modeling.



Figure 1: Overall architecture of DyMuS.

Method

This section explains the proposed methods that model the multi-behavior sequences considering their characteristics. We propose two methods: DyMuS, which extracts personalized and heterogeneous information from multi-behavior sequences based on their correlations, and DyMuS⁺, which further models item-level heterogeneity and personalization.

In this section, let I, B represent the set of indices of items and behaviors, respectively. Our proposed methods use a set of each behavior sequence of a user, $S = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{s_b\}$, where $s_b = [i_1^b, i_2^b, ..., i_{t_b}^b]$ and $i_k^b \in I$ is the k-th item on which the user took behavior b, to predict the next item on the target behavior. In this paper, we represent a vector as a bold small letter (e.g., $\mathbf{i}_k^b, \mathbf{v}_d$), a two-dimensional matrix as a bold capital letter (e.g., $\mathbf{W}^{ir}, \mathbf{H}_k^{(l)}$), and a three-dimensional tensor as a calligraphic capital letter (e.g., W^{ir}).

DyMuS

DyMuS (Fig. 1) first encodes each behavior sequence of a user with GRU (Cho et al. 2014), which can capture the interest drift of the user within the user-item interaction sequence (Hidasi et al. 2016), and then dynamically integrates the encoded information through the dynamic routing.

Sequence Modeling For a sequence $s_b = [i_1^b, ..., i_{t^b}^b]$ of behavior *b*, DyMuS first draws a encoded representation e^b from a GRU for *b*. Note that there is a separate GRU for each behavior, although we omit the behavior expression *b* in the equation for its GRU cell for simplification.

Specifically, the embedding $\mathbf{i}_k^b \in \mathbb{R}^D$ for the k-th item i_k^b of behavior b is encoded in a GRU cell as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_{k} &= \sigma(\mathbf{W}^{ir}\mathbf{i}_{k}^{b} + \mathbf{W}^{nr}\mathbf{h}_{k-1} + \mathbf{b}^{r}) \\ \mathbf{z}_{k} &= \sigma(\mathbf{W}^{iz}\mathbf{i}_{k}^{b} + \mathbf{W}^{hz}\mathbf{h}_{k-1} + \mathbf{b}^{z}) \\ \mathbf{n}_{k} &= \tanh(\mathbf{W}^{in}\mathbf{i}_{k}^{b} + \mathbf{r}_{k} * \mathbf{W}^{hn}\mathbf{h}_{k-1} + \mathbf{b}^{n}) \\ \mathbf{h}_{k} &= \mathbf{z}_{k} * \mathbf{n}_{k} + (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{z}_{k}) * \mathbf{h}_{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$
(1)

where $\mathbf{W}^* \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$ are weight matrices, $\mathbf{b}^* \in \mathbb{R}^D$ are biases, σ is the sigmoid function and * is the element-wise multiplication. $\mathbf{h}_k \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is the hidden state for the *k*-th index, which carries the information up to the *k*-th item in the GRU. \mathbf{h}_0 is initialized to zeros. The last hidden state can be the representation which summarizes the overall information within the behavior sequence, that is, $\mathbf{e}^b = \mathbf{h}_{t^b}$.

Dynamic Routing DyMuS then combines the encoded sequences e^b for all behaviors $b \in B$ with the dynamic routing. Dynamic routing (Sabour, Frosst, and Hinton 2017) dynamically integrates the capsules from among several candidate capsules encoding heterogeneous information about

the input entities (e.g., objects in an image), through iterative updates of weight of each candidate capsule based on the integration result. Through the iterative updates of the weights considering the result of integrating all information, the model can learn to pay attention to the heterogeneous information important to the final result, and this procedure is optimized for each individual input. Judging from these advantages, we think the dynamic routing is suitable for multibehavior sequence modeling, which needs to obtain *personalized* and *heterogeneous* information from each sequence considering the *correlations* between the sequences.

We also modify the dynamic routing to be efficient enough for the next item prediction. Specifically, DyMuS creates the candidate capsules to be integrated into the final capsules by multiplying a weight matrix to each primary capsule, which is defined as the elements in a certain dimension of each encoded sequence so that each capsule can encode the heterogeneous information from multi-behavior sequences. This also involves our modified design for efficient dynamic routing, where the candidate capsules are created for only D final capsules (i.e., number of the final capsules C = D), which is much smaller than |I| in general. That is, the *c*-th candidate capsule \mathbf{u}_c^c for c = 1, ..., C, generated from the *d*-th primary capsule, is

$$\mathbf{u}_{c}^{d} = \mathbf{W}_{dc} \times \begin{bmatrix} e_{d}^{1} & \dots & e_{d}^{|B|} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{L},$$
(2)

where e_d^b is the element in dimension d of e^b , L is the length (i.e., capacity) of a capsule vector, and $\mathbf{W}_{dc} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times |B|}$ is the weight matrix for the c-th candidate capsule for the d-th primary capsule: there are $D^2 \times L \times |B|$ parameters in total.

