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Abstract

We study the convergence rate of gradient-based local search methods for solving low-rank matrix recovery problems with general objectives in both symmetric and asymmetric cases, under the assumption of the restricted isometry property.

First, we develop a new technique to verify the Polyak–Lojasiewicz inequality in a neighborhood of the global minimizers, which leads to a local linear convergence region for the gradient descent method. Second, based on the local convergence result and a sharp strict saddle property proven in this paper, we present two new conditions that guarantee the global linear convergence of the perturbed gradient descent method. The developed local and global convergence results provide much stronger theoretical guarantees than the existing results. As a by-product, this work significantly improves the existing bounds on the RIP constant required to guarantee the non-existence of spurious solutions.

Introduction

The low-rank matrix recovery problem is to recover an unknown low-rank ground truth matrix from certain measurements. This problem has a variety of applications in machine learning, such as recommendation systems (Koren, Bell, and Volinsky 2009) and motion detection (Zhou, Yang, and Yu 2013; Fattahi and Sojoudi 2020), and in engineering problems, such as power system state estimation (Zhang, Madani, and Lavaei 2018).

In this paper, we consider two variants of the low-rank matrix recovery problem with a general measurement model represented by an arbitrary smooth function. The first variant is the symmetric problem, in which the ground truth \( M^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) is a symmetric and positive semidefinite matrix with \( \text{rank}(M^*) = r \), and \( M^* \) is a global minimizer of some loss function \( f_s \). Then, \( M^* \) can be recovered by solving the optimization problem:

\[
\min_{M \succeq 0, M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} f_s(M) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \text{rank}(M) \leq r,
\]

Note that minimizing \( f_s(M) \) over positive semidefinite matrices without the rank constraint would often lead to finding a solution with the highest-rank possible rather than the rank-constrained solution \( M^* \). The second variant of the low-rank matrix recovery problem to be studied is the asymmetric problem, in which \( M^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \) is a possibly non-square matrix with \( \text{rank}(M^*) = r \), and it is a global minimizer of some loss function \( f_a \). Similarly, \( M^* \) can be recovered by solving

\[
\min_{M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}} f_a(M) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \text{rank}(M) \leq r,
\]

As a special case, the loss function \( f_a \) or \( f_s \) can be induced by linear measurements. In this situation, we are given a linear operator \( A : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^p \) or \( A : \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \to \mathbb{R}^p \), where \( p \) denotes the number of measurements. To recover \( M^* \) from the vector \( d = A(M^*) \), the function \( f_s(M) \) or \( f_a(M) \) is often chosen to be

\[
\frac{1}{2} \| A(M) - d \|^2.
\]

Besides, there are many natural choices for the loss function, such as a nonlinear model associated with the 1-bit matrix recovery (Davenport et al. 2014). The symmetric problem (1) can be transformed into an unconstrained optimization problem by factoring \( M \) as \( XX^T \) with \( X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r} \), which leads to the following equivalent formulation:

\[
\min_{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}} f_s(XX^T).
\]

In the asymmetric case, one can similarly factor \( M \) as \( UV^T \) with \( U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r} \) and \( V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r} \). Note that \((UP \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (P-1)T})\) gives another possible factorization of \( M \) for any invertible matrix \( P \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r} \). To reduce the redundancy, a regularization term is usually added to the objective function to enforce that the factorization is balanced, i.e., \( U^T U = V^T V \) is satisfied (Tu et al. 2016). Since every factorization can be converted into a balanced one by selecting an appropriate \( P \), the original asymmetric problem (2) is equivalent to

\[
\min_{U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}, V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}} f_a(UV^T) + \phi \| U^T U - V^T V \|_F^2,
\]

where \( \phi > 0 \) is an arbitrary constant.

To handle the symmetric and asymmetric problems in a unified way, we will use the same notation \( X \) to denote the
matrix of decision variables in both cases. In the symmetric case, \( X \) is obtained from the equation \( M = XX^T \). In the asymmetric case, \( X \) is defined as

\[
X = \begin{bmatrix} U \\ V \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times r}.
\]

To rewrite the asymmetric problem (5) in terms of \( X \), we apply the technique in Tu et al. (2016) by defining an auxiliary function \( F : \mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times (n+m)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) as

\[
F \left( \begin{bmatrix} N_{11} & N_{12} \\ N_{21} & N_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{2} (f_s(N_{12}) + f_s(N_{21}^T)) + \frac{\eta}{4} (\|N_{11}\|_F^2 + \|N_{22}\|_F^2 - \|N_{12}\|_F^2 - \|N_{21}\|_F^2),
\]

in which the argument of the function \( F \) is partitioned into four blocks, denoted as \( N_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, N_{12} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, N_{21} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, N_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \). The problem (5) then reduces to

\[
\min_{X \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times r}} F(XX^T),
\]

which is a special case of the symmetric problem (4). Henceforth, the objective functions of the two problems will be referred to as \( g_s(X) = f_s(XX^T) \) and \( g_a(X) = F(XX^T) \), respectively.

The unconstrained problems (4) and (5) are often solved by local search algorithms, such as the gradient descent method, due to their efficiency in handling large-scale problems. Since the objective functions \( g_s(X) \) and \( g_a(X) \) are nonconvex, local search methods may converge to a spurious (non-global) local minimum. To guarantee the absence of such spurious solutions, the restricted isometry property (RIP) defined below is the most common condition imposed on the functions \( f_s \) and \( f_a \) (Bhojanapalli, Neyshabur, and Srebro, 2016; Ge, Jin, and Zheng, 2017; Zhu et al., 2018; Zhang et al. 2018b,a; Zhang, Sojoudi, and Lavaei 2019; Ha, Liu, and Barber 2020; Zhang and Zhang 2020; Bi and Lavaei 2020; Zhang, Bi, and Lavaei 2021; Zhang, 2021).

