Navigating Real-World Partial Label Learning: Unveiling Fine-Grained Images with Attributes
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Abstract

Partial label learning (PLL), a significant research area, addresses the challenge of annotating each sample with a candidate label set containing the true label when obtaining accurate labels is infeasible. However, existing PLL methods often rely on generic datasets like CIFAR, where annotators can readily differentiate candidate labels and are unlikely to confuse, making it less realistic for real-world partial label applications. In response, our research focuses on a rarely studied problem, PLL on fine-grained images with attributes. And we propose a novel framework called \textit{Shared to Learn, Distinct to Disambiguate} (SoDisam). Within the candidate label set, the categories may exhibit numerous shared attribute features, posing a challenge in accurately distinguishing them. Rather than perceiving it as an impediment, we capitalize on these shared attributes as definitive sources of supervision. This insight guides us to learn attribute space visual representation to focus on the information from these shared attributes. Moreover, we introduce an attribute attention mechanism tailored to harness the remaining distinct attributes. This mechanism directs the originally holistic feature towards specific regions, capturing corresponding discriminative features. In addition, a dynamic disambiguation module is introduced, continuously adjusting the two aforementioned mechanisms and achieve the final disambiguation process. Extensive experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach on fine-grained partial label datasets. The proposed SoDisam framework not only addresses the challenges associated with fine-grained partial label learning but also provides a more realistic representation of real-world partial label scenarios.

Introduction

Partial label learning (Cour, Sapp, and Taskar 2011; Feng et al. 2020; Lv et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2021; Wen et al. 2021; Wu, Wang, and Zhang 2022; Lyu, Wu, and Feng 2022; Zhang et al. 2021) (PLL), as one of the spotlight fields in the field of weakly-supervised learning (Zhou 2018; Hüllermeier and Beringer 2006; Nataraajan et al. 2013; Zhu and Goldberg 2009; Yang et al. 2022; Ishida, Niu, and Sugiyama 2018; Ishida et al. 2017), enables model to learn from each training instance with only a set of ambiguous candidate labels containing the unknown ground truth label. In this way, PLL can reduce the money and time cost and the need of professional expertise when annotating data. Therefore, PLL has various application scenarios, including but not limited to medical disease diagnosis (Song et al. 2016), image annotation (Chen, Patel, and Chellappa 2017) and web mining (Luo and Orabona 2010).

Previous methods (Wang et al. 2021; Wu, Wang, and Zhang 2022; Lv et al. 2020; Xia et al. 2023; Lyu, Wu, and Feng 2022; Zhang et al. 2021) for deep PLL achieved remarkable performance which even can be comparable to supervised learning under certain experiment settings. However, existing methods based on deep learning mainly focus on synthetic PLL datasets which are generated from generic datasets (e.g., CIFAR-10/100) (Tian, Yu, and Fu 2023). The categories involved in these synthetic PLL datasets include various objects, such as bird, car, plane and so on. One key issue is that there is a significant gap between categories, which does not align with the original intention of PLL, where annotators are unable to distinguish the true label and thus annotate a set of candidate labels.

As an example shown in Fig. 1, consider a sample in the
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Building upon the motivations presented above, this section delves into the feasibility of implementing partial label

training set that consists of an image and a candidate label set: \{car, bird, plane\}. The unknown true label for this sample is ‘bird’. However, this sample is not an ideal or representative training example for real-world applications because it is difficult for annotators to be confused between ‘car’, ‘bird’ and ‘plane’. Therefore, this paper considers a more realistic and challenging problem, partial label learning on fine-grained images (PLL-FG). Fine-grained datasets typically comprise images belonging to the same general category, such as the bird dataset CUB (Wah et al. 2011). When annotating such datasets, it becomes challenging for annotators without sufficient domain expertise and extensive experience to accurately label the images. In this scenario, using a candidate label set for data annotation, instead of precise labels, is a beneficial approach to reduce annotation costs while ensuring labeling accuracy.

