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Abstract

Real-world text can be damaged by corrosion issues caused by environmental or human factors, which hinder the preservation of the complete styles of texts, e.g., texture and structure. These corrosion issues, such as graffiti signs and incomplete signatures, bring difficulties in understanding the texts, thereby posing significant challenges to downstream applications, e.g., scene text recognition and signature identification. Notably, current inpainting techniques often fail to adequately address this problem and have difficulties restoring accurate text images along with reasonable and consistent styles. Formulating this as an open problem of text image inpainting, this paper aims to build a benchmark to facilitate its study. In doing so, we establish two specific text inpainting datasets which contain scene text images and handwritten text images, respectively. Each of them includes images vamped by real-life and synthetic datasets, featuring pairs of original images, corrupted images, and other assistant information. On top of the dataset, we further develop a novel neural framework, Global Structure-guided Diffusion Model (GSDM), as a potential solution. Leveraging the global structure of the text as a prior, the proposed GSDM develops an efficient diffusion model to recover clean texts. The efficacy of our approach is demonstrated by thorough empirical study, including a substantial boost in both recognition accuracy and image quality. These findings not only highlight the effectiveness of our method but also underscore its potential to enhance the broader field of text image understanding and processing. Code and datasets are available at: https://github.com/blackprotoss/GSDM.

Introduction

Text in the real world serves as a visual embodiment of human language (Long, He, and Yao 2021). It plays a vital role in conveying vast linguistic information and facilitating communication and collaboration in daily life. However, the integrity of text with specific styles, e.g., structure, texture, and background clutter, can be compromised by factors such as environmental corrosion and human interference (Krishnan et al. 2023). As a consequence, these resultant images, as shown in Figure 1(a), are inherently degraded, leading to a performance drop in the text reading and understanding systems. In other words, tasks such as scene text editing (Qu et al. 2023) and signature verification (Lai et al. 2021) are inevitably affected by the integrity of text images.

Aiming to provide visually plausible restoration for missing regions in corrupted images (Bertalmio et al. 2003; Xiang et al. 2023), image inpainting technologies have made considerable progress (Zhao et al. 2022; Lugmayr et al. 2022; Ji et al. 2023; Yu et al. 2023) in recent years. However, some inherent challenges restrict these general image inpainting methods from restoring corrupted text images. Firstly, the corrupted regions of text images are unknown. That is, the corrosive factors, rooted in real-life scenarios, mean the location mask cannot be provided. Consequently, prevailing non-blind inpainting methods cannot handle this entire image reconstruction task. Secondly, the corrupted regions induce content ambiguity in the text image. It is known that natural objects can be recognized based on their iconic local features. For example, a rabbit can be easily recognized by its long ears, despite corrosion over most of the body parts (Shown in Figure 1(b)). However, the corrosion disrupts the integrity of the global structure in the text image, including its shape and profile, making it challenging to reconstruct the correct characters/words from the remaining strokes. Lastly, text images contain massive style variations. The text images exhibit high inter- and intra-class variability in style (Krishnan et al.}
This paper investigates this challenging task, named **text image inpainting**, and addresses it by formally formulating the task and establishing a benchmark. The closest study to our work is (Sun et al. 2022), which introduces a scene text image dataset for foreground text completion. However, it only includes one corrosion form for synthetic images, thus failing to reflect diverse real-world scenes effectively. **Realizing the gaps**, our study takes a deep dive, with a focus on **restoring the real corrupted text images**. As a result, one can enable the restoration of style and detail consistency in corrupted text images, as illustrated in Figure 2. Aligning with the paradigm used in tailored text image tasks (Wu et al. 2019), we gather real-life and synthetic text images to produce two tailored datasets: the Scene Text Image Inpainting (TII-ST) dataset and Handwritten Text Image Inpainting (TII-HT) dataset. In these datasets, we design three typical corrosion forms, i.e., convex hull, irregular region, and quick draw, affecting both scene text images and handwritten text images. With these enriched datasets, we can evaluate the image quality produced by various inpainting methods and assess their impact on downstream applications.