Then, the coefficient $c_{dc}^{(l)}$, which determines which of the C candidates capsules generated from the d-th primary capsule will be important to the final capsules, is iteratively computed for l = 1, ..., r:

$$c_{dc}^{(l)} = \frac{\exp\left(b_{dc}^{(l)}\right)}{\sum_{c'}^{C} \exp\left(b_{dc'}^{(l)}\right)},$$
(3)

where $b_{dc}^{(l)}$ is the logit for coefficient. The number of iterations r is a hyperparameter. The initial logit $b_{dc}^{(1)}$ is initialized to zero so that each candidate capsule is equally involved in the final capsule, and is iteratively updated to dynamically determine the coefficients when l > 1.

The final capsules $\mathbf{v}_c^{(l)}$, for c = 1, ..., C(= D), are obtained by integrating the candidate capsules using the coefficients as follows:

$$\mathbf{v}_{c}^{(l)} = \alpha \sum_{d=1}^{D} c_{dc}^{(l)} \mathbf{u}_{c}^{d} \in \mathbb{R}^{C},$$
(4)

where α is a learning parameter for scaling.

DyMuS then summarizes the values in each final capsule using its length to sum up the heterogeneous information in the capsule, to obtain the value of a dimension of the final representation $\mathbf{v}^{(l)}$:

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}}^{(l)} = \begin{bmatrix} \|\mathbf{v}_1^{(l)}\|_2 & \|\mathbf{v}_2^{(l)}\|_2 & \dots & \|\mathbf{v}_C^{(l)}\|_2 \end{bmatrix}^\top \in \mathbb{R}^C \\
\mathbf{v}^{(l)} = \mathbf{w} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}}^{(l)} + \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^C.$$
(5)



Figure 2: Overall architecture of DyMuS⁺.

As the length of a capsule is positive, a weight vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^C$ and a bias $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^C$ is applied to the final representation to extend the range of each value to all real numbers.

When l < r, the logit $b_{dc}^{(l)}$ is updated for the subsequent routing phase, reflecting the contribution of each candidate capsule to the integration result, which is represented by a similarity score (e.g., dot product) between them. As the integration result, which is a criterion for estimating the contribution, we use both the final capsule and the predicted item embedding $\mathbf{p}^{(l)}$ based on the current final representation:

$$\mathbf{p}^{(l)} = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{i}_i \cdot \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{v}^{(l)} \cdot \mathbf{i}_i) = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{i}_i \cdot \frac{\exp(\mathbf{v}^{(l)} \cdot \mathbf{i}_i)}{\sum_{i' \in I} \exp(\mathbf{v}^{(l)} \cdot \mathbf{i}_{i'})}$$
$$\mathbf{r}_c^{(l)} = \mathbf{W}_c^{\operatorname{coef}} \times \left[\mathbf{v}_c^{(l)} \parallel \mathbf{p}^{(l)}\right]^\top$$
$$b_{dc}^{(l+1)} = b_{dc}^{(l)} + \mathbf{u}_c^d \cdot \mathbf{r}_c^{(l)},$$
(6)

where $\mathbf{p}^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{D}$ is the predicted item embedding with the l-th routing, $\mathbf{r}_{c}^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{L}$ is the integration result to estimate the contribution for the *c*-th candidate, $\mathbf{W}_{c}^{coef} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times (C+D)}$ is a weight matrix for the *c*-th candidates, \parallel is the vector concatenation, and \cdot is the dot product. If l < r, the subsequent routing starts again from Eq. 3 with the updated logits. With iterative routings, the weight matrix learns how to give attentions to the candidates important to the final capsule.

After r iterations of routing, DyMuS uses the final representation $\mathbf{v}^{(r)}$ to compute the score of each item as the next item of the user. DyMuS is designed efficiently to obtain the score of each item by creating only D final capsules, instead of |I| capsules for solving it as a classification task. Given a set of multi-behavior sequences of a user, $S = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{s_b\}$, the estimated probability \hat{y}_{Si} of an item $i \in I$ to be the next item on the target behavior is obtained as follows:

$$\hat{y}_{Si} = \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{v}^{(r)} \cdot \mathbf{i}_i) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{v}^{(r)} \cdot \mathbf{i}_i)}{\sum_{i' \in I} \exp(\mathbf{v}^{(r)} \cdot \mathbf{i}_{i'})}.$$
(7)

where $\mathbf{i}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is the embedding of item *i*.