Fig. 2 shows performances of four powerful deep learning-based approaches and a simple method PRODEN (Lv et al. 2020). We can see the four approaches achieve performance close to supervised learning on CIFAR-100. However, their performance on the CUB might be the same or inferior to simpler method PRODEN. Visualizations also indicate that existing methods fail to focus on the discriminative features of fine-grained images, potentially incorporating irrelevant background regions, which makes it challenging to ensure the model’s generalization ability and robustness. In PLL-FG, the main challenge lies in the high similarity among candidate label categories, which hinders the model from obtaining precise category supervision due to ambiguity. However, we view this as an opportunity. The high similarity among these ambiguous categories stems from their shared attributes, which can serve as definitive supervision. The remaining distinct attributes, representing distinctions between categories, are crucial for label disambiguation and accurate identification of true labels. Based on this, we propose a novel PLL-FG framework, Shared to Learn, Distinct to Disambiguate (dubbed as SoDisam).

Firstly, leveraging shared attributes as supervision information, our model learns the visual representations of images in the attribute space. These visual representations capture the visual information corresponding to the attributes. Subsequently, the attribute space visual representations of distinct attributes are utilized to guide the original holistic features to focus on these discriminative attribute features, enhancing disambiguation. Lastly, to avoid an excessive number of labels in the original candidate label set, which could result in fewer shared attributes and less discriminative distinct attributes, we introduce a dynamic disambiguation module. This module dynamically adjusts the candidate label set by removing obvious false labels, obtaining more representative and distinct attributes. Moreover, this module identifies easy samples that are easily disambiguated, providing accurate category supervision to the model. These three modules organically collaborate, enabling better visual representations guidance for more discriminative holistic features, leading to enhanced disambiguation, finally, again aiding in learning more precise visual representations, establishing a cyclical and mutually reinforcing interaction.

Empirically, SoDisam achieves state-of-the-art results on multiple benchmark datasets. The main contributions of our paper are summarized as follows:

- We consider a novel and realistic problem, partial label learning on fine-grained images, and assess its feasibility in real-world scenarios.
- We propose a novel framework named SoDisam, which utilizes shared attributes from ambiguous candidate labels to learn visual representations and employs distinct attributes to guide holistic features towards discriminative attributes for disambiguation.
- We design a dynamic disambiguation module that adjusts the candidate set dynamically and draws easy samples to provide accurate category supervision.
- Empirically, SoDisam effectively concentrates on discriminative attribute features and achieves state-of-the-art performance on three benchmark datasets.

Figure 2: Left: Comparison of existing deep PLL methods on fine-grained CUB dataset with ambiguity level (see description in Experiment) $q = 0.01$ and generic CIFAR-100 dataset with $q = 0.05$. The X-axis represents performance in existing PLL task, while the Y-axis represents that in PLL-FG. Results demonstrate that most methods do not perform equally well in both tasks. Right: Visualization shows existing methods tend to learn irrelevant information for fine-grained datasets. Our approach focuses on attribute features of fine-grained objects, providing effective disambiguation support in PLL.
model learning also emerge. The primary challenge of partial label learning lies in learning from uncertain and ambiguous supervisory information. If all classes in candidate sets share several common attributes, these shared attributes must correspond to the specific characteristics possessed by the sample, as illustrated in the Fig. 3. Therefore, these shared attributes can serve as definite supervision information to guide the model to learn the corresponding knowledge. Naturally, focusing on the remaining attributes, namely the distinct attributes which represent the difference between classes, directing attention towards the regions corresponding to these attributes, would significantly aid in label disambiguation. Subsequently, we derive a novel and effective model from this perspective.

The Proposed Framework

Overview. In this section, we propose a novel framework for PLL-FG, named as SoDisam from Shared to Learn, Distinct to Disambiguate, comprising three key modules: attribute space visual representation module, attribute attention mechanism, and dynamic disambiguation module. Attribute space visual representation module considers the shared attributes in candidate set as definitive supervisory information and guides the model to focus and learn knowledge from these attributes. And attribute attention module is tailored to harness the remaining distinct attributes, directing the holistic feature attention towards specific regions to learn discriminative representations. Furthermore, we introduce a dynamic disambiguation module, which incessantly adjusts the two aforementioned modules and achieve the final disambiguation process. Eventually, we present the training objective. Before further discussion, we first define the problem and give a brief introduction of the baseline of PLL.