Along with the datasets, we further propose a simple yet effective neural network, dubbed **Global Structure-guided Diffusion Model (GSDM)**, as a baseline for the text image inpainting task. The proposed GSDM leverages the structure of the text as a prior, guiding the diffusion model in realizing image restoration. To this end, a **Structure Prediction Module (SPM)** is first proposed to generate a complete segmentation map that offers guidance regarding the content and positioning of the text. The subsequent diffusion-based **Reconstruction Module (RM)**, which receives the predicted segmentation mask and corrupted images as input, is developed to generate intact text images with coherent styles efficiently. As shown in Figure 2, our proposed GSDM outperforms comparison methods and generates plausible images. In a nutshell, our **contributions** are as follows:

- **We construct two datasets**, TII-ST and TII-HT, which facilitate the study of text image inpainting. To our knowledge, this is the first initiative to fully restore all styles of corrupted text images, thereby defining a challenging yet promising task.
- **We propose a versatile method**, the Global Structure-guided Diffusion Model (GSDM), as a baseline for the task. This model uses the guidance of the complete global structure, predicted from the remaining regions of corrupted text images, to generate complete text images coherent with the corrupted ones.
- **Comparisons with relevant approaches** on the TII-ST and TII-HT datasets demonstrate that our GSDM outperforms these approaches in enhancing downstream applications and improving image quality. Substantial ablative studies further underscore the necessity of different components in our model. The realistic benchmark and strong performance of our work provide favorable templates for future research.

### Related Work

#### Image Inpainting

Image inpainting has long posed a challenge within the computer vision community, aiming for the coherent restoration of corrupted images (Shah, Gautam, and Singh 2022; Xiang et al. 2023). In earlier developments, the majority of approaches have grounded their foundations in auto-encoders (Yu et al. 2022), auto-regressive transformers (Wan et al. 2021), and GAN-based paradigms (Pan et al. 2021). Notably, diffusion-based techniques (Lugmayr et al. 2022; Zhang et al. 2023; Yu et al. 2023) have recently gained attention due to their exceptional capability in image generation (Ramesh et al. 2022). Within this context, CoPaint (Zhang et al. 2023) presents a Bayesian framework for holistic image modification, achieving state-of-the-art performance in natural image inpainting. Yet, these methods necessitate explicit guidance of the corrupted mask, which hinders their adaptability in real-world contexts. Moreover, there have been endeavors centered on blind inpainting, which eschew reliance on provided corrupted masks, addressing challenges through image-to-image paradigms (Cai et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2020c). For instance, TransCNN-HAE (Zhao et al. 2022) innovatively employs a hybrid Transformer-CNN auto-encoder, optimizing the capability to excavate both long and short range contexts. Concurrently, some diffusion-oriented models (Kawar et al. 2022; Fei et al. 2023) with a dedication to unified image restoration have showcased capabilities in blind image inpainting. However, all these methods are primarily suitable for natural images, thus making it difficult to handle text images, whose semantics are sensitive to the text structure.

Zooming into tailored character inpainting, notable progress (Chang et al. 2018) has been made. Recently, Wang

![Figure 2: The illustration of inpainting images with recognition results based on different methods. The (i) to (vi) denote Corrupted images, DDIM, CoPaint, TransCNN-HAE, GSDM, and GT. Red characters indicate errors.](image-url)
et al. leverage the semantic acuity of BERT (Devlin et al. 2018), reconstructing the corrupted strokes inherent in Chinese characters (Wang, Ouyang, and Chen 2021). Moreover, TSINIT (Sun et al. 2022) proposes a two-stage encoder-decoder blueprint, generating intact binary foreground texts from incomplete scene text images. Nonetheless, it is worth noting that such methods merely focus on the structure of text images. They overlook the diverse styles inherent in text images, which impacts human perception and narrows downstream applications.

**Text Image Recognition**

Text image recognition serves as a foundational element for complicated text-understanding tasks (He et al. 2023) and the assessment of image processing endeavors (Wang et al. 2020b; Wu et al. 2019). Wherein, Scene Text Recognition (STR) and Handwritten Text Recognition (HTR) emerge as dominant research areas (Zhu et al. 2023b). Scene text images showcase a myriad of text styles, both in texture and layout. Pioneering in this field, CRNN (Shi, Bai, and Yao 2016) leverages sequential information in scene text images, achieving proficient recognition of variable-length images. Successor models like ASTER (Shi et al. 2018) and MORAN (Luo, Jin, and Sun 2019) further enhance recognition performance through diverse visual rectification techniques. More recently, language-aware approaches (Fang et al. 2021; Bautista and Atienza 2022) harness the predictive capabilities of language models (Devlin et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2019) to map word probabilities, resulting in impressive recognition outcomes.