DyMuS⁺

Though DyMuS considers the correlations between multibehavior sequences via dynamic routing to discover the heterogeneous and personalized information of them, it has a limitation in capturing the heterogeneous and personalized information at *item-level* as it encodes each sequence independently. Therefore, we propose DyMuS⁺ (Fig. 2), with *dynamic GRU* where the dynamic routing is also applied to modeling each sequence to let the correlations affect modeling the heterogeneity and personalization at *item-level*.

Dynamic Sequence Modeling To take the advantages of dynamic routing based on the capsules, a dynamic GRU in DyMuS⁺ constructs its internal hidden state as capsules. To be specific, the state of each input item is dynamically adjusted based on the final integration result of all information, by the relation between the integration result and each item which is updated through r iterations. As a result, the role of each item in a sequence is affected by the other sequences.

Firstly, we define an operation for capsule-wise multiplication using a weight tensor for the capsules in dynamic GRU. Given a set of D capsules $\mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{h}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{h}_D]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times L_1}$ and a weight tensor $\mathcal{W} = [\mathbf{W}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{W}_D]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times L_2 \times L_1}$, the capsule-wise multiplication \otimes is defined as:

$$\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{h}_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{W}_D \mathbf{h}_D]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times L_2}.$$
(8)

For each behavior $b \in B$, to make the encoded sequence representation $\mathbf{E}^{b(l)}$ in the *l*-th iteration, our proposed dynamic GRU takes the embedding $\mathbf{i}_k^b \in \mathbb{R}^D$ of the *k*-th item i_k^b to make the next hidden state as follows:

where $\mathbf{H}_{k}^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times L}$ is the hidden state for the *k*-th index, and $\mathbf{C}_{k}^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times L}$ is the adjusting state based on the relation between the *k*-th item and the integration result, which is initialized to zeros when l = 1 and iteratively updated using the integration result. The weight tensors $\mathcal{W}^{ir}, \mathcal{W}^{iz}, \mathcal{W}^{in} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times L \times D}$ change the input embedding into the form of *D* capsules. The other weight tensors (i.e., $\mathcal{W}^* \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times L \times L}$) and biases (i.e., $\mathbf{B}^* \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times L}$) are for the capsules.

The differences of the dynamic GRU in DyMuS⁺ compared to the original GRU in DyMuS are 1) that the hidden state consists of capsules, and 2) that the adjusting state $\mathbf{C}_{k}^{(l)}$ changes the state of input item over *r* iterations. It can maintain various properties of items within multi-behavior sequences in the capsules from inside the dynamic GRU, and dynamically emphasize the important information considering the correlations with other sequences.

Similarly to DyMuS, the last hidden state $\mathbf{H}_{t^b}^{(l)}$ is the summarized information of the sequence of behavior *b* in the *l*-th iteration, that is, $\mathbf{E}^{b(l)} = \mathbf{H}_{t^b}^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times L}$. Using each row of $\mathbf{E}^{b(l)}$ for all behaviors $b \in B$, the candidate capsules $\mathbf{u}_c^{d(l)}$ for c = 1, ..., C(=D) for the *d*-th dimension are defined as:

$$[\mathbf{u}_1^{d(l)} \dots \, \mathbf{u}_c^{d(l)} \dots \, \mathbf{u}_C^{d(l)}] = \mathcal{W}_d \otimes \left[\mathbf{e}_d^{1(l)} \dots \, \mathbf{e}_d^{|B|(l)}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times C} \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{e}_d^{b(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^L$ is the *d*-th row of $\mathbf{E}^{b(l)}$, and $\mathcal{W}_d \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times C \times |B|}$ is the weight tensor.

The other parts for integrating the candidate capsules (i.e., $c_{dc}^{(l)}, \mathbf{v}_c^{(l)}, \mathbf{v}_c^{(l)}, \mathbf{p}_c^{(l)}, \mathbf{p}^{(l)}$, and $b_{dc}^{(l+1)}$) are similar as in Dy-MuS. Finally, for each dynamic GRU, the adjusting state for the *k*-th item for dynamic routing is updated when l < r. To maintain the adjusting state in the form of capsules, it is updated with element-wise multiplication between the integration result and $\mathbf{N}_k^{(l)}$, which is the new gate in the dynamic GRU encoding the information about the input item:

$$\mathbf{C}_{k}^{(l+1)} = \mathbf{C}_{k}^{(l)} + \mathbf{N}_{k}^{(l)} * [\mathbf{r}_{1}^{(l)} \dots \mathbf{r}_{C}^{(l)}] \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times C}.$$
 (11)

The adjusting state $C_k^{(l+1)}$ learns to adjust the input state in each dynamic GRU in the next iteration to encode important information in the capsules, with the relation between the integration result in the *l*-th iteration and the *k*-th item on behavior *b*. Finally, the estimated probability \hat{y}_{Si} are also defined similarly to DyMuS.