Preliminaries

Definition. Partial label learning is defined similar to ordinary multi-class classification as follows. Given training set \( \{(x_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset (X \times Y)^n \), where \( Y = \{1, 2, \ldots, K\} \) represents \( K \) classes in the label space and \( x_i \in X \) is input labeled with a candidate label set \( Y_i \subseteq Y \) that includes the ground-truth label \( y_i \in Y \). The task is to learn a vector function \( g(x) = (g_1(x), g_2(x), \ldots, g_K(x)) \) to obtain class prediction. Each class is annotated with \( A \) attributes as the class attribute vector \( \{z^k\}_{k=1}^K \), where \( z^k = (z^k_1, z^k_2, \ldots, z^k_A) \), \( z^k_a \) denotes the score of \( a \)-th attribute of class \( k \).

Baseline. The basic framework for PLL consists of a backbone network to extract features and a classification head to make the prediction. Given input sample \( (x, Y) \), we can acquire a feature map \( F \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W} \) and a holistic feature \( h \in \mathbb{R}^{C} \) by feeding \( x \) to a backbone encoder and a Global Average Pooling GAP. Subsequently, the feature \( h \) are passed through fully connected layers to obtain the classification result, and then train with a PLL loss:

\[
L_{cls}(x, Y) = L(g^{cls}(x), Y),
\]

(1)

where \( g^{cls}(x) \) denotes the class probability output of input \( x \). \( L(g^{cls}(x), Y) \) represents an arbitrary PLL loss, such as exponential loss (EXP) (Ishida et al. 2017), PROD loss (Lv et al. 2020).
Figure 4: Overview of SoDisam. The shared attributes of candidate labels serves as definitive supervision to learn an attribute space visual representation $F_{\text{att}}$. Then Attribute Attention Mechanism (blue arrows) tailored to the remaining distinct attributes, directs the holistic feature towards specific regions to capture corresponding discriminative features via $F_{\text{att}}$. Finally, Dynamic Disambiguation Module (green arrows) updates the candidate set and thoroughly disambiguates easy samples.

However, due to the inherently similar appearances of fine-grained objects, even in supervised learning settings, backbone networks struggle to capture subtle discriminative features in the holistic feature $h$. This can result in the guidance of classification outcomes by irrelevant information like backgrounds (Wei et al. 2021). In the problem of PLL, this issue can be exacerbated. Hence, leveraging the attributes mentioned earlier, we aim to assist the model in learning more discriminative features.

**Attribute Space Visual Representation Module:**

**Based on Shared Attributes**

In order to glean more discriminative features, it is imperative to guide the network’s attention towards a plethora of visual attributes of the target objects, such as bill shape, back color, and more. Leveraging the availability of class attributes annotations $z_k$, we can adopt attribute prediction as a task objective, facilitating the model’s transformation of the image’s visual features into attribute space visual representations. Despite the absence of precise class information in PLL, we have observed that the more similar categories within the candidate label set, the more they tend to offer a multitude of shared attributes. These shared attributes can serve as definite supervision, signifying that an image’s category must indeed possess or not possess these attributes.