For handwritten text images, they exhibit diverse calligraphic styles, such as joined-up and illegible handwriting. In recent advancements, numerous methods (Wang et al. 2020a; Singh and Karayev 2021; Li et al. 2023) tap into attention mechanisms to perceive structural correlations, thereby attaining promising performance.

**Benchmark Dataset**

**Dataset Description**

Text image inpainting focuses on reconstructing corrupted images, which have been subjected to a variety of real-world disturbances and lack corresponding pristine versions. In this paper, we introduce two novel datasets, TII-ST and TII-HT, tailored for this task. Given the vast style variation in scene text images (Krishnan et al. 2023), we construct the TII-ST dataset using a combination of synthesized and real images. First, we choose to create our own synthetic images instead of utilizing an existing synthetic dataset (Gupta, Vedaldi, and Zisserman 2016), to provide rich auxiliary information, of which segmentation masks are introduced to our basic TII-ST. Specifically, following the method in (Jaderberg et al. 2014), we synthesize 80,000 scene text images. Next, we supplement the scene text image dataset with 6,476 real scene text images collected from various sources, including ICDAR 2013 (Karatzas et al. 2013), ICDAR 2015 (Karatzas et al. 2015), and ICDAR 2017 (Nayef et al. 2017). For handwritten text, the TII-HT dataset comprises 40,078 images from the IAM dataset (Marti and Bunke 2002). The text segmentation mask for each image can be acquired using a predetermined threshold.

To accurately simulate real-life corrosion (See an illustration in Figure 1), we introduce distinct corrosion forms, i.e., convex hull, irregular region, and quick draw. Notably, the shape of each form can be governed by specific parameters. By adopting these flexible corrosion forms, we aim to encompass a broad spectrum of potential real-world image corrosion scenarios, thereby bolstering the versatility and robustness of the text image inpainting task. Utilizing the images and corrosion forms, we create tuples for each pristine image in both datasets. In the training set, each tuple contains a corrupted image, its corrupted mask, the original intact image, a corrupted segmentation mask, and an intact segmentation mask. For the testing dataset, we furnish data pairs, comprising only the corrupted and intact images. All these images are resized to $64 \times 256$ to ensure consistent evaluation. Sample images from both datasets are depicted in Figure 3. Additionally, Table 1 intuitively presents basic statistics of the proposed datasets.

**Evaluation Protocol**

For fairness in evaluation, we divide our proposed datasets into distinct training and testing sets, respectively. In the TII-ST dataset, we follow the strategy outlined in (Zhu et al. 2023b). Specifically, our training set consists of 80,000 synthesized images and 4,877 real images. Meanwhile, the test-
ing set includes 1,599 real images. For the TII-HT dataset, the training set comprises of 38,578 images sourced from IAM, while the testing set contains 1,600 images.

The evaluation of inpainting results on these datasets takes into account both the impact on downstream tasks and the overall image quality. We use text recognition to assess improvements to downstream tasks and employ two established metrics, Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) (dB) and Structural SIMilarity (SSIM), to evaluate image quality.

Recognizing the profound influence of text image quality on reading and understanding systems (Wang et al. 2020b), we opt for text recognition as a representative of downstream tasks to evaluate the effectiveness of inpainting. For scene text images, we engage three recognizers, namely CRNN (Shi, Bai, and Yao 2016), ASTER (Shi et al. 2018), and MORAN (Luo, Jin, and Sun 2019). These recognizers are well-regarded in the field of scene text image processing (Wang et al. 2020b) and are used to evaluate word-level recognition accuracy (%). On the other hand, when dealing with handwritten text images, we turn to two user-friendly, open-source methods: DAN (Wang et al. 2020a) and two versions of (Li et al. 2023)—TrOCR-Base and TrOCR-Large. These methods release official weightings and gauge the same metric as applied to scene text images.

In conclusion, our proposed datasets enjoy three characteristics: (1) They cater to the challenges of inpainting both scene text and handwritten texts. (2) Rather than solely relying on synthetic images, we collect images from real-life scenarios for testing, accompanied by the design of realistic and varied forms of corrosion. (3) Beyond the general inpainting task, we evaluate the text image inpainting task via improvement on downstream tasks and image quality.

Methodology

This section initially provides an overview of the proposed Global Structure-guided Diffusion Model (GSDM). Subsequently, we delve into a detailed explanation of the two units within GSDM: the Structure Prediction Module (SPM) and the Reconstruction Module (RM).