Prediction

We use the binary cross-entropy loss as the loss function L:

$$L = -\frac{1}{|S^T|} \sum_{S \in S^T} \sum_{i \in I} \left(y_{Si} \log \hat{y}_{Si} + (1 - y_{Si}) \log(1 - \hat{y}_{Si}) \right), \quad (12)$$

where S^T is the sets of a user's multi-behavior sequences in the training set, and $y_{Si} \in \{0, 1\}$ is the ground-truth label which indicates whether item *i* is the true next item of the set of multi-behavior sequences *S*.

Experiments

Experimental Settings

Dataset Our experiments were conducted on two public datasets: $Taobao^1$ and $Tmall^2$, and a new dataset we release: $GS \ Retail^3$. Table 1 provides the statistics of the datasets. For all datasets, we filtered out users and items that have less than five interactions on the target behavior (i.e., Purchase), and used recent 500 interactions of each user.

GS Retail is a new dataset we release. User behavior data of GS SHOP, which is an e-commerce and home-shopping brand of GS Retail, was collected for a month in 2021. This dataset contains three types of user behaviors: Purchase, Add-to-cart, and Click. Compared with the other datasets, this dataset has the largest and the most up-to-date data.

Tmall 424,170	GS Retail 13,334,687
424,170	13,334,687
	, ,
,090,390	3,477,502
3,292,144	6,510,474
3,005,723	-
76,750	15,283,350
8,550,713	158,567,115
186 days	32 days
≤ 2014	2021
	$\begin{array}{l} 1,090,390\\ 3,292,144\\ 3,005,723\\ 76,750\\ 8,550,713\\ 186 \text{ days}\\ \leq 2014 \end{array}$

Table 1: Statistics of datasets.

Evaluation We used the most recent interacted item on the target behavior of each user as the test item, and the second most recent one as the validation item, and trained the model with the rest of the data. For accurate performance comparison, we ranked the test item by comparing it with *all* other negative items. The ranking metrics are Hit Ratio@n (H@n) and NDCG@n (N@n) which are commonly used for top-*n* recommendation (Cho et al. 2021a; Kang et al. 2022), and we used 10, 20 for *n*.

Baselines We compared DyMuS and DyMuS⁺ with several types of baselines. We adopted the single-behaviorbased methods, which use only data on the target behavior: **BPR-MF** (Rendle et al. 2009) is a matrix factorization method, with a pair-wise loss for personalized ranking. **GRU4Rec** (Hidasi et al. 2016) and **SASRec** (Kang and McAuley 2018) learns the user interests in item sequences, with GRU and a self-attentive network, respectively. **FMLP-Rec** (Zhou et al. 2022), which is one of the state-of-the-art SRSs, utilizes an all-MLP structure and filtering algorithms to denoise the item sequence. We also revised these methods into straightforward multi-behavior versions, in which a unified sequence of the multi-behavior data that consists of the sum of item embedding and behavior embedding is used.

We also adopted the multi-behavior-based methods: METAS (Lee et al. 2019) designs the relationships between users and items in an action space and an entity space, and learns them with multi-task metric learning. EHCF (Chen et al. 2020) learns user-item relationships with transfer learning between the behaviors, a non-sampling optimization and multi-task learning. HMG-CR (Yang et al. 2021) adopts graph neural networks based on hyper metapaths between a user's behaviors, and a graph contrastive learning. CML (Wei et al. 2022) uses contrastive meta network to model the cross-type behavior dependencies. MBN (Shen, Ou, and Li 2022) is a multi-behavior SRS with an RNN-based meta multi-behavior sequence encoder to capture meta-knowledge between the sequences and a recurring-item-aware predictor. TGT (Xia et al. 2022) is a multi-behavior SRS that captures short-term user interests with a behavior-aware transformer network and long-term user interests via a temporal graph neural network.

Implementation Details We used Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba 2015) to optimize all models, and tuned the hyperparameters based on the validation N@10 performance: learning rate $\eta \in \{1e-02, 3e-03, 1e-03, 3e-04,$