To extract the attribute space representation of images, we initially flatten the features $F \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W}$, where $C$, $H$ and $W$ denote the channel, height and width respectively, extracted by the backbone, representing the local features of the image as $F_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^C$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, H$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, W$. To imbue the feature space with attribute semantics and align it with the visual feature space, we encode the words corresponding to the attributes by language models (e.g., Glove or BERT). Subsequently, through a fully connected layer, we derive attribute prototype matrix $P = [p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_A]$, where $p_a \in \mathbb{R}^C$ denote the prototype of $a$-th attribute in the attribute space. Following that, we can derive attribute-space visual feature at spatial location $(i, j)$ as $F_{ij}^{\text{att}} \in \mathbb{R}^A$ via $F_{ij}^{\text{att}} = P^{\top} F_{ij}$, where $i = 1, 2, \cdots, H$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, W$. By recovering the spatial positions based on $(i, j)$, the complete attribute-space visual representation can be obtained $F^{\text{att}} = \{F_{ij}^{\text{att}}\}$. After applying GAP to $F^{\text{att}}$ over $H$ and $W$, the resulting attribute-based vector undergoes further processing through a fully connected layer with parameter $V \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times A}$. This process yields attribute output $g_{\text{att}}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^A$ to represent the compatibility score between the input $x$ and each attribute by

$$g_{\text{att}}(x) = (\text{GAP}(F^{\text{att}}))^{\top} V.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

As only the shared attributes are certain, we solely utilize the shared attributes to train this module. Given the candidate label set $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_l\}$, we define two index sets, denoted as $s(Y)$, $d(Y)$, which represent the sets of indexes of shared attributes and distinct attributes, respectively

$$s(Y) = \left\{ i | (z_i^j)_y \neq 0, i \in [A]\right\} \cup \left\{ i | (z_i^j)_y = 0, i \in [A]\right\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$d(Y) = [A]/s(Y),$$

where $[A] = \{1, 2, \cdots A\}$. As indicated, shared attributes are composed of attributes that are either possessed or not possessed by all labels in the candidate set. Based on $s(Y)$, given attribute output $g_{\text{att}}$ and class attribute $z_k$, we calculate a class score $v$ as $v^y = \sum_{y \in Y} g_{\text{att}}(x) \times z_k^y$, and design an attribute loss $\mathcal{L}_{\text{att}}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{att}} = - \log \frac{\sum_{y \in s(Y)} \exp(v^y)}{\sum_{y \in Y} \exp(v^y)}. \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

By optimizing the attribute loss, we encourage the module to learn more accurate attribute space visual representations, improving the ability to capture discriminative features according to attributes.
Attribute Attention Mechanism: Based on Distinct Attributes

Upon achieving attribute space visual representations, we can employ these features to guide the holistic features that were previously unable to capture subtle differences. Therefore, those distinct attributes should become a focal point of attention. Since these attributes among the candidate labels are inconsistent, they play a crucial role in distinguishing ambiguous classes within the candidate set.

Practically, we have introduced an attribute attention mechanism (AAM) to steer the holistic feature $h$ towards these attributes, which comprises a conventional channel attention mechanism coupled with guidance derived from the attribute space visual representation $F_{att} \in \mathbb{R}^{A \times H \times W}$ and attribute output $g_{att}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^A$ of distinct attributes. First we reshape $F_{att}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{A \times HW}$ and define a guidance vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^A$, where $g_{att}$ retains only the components corresponding to distinct attributes, with the remaining components set to 0, to guide the model’s attention towards distinct attributes. The vector $u$ is then expanded to $U \in \mathbb{R}^{A \times HW}$ to apply weighting to $F_{att}$ and yield weighted visual representation

$$F'_w = U \odot F_{att},$$

where $\odot$ indicates Hadamard product.

Next, we calculate a channel attention map $M \in \mathbb{R}^{A \times A}$ from weighted attribute space visual representation $F'_w$, which is obtained by applying matrix multiplication between $F_{att}$ and $F'_w$ and a softmax layer, as

$$m_{ji} = \frac{\exp (F'_{wi} \cdot F'_{wj})}{\sum_{i=1}^{A} \exp (F'_{wi} \cdot F'_{wj})},$$

where $m_{ji}$ measures the i-th channel’s impact on the j-th channel. We conduct a matrix multiplication between the $M^T$ and $F'_w$, followed by reshaping their resultant matrix into $\mathbb{R}^{A \times H \times W}$. Subsequently, the resulting matrix is scaled and subjected to an element-wise summation with $F'_w$ with a channel mapping and yield the output $E \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times A \times H \times W}$. $E$ encompasses discriminative properties, and then is employed to guide the original feature map as:

$$F' = \lambda_1 E + F,$$

where $\lambda_1$ is a trainable scalar starting from 0. This process results in a new feature map $F'$, which further yields refined holistic feature contributing to the ultimate class output with attention to distinct attributes-associated regions.

