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Abstract
Distantly Supervised Relation Extraction (DSRE) has been widely studied, since it can automatically extract relations from very large corpora. However, existing DSRE methods only use little semantic information about entities, such as the information of entity type. Thus, in this paper, we propose a method for integrating entity type information into a neural network based DSRE model. It also adopts two attention mechanisms, namely, sentence attention and type attention. The former selects the representative sentences for a sentence bag, while the latter selects appropriate type information for entities. Experimental comparison with existing methods on a benchmark dataset demonstrates its merits.

Introduction
Relation extraction is a typical type of information extraction, which is essential for a number of natural language processing related applications, such as knowledge base population and question answering. Its goal is to extract the semantic relationship between two given entities. A common approach of relation extraction is supervised learning, which needs a large labeled training set. However, labeling a large training set is labor-intensive and time consuming.

Distantly Supervised Relation Extraction (DSRE) is an extensively used method aiming at saving time and labor in relation extraction. This method automatically labels training data by aligning facts in an existing knowledge base to an unlabeled corpus. For this reason, DSRE methods suffer from the problem of wrong labeling. Multi-instance learning methods are thus used to reduce noises caused by wrong labeling. These methods collect all sentences that contain a relation and use this bag instead of each sentence.

Recently developed neural network based methods (Zeng et al. 2015; Lin et al. 2016; Ji et al. 2017) follow the above multi-instance learning framework. In these methods, each sentence is first encoded into a sentence vector, and the bag representation (i.e., a vector representing the whole bag of sentences) is produced through summing up the weighted sentence vectors, where the weight of each sentence is calculated via an attention mechanism. Finally, the relation type of the sentence bag is predicted according to its bag representation.

The Proposed Model
Our model is a significant extension to the one in (Ji et al. 2017). We employ the same sentence encoder, i.e., PCNN. However, we make two important modifications. First, we improve the original sentence attention mechanism, where attention weights on sentences are fixed even when predicting different relations. Second, we replace the entity description information with fine-grained entity type. In addition, we employ another attention mechanism, called type attention, to select the appropriate type information for entities. In what follow, we describe these two modifications in detail.

Sentence Attention. Let $B$ be the currently processing sentence bag. Firstly, following (Zeng et al. 2015; Lin et al. 2016; Ji et al. 2017), for each sentence $s_i \in B$, we encode it via PCNN as $s_i = \text{PCNN}(s_i)$, where $s_i \in \mathbb{R}^{3n_c}$. Here, $n_c$ is the number of convolution kernels of size $(n_w + 2m_p) \times l$, where $n_w$ is the word embedding size, $n_l$ is the position embedding size and $l$ is the window size.

Next, we derive the bag representation $b \in \mathbb{R}^{3n_m}$, where an adaptive mechanism is adopted to adjust the attention weight $\alpha_i$ of each sentence $s_i$. Specifically, $b = \sum_i \alpha_i s_i$, where $\alpha_i = \sum_j \exp(\epsilon_{k,j})$ and $c_{k,j} = s_i^T r_j$. Here, $c_{k,j}$ can be viewed as the relatedness between the sentence $s_i$ and the specified relation $r_j$; $r_j \in \mathbb{R}^{3n_r}$ represents the attention vector used for sentence attention, and $r_j^{(s)} = R_s^T r_j + b_s$, where $R_s$ is the transformation matrix and $b_s$ is the bias; $r_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_r}$ represents the embedding of the relation $r_j$ at hand; $n_r$ is the size of the relation vector.
Finally, the probability of bag $B$ representing the relation $r_j$, which will be used later, can be calculated by $p(r_j|B) = \frac{\exp(o_j)}{\sum_{k} \exp(o_k)}$, where $o = W^T b + b_o$, $W$ is the transformation matrix and $b_o$ is the bias.

**Type Attention.** As aforesaid, fine-grained entity type provides rich semantic information about entities, which may enhance the performance of relation extraction. This motivates us to integrate type information to the word embedding of entities. As one entity may correspond to multiple types, we represent the type information of an entity as the attention sum of its all types. Specifically, we have $t_e = \sum_i \beta_i t_{e,i}$, where $\beta_i = \frac{\exp(e_{i,e})}{\sum_k \exp(e_{k,e})}$ and $e_{t,k} = t_e^T W_r^{(t)}$. Here, $r_{j}^{(t)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w}$ represents the attention vector used for type attention, and $r_{j} = R_r^T r_j + b_t$; $R_r$ is the transformation matrix and $b_t$ is the bias; $t_{e,k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w}$ represents the embedding of the $i$-th fine-grained type of entity $e$.

**Training Objective.** The objective function of training is the sum of the bag prediction loss function and the entity type constraint function, $L = L_B + \lambda L_C$, where $\lambda$ is a weight factor. In this paper, we use cross-entropy loss as the bag prediction loss $L_B = -\sum_{i=1}^n \log p(r_i|B_i)$, where $B_i$ represents the $i$-th sentence bag in the training set, which corresponds to the relation label $r_i$ and the entity pair $(e_{i,1}, e_{i,2})$. The entity type constraint is defined as the sum of two constraints of the corresponding entities, $L_C = \sum_{i=1}^n (L_r(e_{i,1}) + L_r(e_{i,2}))$. The type constraint $L_r(e)$ is applied by minimizing the distance between the word embedding and type embedding of the entity, namely, $L_r(e) = ||w_e - t_e||_2$, where $w_e \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w}$ is the word embedding of the entity $e$. This constraint integrates type semantics into entity representation, which brings more information when predicting relations.

**Evaluation**

The New-York-Times dataset is widely used in DSRE. We use the version presented in (Lin et al. 2016), which links entity mentions to the entities in Freebase. We map entity types in Freebase to fine-grained entity types in (Ling and Weld 2012). Relation and type embeddings are randomly initialized. Following the previous studies, the precision-recall curve is employed to evaluate the proposed model. Specifically, we take top-2000 predictions, discard NA ones, and finally draw the precision-recall curve. In addition, we conduct only held-out evaluation.

We choose 5 models as baselines for comparison, including 3 feature-based ones (i.e., Mintz (Mintz et al. 2009), MultiIR (Hoffmann et al. 2011) and MIML-RE (Surdeanu et al. 2012)) and 2 neural based networks implemented on our own (i.e., PCNN+ATT (Lin et al. 2016) and PCNN+ATT+D (Ji et al. 2017)). For PCNN+ATT+D, only freebase description information is used, but we reach consistent results as in (Ji et al. 2017). In the experiment, we select the relation embedding size $n_r$ from $\{20, 30, 50\}$, weight factor $\lambda$ from $\{0.01, 0.1, 1\}$. The best configurations are underlined. For other parameters, we select the best parameter reported in (Lin et al. 2016). Specifically, we set word embedding size $n_w$ to 50, position embedding size $n_p$ to 5, window size $l$ to 3, convolution kernel number $n_c$ to 230, batch size to 160, and dropout rate to 0.5.

As shown in Figure 1, the curve corresponding to our model, denoted as PCNN+ATT+T, is consistently above the ones of all baseline models. That means our model achieves the best performance in terms of precision and recall. This well justifies the effectiveness of entity type and the modified attention mechanisms. Moreover, PCNN+ATT+T consistently outperforms PCNN+ATT+D, which suggests that, as compared with entity descriptions, entity types are more precise information and thus enable the model to catch more accurate semantics about the entities.
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