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Abstract

Multiagent coordination in cooperative multiagent systems (MASs) has been widely studied in both fixed-agent repeated interaction setting and static social learning framework. However, two aspects of dynamics in real-world MASs are currently missing. First, the network topologies can dynamically change during the course of interaction. Second, the interaction utilities between each pair of agents may not be identical and not known as a prior. Both issues mentioned above increase the difficulty of coordination. In this paper, we consider the multiagent social learning in a dynamic environment in which agents can alter their connections and interact with randomly chosen neighbors with unknown utilities beforehand. We propose an optimal rewiring strategy to select most beneficial peers to maximize the accumulated payoffs in long-run interactions. We empirically demonstrate the effects of our approach in large-scale MASs.

Introduction

Multiagent coordination in cooperative multiagent systems (MASs) is a significant and widely studied problem. It requires agents to have the capability of coordinating with others effectively towards desirable outcomes. Until now, a lot of works have studied the multiagent coordination problems in cooperative MASs (Claus and Boutilier 1998). One class of research is multiagent social learning (Sen and Airiau 2007), which study the multiagent coordination problem among a population of cooperative agents with sparse and local interactions (Hao and Leung 2013).

Most existing works under the social learning framework assume that agents are located in a static network. However, two important aspects of dynamics in real-world MASs are currently missing. First, the cooperative games for agent pairs might be different due to the difference of agents’ preferences and the contexts they are situated in. Second, the network topologies can be dynamic, i.e. agent’s interacting partners are not fixed and may change frequently. Therefore, in this paper, we study the multiagent coordination problem in cooperative MASs with taking above two aspects into consideration. We consider a dynamic environment where agents can alter their connections through rewiring autonomously, and propose an optimal rewiring approach for agents to select most beneficial peers among all reachable peers to maximize the accumulative payoff during the long-run interactions.

Problem Description

We consider a population of agents $N$, in which each agent $i$ can only play with its reachable peers, defined as $\{O_i \cup \bar{O}_i\}$. Agent $i$ can only interact with its neighborhood $O_i$ through the connections, and also has a probability $\varphi$ to be able to establish a new connection to a potential agent $j \in O_i$ with cost $c^i_j$ through rewiring. For each rewiring, an old connection should be broken before establishing a new one to model agents’ limited communication ability in practice.

We model the strategic interaction among each pair of agents as cooperative games. A general form of two-action cooperative games between agent $i$ and $j$ is denoted as $G^i_j = [u_a, \alpha, \alpha, u_b]$, where $u_a$ (or $u_b$) is the payoff when agent $i$ and $j$ both choose action $a$ (or $b$) and $\alpha (\leq u_a(u_b))$ is the payoff for mis-coordination. To model the uncertainty and diversity of agents’ utility functions, the coordination payoff $u_a$ (or $u_b$) is sampled from a stochastic variable $x_a$ (or $x_b$) following cumulative probability distribution $F_a$ (or $F_b$). In addition, $F_a(x)$ (or $F_b(x)$) is unique for each game. The value of $u_a$ (or $u_b$) is unknown before interaction and is revealed when the corresponding outcome is reached once. Each agent can observe the actions of its interaction neighbor at the end of each interaction.

Social Learning Framework

The overall social learning framework is shown in Algorithm 1. During each round, agent $i \in N$ goes through the rewiring phase first and then the interaction phase.

Estimation of Expected Interaction Payoff

The expected payoffs of agent $i$ interacting with $j$ according to an known or unknown payoff matrix $G^i_j$, i.e., $v^i_j$ or $x^i_j$, are evaluated respectively as follows:

\[ v^i_j = \max_{m \in A_i} p^i_j(m)u_m + (1 - p^i_j(m)) \alpha, \]
\[ x^i_j = \max_{m \in A_i} p^i_j(m)x_m + (1 - p^i_j(m)) \alpha. \]

Agent $j$’s policy $p^i_j$ can be estimated from historical actions.
Algorithm 1 Overall interaction protocol for agent $i \in N$.
1: for a number of interaction rounds do
2: if random variable $p \leq \varphi$ then
3: Perform rewiring action (including NOOP).
4: end if
5: Play game $G_i^j$ with randomly chosen player $j \in O_i$.
6: Obtain payoff and update its policy.
7: Update neighbor $j$’s action model.
8: end for

Algorithm 2 K-Sight rewiring strategy for agent $i$ with sight $K$ in each rewiring phase.
1: for each $j \in O_i$ do
2: Compute expected payoff $v_i^j$ (Eq.1).
3: end for
4: Obtain the interaction baseline $y_i = \min_{j \in O_i} v_i^j$.
5: for each $w \in O_i$ do
6: Compute benefit index $\Lambda_i^w$ for agent $w$ (Eq.3).
7: end for
8: Choose agent $t = \arg \max_{w \in O_i} (K\Lambda_i^w - c_i^w)$. 
9: if $K\Lambda_i^w - c_i^w \geq 0$ then
10: Rewire agent $t$ and break the worst connection.
11: end if

An Optimal Rewiring Strategy Each agent’s situated environments are continuously changing due to rewiring and thus we model it as a Markov Decision Process. We propose K-sight Rewiring Strategy (Algorithm 2). This is inspired from Pandora’s Rule (Weitzman 1979) and Negotiation Problem (Baarslag and Gerding 2015), and the optimality can be similarly proved as did in above mentioned works. An index $\Lambda_i^j$ is calculated to captures the benefits of rewiring unknown peers $j$:

$$\Lambda_i^j = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y_i^j \cdot dF_i^j(x) - y_i,$$

where $y_i$ and $y_i^j$ are the minimum of $\{v_i^j\}_{j \in O_i}$ before and after rewiring. $F_i^j(x)$ is the distribution of $x_i^j$ (Eq.2).

Interaction Strategies We consider three strategies in interaction phase, i.e., Fictitious play (FP), Joint-Action Learner (JAL) and Joint-Action WoLF-PHC (JA-WoLF).

Experimental Evaluations We compare our approach (Optimal) with two benchmark strategies, i.e., Random (Ran) and K-sight Highest Expect (K-HE) that rewire the agent with the highest positive value of K-round expected payoff minus the cost.

First, we evaluate the performance of each rewiring strategy in environments of different scales. The average accumulated payoff over 1000 rounds of each agent are shown in Table 1. We can observe that our optimal rewiring strategy outperforms benchmark strategies in terms of average, best and worst cases across all settings. Second, in Figure 1(a) we evaluate our approach under the settings with the rewiring cost $c$ varying in the range of $[0.0, 200.0]$ and the fixed $K = 200$. The results show that our approach significantly outperforms others across almost all $c/K$ settings. We use FP as interaction strategy for Table 1 and Figure 1(a).

Moreover, we analyze the performance of three interaction strategies, i.e., FP, JAL, JA-WoLF. The results are shown in Figure 1(b) with regard to the average single-round interaction payoff of each agent. We can observe that FP strategy can fast reach a good payoff level while JAL and JA-WoLF outperform FP in the long term due to their better convergence on optimal Nash equilibrium.
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