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Abstract
Readers of novels need to identify and learn about the characters as they develop an understanding of the plot. The paper presents an end-to-end automated pipeline for literary character identification and ongoing work for extracting and comparing character representations for full-length English novels. The character identification pipeline involves a named entity recognition (NER) module with F1 score of 0.85, a coreference resolution module with F1 score of 0.76, and a disambiguation module using both heuristic and algorithmic approaches. Ongoing work compares event extraction as well as speech extraction pipelines for literary characters representations with case studies. The paper is the first to my knowledge that combines a modular pipeline for automated character identification and representation extraction and comparisons for full-length English novels.

Introduction
Literary characters play an integral role in plot development and narrative understanding. The digitization of literary databases and the advent of automated information retrieval have enabled computational character extraction and understanding, from a hierarchical Bayesian model for character types inference in 18th and 19th-century English novels (Bamman, Underwood, and Smith 2014), to a ‘narratologically’ grounded definition of character and a supervised classifier to identify characters in Russian folktales (Jahan and Finlayson 2019). However, there has been no work on an end-to-end character identification pipeline for full-length novels with the most recent natural language processing modeling techniques, and few have focused on extracting and comparing dense vector representations of literary characters from narratology grounded definitions. This paper has the following two contributions: 1) A modular pipeline to automatically extract literary characters from an unstructured text of a full-length novel that achieves reasonable performance for Jane Austen’s Sense and Sensibility. 2) Quantified embedding representation extractions and comparisons for literary characters from narrative theory’s definitions of literary events and speech.

Named Entity Recognition
The first module in the character identification pipeline involves extracting entities from an unstructured text with a Named Entity Recognition (NER) model. As the literary domain poses unique challenges given varying styles and structures, the LitBank NER dataset that covers 100 English novels (Bamman, Popat, and Shen 2019) was chosen for model training. While LitBank collects up to 4 nested layers of entity labels for each token, to obtain the most information for each mention, only the outermost nested mention layer (with the longest spans) is collected.

I have trained and compared 4 separate model architectures: 1) CRF (baseline) with word identity (e.g. case, digit), word suffix, word shape, and part of speech tags; 2) LSTM; 3) Bi-LSTM-GloVe consisting of an embedding layer, which is then passed through a dropout layer and a Bi-LSTM layer; 4) Bi-LSTM-CRF-GloVe that combines previous attempts with CRF-Bi-LSTM (Huang, Xu, and Yu 2015) with its proven robustness and inexpensiveness to train; I also applied Glove (Pennington, Socher, and Manning 2014) word embeddings for introducing context and replaced rare class labels (i.e., VEH (vehicle) and ORG (organization)) unimportant for performance to ‘O’ tags. Overall, the weighted average of precision, recall, and F1 score for each class are used to evaluate the NER model as an aggregated overall performance below on the Litbank dataset:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRF</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiLSTM</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiLSTM-CRF</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Averaged results for NER models

The Bi-LSTM-CRF model with GloVe embedding and rare label classes removed achieved F1 score of 0.85 and outperforms the CRF baseline by over 20 percentage points, and was used for the downstream pipeline.

Coreference Resolution
Coreference Resolution is the second module in the character identification pipeline where it links expressions that refer to the same entity. Toward the goal of improving perfor-
While a quantitative evaluation framework for the character identification pipeline, my ongoing work involves a comparison on character representation from two narratology definitions: 1) character as a representation of literary events; 2) character being represented by their direct speech. I have built an unsupervised event extraction pipeline inspired from (Chambers and Jurafsky 2008) that mines event clusters per literary character; a representation extraction and comparison pipeline for both contextualized embeddings (i.e., BERT) and static embeddings (i.e., GloVe) and uses clustering and distance metrics for characters comparison. I am also designing a speech-based representation pipeline to contrast characters from their actions versus speech.
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Ongoing Work: Character Representation

Aside from the quantitative evaluation framework for the character identification pipeline, my ongoing work involves a comparison on character representation from two narratology definitions: 1) character as a representation of literary events; 2) character being represented by their direct speech. I have built an unsupervised event extraction pipeline inspired from (Chambers and Jurafsky 2008) that mines event clusters per literary character; a representation extraction and comparison pipeline for both contextualized embeddings (i.e., BERT) and static embeddings (i.e., GloVe) and uses clustering and distance metrics for characters comparison. I am also designing a speech-based representation pipeline to contrast characters from their actions versus speech.