Overall Architecture

The overall architecture of the proposed GSDM is depicted in Figure 4. For the input corrupted text image \( c \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times w \times c} \), the SPM first predicts the complete global structure \( \tilde{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times w} \). Subsequently, the diffusion-based RM, taking \( c \) and \( \tilde{s} \) as conditions, generate the intact text image \( \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times w \times c} \).

Structure Prediction Module

In practice, the content uncertainties in text images are dominated by the global structures, specifically the segmentation mask, of the foreground (Zhu et al. 2023a). Consequently, our aim is to obtain a global structure that closely resembles the original intact image, thereby guiding the subsequent diffusion models in reconstructing corrupted images. To address this challenge, we propose the Structure Prediction Module (SPM), which utilizes a single U-Net (Ronneberger, Fischer, and Brox 2015) to predict the correct foreground segmentation masks of intact images via the corrupted ones.

As depicted in Figure 4(b), we utilize a compact U-Net (Ronneberger, Fischer, and Brox 2015) denoted as \( g_\theta \), with three pairs of symmetrical residual blocks to predict the complete segmentation map. Notably, to increase the receptive field and enhance the perception of surrounding corrupted regions, we incorporate dilated convolution (Yu, Koltun, and Funkhouser 2017) into the network. The prediction process can be formulated as: \( \tilde{s} = g_\theta(c) \).
Given the inherent difficulty of one-stage segmentation prediction, we employ multi-loss functions to compare the actual segmentation map $s$ and the predicted one $\tilde{s}$. Specifically, we implement pixel-level Mean Absolute Error (MAE) loss $L_{pix}$ and binary segmentation loss $L_{seg}$ to ensure accurate 2-D segmentation mask generation. The equations are as follows:

$$L_{pix} = ||s - \tilde{s}||_1,$$  

$$L_{seg} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (2 \cdot s_i \log \tilde{s}_i + (1 - s_i) \log(1 - \tilde{s}_i)),$$  

where $N$ represents the total number of pixels in an image.

Alternatively, we formulate the character perceptual loss $L_{cha}$ and style loss $L_{sty}$ to maintain semantic consistency. We utilize the pre-trained text encoder $\phi_{Rec}$ of a pre-trained text recognizer (Shi, Bai, and Yao 2016) to obtain the feature maps, which are then constrained by the MAE loss. This operation, unlike previous work (Wang et al. 2018), can effectively capture the semantics of text within the image. The two loss functions are defined as follows:

$$L_{cha} = ||\phi_{Rec}(s) - \phi_{Rec}(\tilde{s})||_1,$$  

$$L_{sty} = ||\text{Gram}(s) - \text{Gram}(\tilde{s})||_1,$$  

where Gram represents the Gram matrix (Gatys, Ecker, and Bethge 2015). Therefore, the total optimization objective of SPM can be formulated as:

$$L_{spm} = \lambda_1 L_{pix} + \lambda_2 L_{seg} + \lambda_3 L_{cha} + \lambda_4 L_{sty}.$$  

Reconstruction Module

Previous diffusion-based inpainting methods (Lugmayr et al. 2022; Ji et al. 2023; Fei et al. 2023) rely on the known mask of corrupted regions. In contrast, our model leverages the predicted global structure and corrupted image as conditions to generate an intact text image. Meanwhile, our diffusion model is implemented by vanilla U-Net (Ronneberger, Fischer, and Brox 2015) with five pairs of symmetrical residual blocks (Shown in Figure 4 (c)).

Training Procedure As evidenced in (Song, Meng, and Ermon 2020), the optimization objective of DDIM is equivalent to the vanilla DDPM. Hence, we adopt the training procedure of the latter. Given the intact text image $x^0$ as $x_0$, we successively add Gaussian noise $\epsilon$ based on the time step $t$, as follows:

$$q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} x_{t-1}, (1 - \alpha_t) I),$$  

where $\alpha_t$ is a hyper-parameter between 0 and 1. With the assistance of the reparameterization trick (Ho, Jain, and Abbeel 2020), the process can be expressed in a more general form:

$$x_t = \sqrt{\alpha_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \epsilon,$$  

where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ and $\alpha_t = \prod_{i=0}^{t} \alpha_i \in [0, 1]$.