¹https://tianchi.aliyun.com/dataset/dataDetail?dataId=649

²https://tianchi.aliyun.com/dataset/dataDetail?dataId=47

³http://di.postech.ac.kr

Dataset	Metric	BPR-MF	GRU4Rec	SASRec	FMLP-Rec	METAS	EHCF	HMG-CR	CML	MBN	TGT	DyMuS	$DyMuS^+$	<i>Imp.(%)</i>
aobao	H@10	0.0105	0.0945	0.1391	0.2286	0.0062	0.0315	0.0023	0.0017	0.2089	0.1768	0.2758*	0.3166*	38.5%
	H@20	0.0151	0.1095	0.1565	0.2509	0.0097	0.0425	0.0041	0.0026	0.2259	0.2147	0.2947*	0.3358*	33.8%
	N@10	0.0058	0.0659	0.1030	0.1772	0.0034	0.0184	0.0013	0.0009	0.1630	0.1239	0.2101*	0.2369*	33.7%
	N@20	0.0070	0.0696	0.1074	0.1828	0.0043	0.0212	0.0017	0.0012	0.1674	0.1335	0.2149*	0.2418*	32.3%
	#Prm	89.1M	153.4M	19.1M	76.6M	44.6M	37.1M	11.2M	22.3M	89.4M	77.4M	77.4M	81.7M	-
	Inf.t	17.2	15.6	14.2	15.8	20.8	25.0	20.2	17.4	32.5	23.5	20.4	28.6	-
Tmall	H@10	0.0092	0.0554	0.0720	0.0862	0.0049	0.0319	0.0052	0.0028	0.0980	0.0331	0.0982	0.1380*	40.8%
	H@20	0.0160	0.0689	0.0789	0.1026	0.0086	0.0428	0.0084	0.0040	<u>0.1189</u>	0.0465	0.1152	0.1576*	32.6%
	N@10	0.0044	0.0380	0.0608	0.0612	0.0023	0.0186	0.0021	0.0013	<u>0.0630</u>	0.0204	0.0694^{*}	0.0941*	49.4%
	N@20	0.0061	0.0413	0.0625	0.0654	0.0033	0.0203	0.0029	0.0018	<u>0.0692</u>	0.0237	0.0737*	0.0990*	43.1%
	#Prm	18.5M	23.0M	2.9M	5.8M	18.6M	37.1M	4.7M	9.3M	37.3M	5.7M	12.5M	13.9M	-
	Inf.t	4.3	5.7	5.0	6.2	6.7	25.7	19.4	8.8	23.1	11.4	10.6	18.0	-
GS Retail	H@10	0.0315	0.4150	0.4119	0.4061	0.0248	0.0649	0.0241	0.0038	0.4345	0.2661	0.4988*	0.5409*	24.5%
	H@20	0.0485	0.4341	0.4152	0.4470	0.0427	0.0842	0.0364	0.0067	<u>0.4694</u>	0.3135	0.5287^{*}	0.5692*	21.3%
	N@10	0.0164	0.3774	0.4036	0.3253	0.0118	0.0402	0.0125	0.0018	0.3443	0.1948	0.4103*	0.4270^{*}	5.8%
	N@20	0.0207	0.3822	0.4044	0.3356	0.0163	0.0451	0.0156	0.0024	0.3531	0.2068	0.4179*	0.4342*	7.4%
	#Prm	42.3M	20.5M	5.1M	10.4M	21.2M	42.4M	5.3M	10.6M	42.5M	5.1M	10.7M	12.0M	-
	Inf.t	7.2	5.1	5.5	7.1	8.1	27.4	60.3	7.6	32.6	12.5	10.8	19.4	-

Table 2: Overall performance of multi-behavior-based methods on the next item prediction. For each dataset and metric, the best performance is highlighted in boldface, and the best performance among the competitors is underlined. Imp. is the improvement of DyMuS⁺ over the best competitor. #Prm is the number of parameters in the model, and Inf.t is the inference time (in seconds). * indicates the improvement over the best competitor is statistically significant with p < 0.01, using the student *t*-test.