Dynamic Disambiguation Module

Once the image and candidate label sets are given, the shared attributes and distinct attributes are fixed accordingly. However, when there is substantial ambiguity within the candidate label set, an excessive number of label categories can lead to a reduction in the number of shared attributes, resulting in decreased discriminative power of distinct attributes. These labels often include some obvious mislabeled examples, such as in the label set \{lion, cheetah, Samoyed\} of a cheetah, where it’s evident that ‘Samoyed’ is an easily recognizable wrong label. By excluding such labels, we can identify more valuable shared attributes and distinct attributes from the remaining labels \{lion, cheetah\}. Consequently, we maintain a dynamic candidate set $D \subset Y$ for each sample, facilitating the dynamic adjustment of the candidate set in a dynamic disambiguation module (DDM). This allows for the removal of incorrect labels and reconsideration of previously excluded candidate labels. Such an approach ensures that the model benefits from increased supervision by shared attributes and focuses on the more discriminative distinct attributes. Since both removed and reintroduced labels originate from the original candidate label set, i.e., $1 \leq \lvert D \rvert \leq \lvert Y \rvert$, for convenience, assume that at epoch $t$, $1 < \lvert D \rvert < \lvert Y \rvert$, enabling the removal and reintroduction of labels within this epoch with the constraint that at most one label can be removed or reintroduced at a time.

Update $D$. For the label we aim to remove, given the constraint of removing only one label at a time, its corresponding output probability within the $D$ are bounded to be the lowest. Moreover, the probability need to fall below a certain threshold to prevent erroneous eliminations. Thus, we establish the following condition,

$$Con_{out}(i) = \begin{cases} i = \arg\min_{g \in D} g^{cls}(x), \\ g^{cls}(x) < \tau, \end{cases}$$

where $\tau$ is a fixed threshold. If $Con_{out}(i)$ is met, $i$ will be removed from $D$. Similarly, if label $j$ satisfies $Con_{in}(i)$

$$Con_{in}(j) = \begin{cases} i = \arg\max_{g \in D} g^{cls}(x), \\ g^{cls}(x) > \tau, \end{cases}$$

then label $j$ will be reintroduced into $D$.

Draw easy samples. In PLL, the category classifier can solely learn from ambiguous label sets, leading to the loss of precise category supervision. Concurrently, it’s evident that the dataset may encompass samples that are easily disambiguated, such as cases with a minimal count of candidate labels or substantial dissimilarity among label categories. Identifying and utilizing such straightforward samples to provide the model with accurate category supervision is highly beneficial. These simple samples often exhibit high-confidence predictions with consistent outputs during training. Inspired by (Li et al. 2023), we introduce a dynamic threshold $\epsilon_t(x)$, in which $t$ is the current epoch, for each sample $x$ to draw easy samples, as

$$\epsilon_t(x) = \ell \epsilon_{t-1}(x) + (1 - \ell) b(x), \epsilon_0 = 0,$$

where $\ell$ is the factor to control the stability of $\epsilon$, $b(x) = \max_{i \in Y} g^{cls}_{i}(x)$ indicates the confidence of prediction is greater than the threshold, it is selected as an easy sample and apply cross-entropy (CE) loss, as

$$L_{ce} = \begin{cases} -\log b(x), & \text{if } b(x) > \epsilon_t(x) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Total training objective. Unify the three losses mentioned above together as the final training objective:

$$L_{total} = L_{cls} + \alpha L_{att} + \beta L_{ce},$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are weighting factors.
Our methods achieve sota performance. Overall, Tab. 1 provides a thorough comparison of SoDisam against six sota methods across CUB, AWA, and SUN dataset. Notably, at varying levels of ambiguity \(q\), our SoDisam consistently outperforms competing methods, showcasing its robustness and effectiveness in handling ambiguity. Specifically, SoDisam outperforms sota methods by up to 2.46%, 3.77% and 3.35% within four levels of \(q\) for CUB, AWA and SUN respectively. More importantly, SoDisam maintain a high level performance as \(q\) increases on AWA, when \(q\) increases from 0.05 to 0.2, the performance only decreases by 1.46%. For the largest-scale dataset SUN with the most categories, methods like PiCO perform less effectively. However, our method demonstrates exceptional performance as well. In situations of elevated ambiguity \(q = 0.02, 0.03\), it outperforms the best existing method ParSE by 2.75%, 3.35%, showcasing the strength of our approach.

**Our methods achieve attribute localization and focuses on discriminative regions.** As shown in Fig. 2, existing methods tend to focus solely on the entire object, and even on irrelevant details such as the background. In contrast, our method, when compared to PRODEN (baseline) and PiCO, exhibits the capacity to emphasize more discriminative attribute features, such as the ‘needle bill’. What’s more, obtaining the attention heatmaps for specific attributes via a mask through AAM, we can see the capability of our model to accurately focus attributes like ‘bill’ and ‘wing’, which holds significant implications in label disambiguation in PLL, providing valuable explanatory insights.

**Ablations**

**Effect of Components of SoDisam.** In order to analyze the individual contributions of different components in the
SoDisam framework, we conducted ablations as shown in Tab. 2. The results indicate the impact of each component on the performance across different datasets and demonstrate that the AAM, DDM and $L_{ce}$ improve the performance over baseline consistently, by 3.36% (CUB), 3.02% (AWA), and 6.16% (SUN) gradually. The impact of $L_{cls}$ w/ $L_{att}$ are limited due to their potential disruption of the model’s learning objectives. However, by employing AAM, the visual features in the attribute space trained by $L_{cls}$ can guide the classification of $L_{cls}$, resulting in performance improvement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ablations</th>
<th>CUB(_{(0.01)})</th>
<th>AWA(_{(0.05)})</th>
<th>SUN(_{(0.005)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_{cls}$</td>
<td>74.16</td>
<td>89.64</td>
<td>54.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ $L_{att}$</td>
<td>73.83</td>
<td>89.76</td>
<td>54.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ AAM</td>
<td>75.62</td>
<td>91.29</td>
<td>58.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ $L_{ce}$</td>
<td>77.02</td>
<td>92.93</td>
<td>61.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ DDM</td>
<td>77.47</td>
<td>92.66</td>
<td>63.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Top-1 classification accuracy (%) of ablation study.

**Visualization of Dynamic Disambiguation and Attribute Attention.** The DDM continually adjusts the candidate set to update shared and distinct attributes, guiding the AAM focus towards more discriminative information. This process holds significant importance. We visualize the attention heatmaps of the attribute attention at different epochs during training with updating from the DDM in Fig. 5. It can been seen that DDM possesses the capability to dynamically adjust its focus on feature attributes, thereby guiding the holistic feature extraction process towards more discriminative regions.

**Impact of Hyper-parameters.** We assess the sensitivity of SoDisam to its parameters, and the findings are presented in Fig. 6 that SoDisam demonstrates robustness to most parameter variations. As $\alpha$ increases, the performance gradually declines, indicating that using attribute annotations for classification is unreliable. Utilizing attributes to guide classification (smaller $\alpha$) proves to be a reasonable approach.

**Conclusion**

In this paper, we consider a novel problem partial label learning on fine-grained images and propose a framework called SoDisam. The core idea is to utilize shared attributes of the ambiguous classes in the candidate set as definite supervision information and distinct attributes as the key for label disambiguation. Empirically, we conducted extensive experiments and show that state-of-the-art performance are established on several fine-grained dataset. Visualization shows SoDisam achieves attribute localization and focusing on discriminative regions. We believe our research can contribute to the advancement of PLL applications in real-world scenarios and inspire new insights in the community.
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