Following the noise-adding process, we adopt the methodology of DALL-E 2 (Ramesh et al. 2022; Xia et al. 2023), which predicts the target image rather than the noise, to improve performance (See ablation study for details).

Concretely, receiving the corrupted text image $c$ and predicted segmentation mask $\tilde{s}$ as conditions, the denoising process can be formulated as:

$$p_{f_\theta}(x_t|\bar{x}_{t-1}, c, \tilde{s}) = q(x_t|x_{t-1}, \bar{x}_t, f_\theta(x_t, c, \tilde{s}, t)),$$  

where $c$ and $\tilde{s}$ are the conditions. Notably, these conditions are concatenated with $x_t$ in each step. The total process is supervised by the MSE loss, as:

$$L_{rm} = ||x_o - f_\theta(x_t, c, \tilde{s}, t)||_2.$$  

Inference Procedure The vanilla DDPM (Ho, Jain, and Abbeel 2020) is time-consuming due to the large number of sampling steps required to maintain high-quality generation. During the inference procedure, we perform a non-Markov process (Song, Meng, and Ermon 2020) to accelerate inference and enhance efficiency. Assuming the original generation sequence is $L = [T, T-1, \ldots, 1]$, where the total number of generation steps is $T$, we can construct a sub-sequence $\tau = [\tau_s, \tau_s-1, \ldots, 1]$ for inference, and the step number is $S \ll T$. The final reconstruction result $\tilde{x}$, can be achieved after $S$ steps, where each step can be written as:

$$x_{\tau_s-1} = f_\theta(x_{\tau_s}, c, \tilde{s}, \tau_s).$$  

Experiments

In this section, we conduct comparison experiments and ablation studies to demonstrate the superiority of our method. Meanwhile, one potential downstream application is presented to show the significance of our work.

Comparison with State-of-the-Art Approaches

Scene Text Image In this section, we benchmark our proposed approach against prominent existing methods. We first examine the vanilla conditional DDIM (Song, Meng, and Ermon 2020) and two notable inpainting techniques: TransCNN-HAE (Zhao et al. 2022) (abbr. TransCNN) and CoPaint (Zhang et al. 2023). Notably, as a non-blind diffusion-based model, CoPaint can obtain the corrupted masks of each testing image. Additionally, we draw comparisons with the relational technique TSINIT (Sun et al. 2022), which is designed for binary foreground text completion. As evident from Table 2, our proposed GSDM outperforms other methods in terms of both recognition accuracy and image quality. Notably, our method surpasses both blind and non-blind state-of-the-art methods, i.e., TransCNN and CoPaint. Furthermore, visualization examples from TII-ST can be seen in Figure 5(a). Two key observations can be made: (1) While some comparison methods may produce correct recognition results, the recovered images often lack style consistency. In contrast, our GSDM ensures not only correct recognition results but also a harmonious and visually appealing style. (2) Ambiguous corrupted regions in images, such as the “e” in the word “office”, tend to misguide comparison methods into generating incorrect characters. Conversely, our GSDM consistently generates words that are syntactically accurate.
Handwritten Text Image  In evaluating handwritten text images, we maintain the aforementioned comparison methods but substitute TSINIT with a character inpainting one (Wang et al. 2021) (Reproduced and modified for this task). As depicted in Table 3, our methods achieve pleasing performance in terms of both recognition accuracy and image quality. Figure 5(b) reveals that our approach is adept at delicately restoring the strokes. In stark contrast, comparison methods manifest varying levels of quality degradation, leading to unstable recognition accuracy. Notably, although CoPaint can generate visually appealing images, its recognition outcomes are often erroneous. This can be attributed to the fact that HTR methods are sensitive to structural completeness. That is, even minor corrosion can mislead recognizers, resulting in incorrect outputs.

Ablation Study
Here we delve into the impact of various components within our proposed method. To maintain consistency, all experiments are conducted on the scene text image dataset, TII-ST. The recognition accuracy represents the average results derived from CRNN, ASTER, and MORAN.

Variants of the GSDM  In this study, we investigate the significance of different components within our GSDM. To do this, we directly applied different components to reconstruct the corrupted text images. The results, presented in Table 4, reveal the following insights: (1) The standalone SPM yields trivial results, attributable to the inherent limitations of the traditional U-Net model in generating diverse text image styles. (2) GSDM surpasses a singular reconstruction module, underscoring the benefits of integrating a global structure. (3) Compared to traditional noise-predicting diffusion methods, predicting the image denoted by $x$ emerges as significantly superior. A plausible reason behind this is the robustness introduced by this paradigm during training.