Metric	BPR-MF	GRU4Rec	SASRec	FMLP-Rec
H@10	0.0414	0.0190	0.0409	0.0464
H@20	0.0487	0.0225	0.0431	0.0489
N@10	0.0264	0.0136	0.0347	0.0412
N@20	0.0282	0.0144	0.0352	0.0418
#Prm	89.1M	153.4M	19.1M	38.2M
Inf.t	17.4	15.8	14.1	15.6
H@10	0.0101	0.0067	0.0093	0.0092
H@20	0.0170	0.0104	0.0130	0.0131
N@10	0.0050	0.0039	0.0064	0.0062
N@20	0.0068	0.0048	0.0073	0.0072
#Prm	37.1M	23.0M	5.7M	5.8M
Inf.t	4.3	5.6	5.1	6.0
H@10	0.0329	0.1028	0.1225	0.1081
H@20	0.0485	0.1247	0.1399	0.1322
N@10	0.0174	0.0741	0.0992	0.0766
N@20	0.0214	0.0796	0.1036	0.0827
#Prm	42.3M	20.4M	2.5M	5.1M
Inf.t	7.0	5.2	5.5	6.9
	Metric H@10 H@20 N@10 N@20 #Prm Inf.t H@10 N@20 #Prm Inf.t H@10 N@20 N@10 N@20 #Prm Inf.t	Metric BPR-MF H@10 0.0414 H@20 0.0487 N@10 0.0264 N@20 0.0282 #Prm 89.1M Inf.t 17.4 H@10 0.0101 H@20 0.0170 N@10 0.0050 N@20 0.0068 #Prm 37.1M Inf.t 4.3 H@10 0.0329 H@20 0.0174 N@20 0.0214 #Prm 42.3M Inf.t 7.0	Metric BPR-MF GRU4Rec H@10 0.0414 0.0190 H@20 0.0487 0.0225 N@10 0.0264 0.0136 N@20 0.0282 0.0144 #Prm 89.1M 153.4M Inf.t 17.4 15.8 H@10 0.0101 0.0067 H@20 0.0170 0.0104 N@10 0.0050 0.0039 N@20 0.0068 0.0048 #Prm 37.1M 23.0M Inf.t 4.3 5.6 H@10 0.0329 0.1028 H@20 0.0485 0.1247 N@10 0.0174 0.0741 N@20 0.0214 0.0796 #Prm 42.3M 20.4M Inf.t 7.0 5.2	Metric BPR-MF GRU4Rec SASRec H@10 0.0414 0.0190 0.0409 H@20 0.0487 0.0225 0.0431 N@10 0.0264 0.0136 0.0347 N@20 0.0282 0.0144 0.0352 #Prm 89.1M 153.4M 19.1M Inf.t 17.4 15.8 14.1 H@10 0.0101 0.0067 0.0093 H@20 0.0170 0.0104 0.0130 N@10 0.0050 0.0039 0.0064 N@20 0.0068 0.0048 0.0073 #Prm 37.1M 23.0M 5.7M Inf.t 4.3 5.6 5.1 H@10 0.0329 0.1028 0.1225 H@20 0.0485 0.1247 0.1399 N@10 0.0174 0.0741 0.0992 N@20 0.0214 0.0796 0.1036 #Prm 42.3M 20.4M 2.5M Inf.t

Table 3: Performance of single-behavior-based methods.

1e-04}, dropout rate $p \in \{0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5\}$, coefficient for L2 regularization $\lambda \in \{0.0, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1\}$, embedding dimension $D \in \{16, 32, 64, 128\}$, batch size $\mathcal{B} \in \{64, 128, 256, 512\}$. For SRSs, we tuned the length of sequence $\mathcal{L} \in \{10, 20, 50, 100\}$. For DyMuS and DyMuS⁺, the capsule length L is tuned in $\{2, 4, 8, 16, 32\}$ and the number of iterations for dynamic routing r = 2.

Performance Comparison

Table 2 and 3 shows the overall performance of the multibehavior-based and the single-behavior-based methods, respectively. According to the results, firstly, DyMuS⁺ showed the best performance on all datasets, and DyMuS was second in most of the results (except for H@20 on Tmall). This verifies the superiority of DyMuS and DyMuS⁺ to model the user interests within multi-behavior sequences considering the characteristics of them. Also, DyMuS⁺, using the dynamic GRUs to capture at item-level heterogeneity and personalization, outperformed DyMuS, which shows the significance of modeling the heterogeneous and personalized information both at sequence- and item-level based on the correlations between the behavior sequences. Moreover, our efficient dynamic routing makes our methods have superior performance without too many parameters or much inference time compared to other methods.

Generally, the methods using multi-behavior data, even in a simple manner, have better performance than using only single-behavior data, which means that it is important to exploit the various information of multi-behavior data. However, the methods that adopt multi-task learning strategy, especially BPR-MF for multi-behavior and METAS, perform worse than the methods using single-behavior as the models overfit in predicting the items on the most behavior (i.e., Click) rather than the target behavior. Also, the methods that model the sequential information provide more accurate predictions than the methods that do not, and the differences are more evident in the multi-behavior scenario. These results support our claim that it is important to consider the sequential information, especially in multi-behavior data.

Analyses on DyMuS and DyMuS⁺

Hyperparameter Study We analyse the effects of hyperparameters of DyMuS and DyMuS⁺: the number of iterations for dynamic routing r and the capsule length L. Fig. 3



Figure 3: NDCG@10 performance with various combinations of the capsule length and the number of iterations.



Figure 4: Rates of change of the weights on each behavior compared to the first iteration in DyMuS when r = 2 and r = 4 for 1,000 users with the largest total rate of change. P: Purchase, A: Add-to-cart, F: Favorite, C: Click.

demonstrates the performance of DyMuS and DyMuS⁺ with various combinations of r and l on Taobao. Note that the results on the other datasets showed similar trends. On both methods, the performance increases as r increases to some extent, which shows the effectiveness of the dynamic routing which makes the model pay attention to the important capsules. When r > 2, the model can refer to more accurate integration results after the dynamic routing in the earlier phases, which leads a further improvement of performance. Also, a sufficiently large L increases the performance by providing enough space for the capsules to encode heterogeneous information of the multi-behavior sequences. Finally, the optimal capsule length is larger in DyMuS⁺ than in Dy-MuS, which implies the dynamic GRUs can encode more informative and heterogeneous information via its capsulebased structure. However, the model overfits and the performance decreases if r or L becomes too large, which implies it is important to select r and L properly.

Analysis on Dynamic Routing To show the dynamic routing in DyMuS effectively pays attention to specific information for each user to obtain personalized information, we show the weights on each behavior varying over iterations through the dynamic routing. Each value in a column in Fig. 4 represents the rate of change of the weight applied to each behavior type in the last iteration of the dynamic routing compared to the first iteration for a user, and a figure reports the 1,000 users with the largest total rate of change. In other words, each value represents the rate of change of the weight \mathbf{W}_{dc} for the first row of the final capsules (i.e., $\mathbf{v}_1^{(l)}$), which varies according to candidate capsules \mathbf{u}_c^d emphasized by the coefficient $c_{dc}^{(l)}$ updated through the routing iterations.

	Original	Sum	Self-att	-P	-A	-F	-C
DyMuS	0.2101	0.1805	0.1820	0.1212	0.2078	0.1947	0.0872
DyMuS ⁺	0.2369	0.2057	0.2187	0.1643	0.2163	0.2011	0.1027
MBN	0.1630	-	-	0.1622	0.1627	0.1631	0.0535

Table 4: Ablation study on Taobao (N@10). P: Purchase, A: Add-to-cart, F: Favorite, C: Click.

The results tell that for both cases, there is a personalized change in the weights on behaviors for each user compared to the first iteration, and the weight change with r = 4 is greater than that with r = 2, with a higher performance. Note that compared to when r = 1 (N@10 = 0.1971) where all candidate capsules are integrated equally without the dynamic routing, the only difference with r = 2 (N@10 = 0.2101) and r = 4 (N@10 = 0.2151) is that the coefficients can pay attention to specific capsules through the dynamic routing. Therefore, the results indicate that to train DyMuS to pay attention to specific capsules to obtain the personalized information for each user through the dynamic routing improves performance, and the weights can be personalized more effectively with sufficient iterations.

Ablation Study To show that the dynamic routing in Dy-MuS and DyMuS⁺ is more effective than other integration methods, and our methods effectively encode heterogeneous information of the multi-behavior sequences, we performed ablation studies on them. The results on Taobao are reported in Table 4. Note that the results on the other datasets showed similar trends. Firstly, when the outputs from the behavior GRUs are integrated using sum or self-attention instead of the dynamic routing, the performance decreases because they cannot sufficiently encode the heterogeneous information or personalize the information from the encoded sequences. Also, the performance decreases when the influence of each behavior data is removed. This phenomenon is more evident on DyMuS and DyMuS⁺ than MBN (Shen, Ou, and Li 2022) which is a state-of-the-art SRS for multibehavior, which shows the ability of our methods to extract meaningful information from each behavior sequence.

Conclusion

In this paper, we summarize the characteristics of multibehavior sequences that have to be considered in SRSs, and propose two novel methods for modeling multi-behavior sequences, DyMuS and DyMuS⁺, which consider the characteristics thoroughly. DyMuS adopts the dynamic routing to consider the correlations between the behavior sequences to model the heterogeneous and personalized information, and DyMuS⁺ extends the dynamic routing to dynamic GRUs to model them even at item-level. We also release a new, large and up-to-date dataset for MBRS, which can contribute to the future MBRS studies. Our experiments show the superiority of DyMuS and DyMuS⁺ compared with the several state-of-the-art baselines, and our analyses on the behaviors of the proposed methods verify the importance of modeling the addressed characteristics of multi-behavior sequences, and the abilities of the proposed methods to model them.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Institute of Information & communications Technology Planning & Evaluation (IITP) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No.2018-0-00584, (SW starlab) Development of Decision Support System Software based on Next-Generation Machine Learning), the NRF grant funded by the MSIT (South Korea, No.2020R1A2B5B03097210), and Institute of Information & communications Technology Planning & Evaluation (IITP) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No.2019-0-01906, Artificial Intelligence Graduate School Program(POSTECH))

References

Chen, C.; Zhang, M.; Zhang, Y.; Ma, W.; Liu, Y.; and Ma, S. 2020. Efficient Heterogeneous Collaborative Filtering without Negative Sampling for Recommendation. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 34(01): 19–26.