Effect of Sampling Strategy in RM  We conduct experiments to demonstrate the efficacy of the chosen sam-
Table 4: The performance of different architecture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Architecture</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>PSNR</th>
<th>SSIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66.59</td>
<td>25.90</td>
<td>0.8722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>ε</td>
<td>55.35</td>
<td>16.79</td>
<td>0.7007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>χ</td>
<td>69.40</td>
<td>32.59</td>
<td>0.9561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPM+RM</td>
<td>χ (ours)</td>
<td>56.10</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>0.7112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Performance of various sampling strategies in our reconstruction module. The “Step” indicates the number of sampling steps during inference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>PSNR</th>
<th>SSIM</th>
<th>Time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Markov</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>66.23</td>
<td>30.51</td>
<td>0.9386</td>
<td>1.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>68.35</td>
<td>32.05</td>
<td>0.9535</td>
<td>8.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>68.21</td>
<td>32.28</td>
<td>0.9401</td>
<td>17.560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Markov</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71.73</td>
<td>33.28</td>
<td>0.9596</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>69.38</td>
<td>33.03</td>
<td>0.9582</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>68.96</td>
<td>32.87</td>
<td>0.9575</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: The performance of different training objectives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>( \mathcal{L}_{\text{rec}} )</th>
<th>( \mathcal{L}_{\text{cha}} )</th>
<th>( \mathcal{L}_{\text{sty}} )</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>PSNR</th>
<th>SSIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>69.80</td>
<td>33.34</td>
<td>0.9600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>0.9598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>70.19</td>
<td>33.30</td>
<td>0.9598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>70.09</td>
<td>33.31</td>
<td>0.9598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>71.73</td>
<td>33.28</td>
<td>0.9596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vi)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>71.73</td>
<td>33.28</td>
<td>0.9596</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of the Training Objective

In this study, we investigate the training objective of the proposed GSDM. It is noted that the baseline is primarily optimized by \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{pix}} \) and \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{rec}} \). The results in Table 6 show that: (1) Even when constrained by basic loss functions, our baseline demonstrates superior recognition performance compared to the state-of-the-art blind method (Zhao et al. 2022) (69.80 vs. 67.19). (2) The recognition performance of GSDM is significantly improved by including more types of loss functions. Notably, the synergistic optimization effect of \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{cha}} \) and \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{sty}} \), which aim to maintain semantic consistency, greatly outperforms each of them (See (iii)–(v)). (3) Unlike the improvement in recognition performance, there is no significant change in image quality. This may be attributed to two factors. On one hand, our robust diffusion-based baseline is capable of producing high-quality images. On the other hand, all these loss functions are exerted on SPM, enabling RM to generate more accurate text content.

Improvement on Scene Text Editing

To further evaluate the improvement of text inpainting tasks in downstream applications, we conduct a preliminary experiment on scene text editing. This task involves replacing text within a scene image with new content while preserving the original style, as described in (Wu et al. 2019). Such an approach has proven invaluable in real-world applications, including augmented reality translation. We adopted the recent MOSTEL framework (Qu et al. 2023) to demonstrate the significance of our task. As shown in Figure 6, edits made on corrupted images are often unsatisfactory. In addition, the subpar inpainting performance of several comparison methods introduces artifacts into the text editing process. Some methods, such as DDIM, generate images that MOSTEL struggles to model effectively. In contrast, the repaired images from our proposed GSDM model yield consistently high-quality results, comparable to those from unaltered images. This finding underscores the importance of prioritizing image quality in inpainting tasks.

Conclusion

Given the observation of corrosion issues in real-world text, we study a new task: text image inpainting, aiming to repair corrupted images. To this end, we develop two datasets tailored for the target task, namely TII-ST and TII-HT. Concurrently, a novel approach, the Global Structure-guided Diffusion Model (GSDM), is proposed to fulfill text inpainting. Although text image inpainting is a challenging task, comprehensive experiments verify the effectiveness of our method, which enhances both image quality and the performance of the downstream recognition task. We believe the proposed task in this paper introduces a new branch for image inpainting, which will pose considerable significance in repairing text images in real-world scenarios. Future studies include improving the inpainting performance and exploring the applications that benefited from the proposed task.
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