Cho, J.; Hyun, D.; Kang, S.; and Yu, H. 2021a. Learning Heterogeneous Temporal Patterns of User Preference for Timely Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021*, WWW '21, 1274–1283. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450383127.

Cho, J.; Kang, S.; Hyun, D.; and Yu, H. 2021b. Unsupervised Proxy Selection for Session-Based Recommender Systems. In *Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '21, 327–336. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450380379.

Cho, K.; van Merriënboer, B.; Gulcehre, C.; Bahdanau, D.; Bougares, F.; Schwenk, H.; and Bengio, Y. 2014. Learning Phrase Representations using RNN Encoder-Decoder for Statistical Machine Translation. In *Proceedings of the* 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP, 1724–1734.

Hidasi, B.; Karatzoglou, A.; Baltrunas, L.; and Tikk, D. 2016. Session-based Recommendations with Recurrent Neural Networks. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Learning Representations*.

Hinton, G. E.; Krizhevsky, A.; and Wang, S. D. 2011. Transforming Auto-Encoders. In *Proceedings of the 21th International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks - Volume Part I*, ICANN'11, 44–51. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag. ISBN 9783642217340.

Hyun, D.; Park, C.; Cho, J.; and Yu, H. 2022. Beyond Learning from Next Item: Sequential Recommendation via Personalized Interest Sustainability. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, CIKM '22, 812–821. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450392365.

Kang, S.; Lee, D.; Kweon, W.; Hwang, J.; and Yu, H. 2022. Consensus Learning from Heterogeneous Objectives for One-Class Collaborative Filtering. In *Proceedings of*

the ACM Web Conference 2022, WWW '22, 1965–1976. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450390965.

Kang, W.; and McAuley, J. 2018. Self-Attentive Sequential Recommendation. In 2018 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), 197–206.

Kingma, D. P.; and Ba, J. 2015. Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization. In Bengio, Y.; and LeCun, Y., eds., *3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015, San Diego, CA, USA, May 7-9, 2015, Conference Track Proceedings.*

Lee, D.; Park, C.; Ju, H.; Hwang, J.; and Yu, H. 2019. Action Space Learning for Heterogeneous User Behavior Prediction. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, IJCAI'19, 2830–2836. AAAI Press. ISBN 9780999241141.

Li, C.; Quan, C.; Peng, L.; Qi, Y.; Deng, Y.; and Wu, L. 2019. A Capsule Network for Recommendation and Explaining What You Like and Dislike. In *Proceedings of the 42nd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR'19, 275–284. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450361729.

Liang, T.; Xia, C.; Yin, Y.; and Yu, P. S. 2020. Joint Training Capsule Network for Cold Start Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SI-GIR '20, 1769–1772. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450380164.

Rendle, S.; Freudenthaler, C.; Gantner, Z.; and Schmidt-Thieme, L. 2009. BPR: Bayesian Personalized Ranking from Implicit Feedback. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, UAI '09, 452–461. Arlington, Virginia, USA: AUAI Press. ISBN 9780974903958.

Sabour, S.; Frosst, N.; and Hinton, G. E. 2017. Dynamic Routing between Capsules. In *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, NIPS'17, 3859–3869. Red Hook, NY, USA: Curran Associates Inc. ISBN 9781510860964.

Shen, Y.; Ou, B.; and Li, R. 2022. MBN: Towards Multi-Behavior Sequence Modeling for Next Basket Recommendation. *ACM Trans. Knowl. Discov. Data*, 16(5).

Vaswani, A.; Shazeer, N.; Parmar, N.; Uszkoreit, J.; Jones, L.; Gomez, A. N.; Kaiser, L. u.; and Polosukhin, I. 2017. Attention is All you Need. In Guyon, I.; Luxburg, U. V.; Bengio, S.; Wallach, H.; Fergus, R.; Vishwanathan, S.; and Garnett, R., eds., *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc.

Wei, W.; Huang, C.; Xia, L.; Xu, Y.; Zhao, J.; and Yin, D. 2022. Contrastive Meta Learning with Behavior Multiplicity for Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the Fifteenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, WSDM '22, 1120–1128. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450391320.

Xia, L.; Huang, C.; Xu, Y.; and Pei, J. 2022. Multi-Behavior Sequential Recommendation with Temporal Graph Transformer. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 1–1.

Yang, H.; Chen, H.; Li, L.; Yu, P. S.; and Xu, G. 2021. Hyper Meta-Path Contrastive Learning for Multi-Behavior Recommendation. 2021 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), 787–796.

Zhou, K.; Yu, H.; Zhao, W. X.; and Wen, J.-R. 2022. Filter-Enhanced MLP is All You Need for Sequential Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022*, WWW '22, 2388–2399. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450390965.