**Definition 1** (Recht, Fazel, and Parrilo (2010); Zhu et al. (2018)). A twice continuously differentiable function \( f_s : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) satisfies the restricted isometry property of rank \( (2r_1, 2r_2) \) for a constant \( \delta \in [0,1) \), denoted as \( \delta \text{-RIP}_{2r_1,2r_2} \), if

\[
(1 - \delta) \|K\|_F^2 \leq \|\nabla^2 f_s(M) (K, K) \|_F^2 \leq (1 + \delta) \|K\|_F^2
\]

holds for all matrices \( M, K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) with rank(\( M \)) \leq 2r_1 and rank(\( K \)) \leq 2r_2. In the case when \( r_1 = r_2 = r \), the notation RIP_{2r,2r} will be simplified as RIP_{2r}. A similar definition can be also made for the asymmetric loss function \( f_a \).

The state-of-the-art results on the non-existence of spurious local minima are presented in Zhang, Bi, and Lavaei (2021); Zhang (2021). Zhang, Bi, and Lavaei (2021) shows that the problem (4) or (5) is devoid of spurious local minima if i) the associated function \( f_s \) or \( f_a \) satisfies the \( \delta \text{-RIP}_{2} \) property with \( \delta < 1/2 \) in case \( r = 1 \), ii) the function \( f_s \) or \( f_a \) satisfies the \( \delta \text{-RIP}_{2r} \) property with \( \delta \leq 1/3 \) in case \( r > 1 \). Zhang (2021) further shows that a special case of the symmetric problem (4) does not have spurious local minima if iii) \( f_a \) is in the form (3) given by linear measurements and satisfies the \( \delta \text{-RIP}_{2r} \) property with \( \delta < 1/2 \). The absence of spurious local minima under the above conditions does not automatically imply the existence of numerical algorithms with a fast convergence to the ground truth. In this paper, we will significantly strengthen the above two results by establishing the global linear convergence for problems with an arbitrary rank \( r \) and a general loss function \( f_s \) or \( f_a \) under the almost same RIP assumption as above, i.e., \( \delta < 1/2 \) for the symmetric problem and \( \delta < 1/3 \) for the asymmetric problem.

One common approach to establish fast convergence is to first show that the objective function has favorable regularity properties, such as strong convexity, in a neighborhood of the global minimizers, which guarantees that common iterative algorithms will converge to a global minimizer at least linearly if they are initialized in this neighborhood. Second, given the local convergence result, certain algorithms can be utilized to reach the above neighborhood from an arbitrary initial point. Note that randomization and stochasticity are often needed in those algorithms to avoid saddle points that are far from the ground truth, such as random initialization (Lee et al. 2016) or random perturbation during the iterations (Ge et al. 2015; Jin et al. 2017). In this paper, we deal with the two above-mentioned aspects for the low-rank matrix recovery problem separately.

**Notations and Conventions**

In this paper, \( I_n \) denotes the identity matrix of size \( n \times n \), \( A \otimes B \) denotes the Kronecker product of matrices \( A \) and \( B \), and \( A \succeq 0 \) means that \( A \) is a symmetric and positive semidefinite matrix. \( \sigma_i(A) \) denotes the \( i \)-th largest singular value of the matrix \( A \). \( A = \text{vec}(A) \) is the vector obtained from stacking the columns of a matrix \( A \). For a vector \( A \) of dimension \( n^2 \), its symmetric matricization \( \text{mat}_S(A) \) is defined as \( (A + A^T)/2 \) with \( A \) being the unique matrix satisfying \( A = \text{vec}(A) \). For two matrices \( A \) and \( B \) of the same size, their inner product is denoted as \( \langle A, B \rangle = tr(A^T B) \) and \( \|A\|_F = \sqrt{\langle A, A \rangle} \) denotes the Frobenius norm of \( A \). Given a matrix \( M \) and a set \( Z \) of matrices, define

\[
\text{dist}(X, Z) = \min_{Z \in Z} \|X - Z\|_F.
\]

Moreover, \( \|v\| \) denotes the Euclidean norm of a vector \( v \). The action of the Hessian \( \nabla^2 f(M) \) of a matrix function \( f \) on any two matrices \( K \) and \( L \) is given by

\[
[\nabla^2 f(M)](K, L) = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial M_{ij} \partial M_{kl}} (M) K_{ij} L_{kl}.
\]

**Summary of Main Contributions**

For the local convergence, we prove that a regularity property named the Polyak–Lojasiewicz (PL) inequality always holds in a neighborhood of the global minimizers. The PL inequality is significantly weaker than the regularity condition used in previous works to study the local convergence of the low-rank matrix recovery problem, while it still guarantees a linear convergence to the ground truth. Hence, as will be compared with the prior literature, not only are the
obtained local regularity regions remarkably larger than the existing ones, but also they require significantly weaker RIP assumptions. Specifically, if \( f_s \) satisfies the \( \delta \)-RIP\(_{2r} \) property for an arbitrary \( \delta \), we will show that there exists some constant \( \mu > 0 \) such that the objective function \( g_s \) of the symmetric problem (4) satisfies the PL inequality
\[
\frac{1}{2} \| \nabla g_s(X) \|^2 \geq \mu (g_s(X) - f_s(M^*))
\]
for all \( X \) in the region
\[
\{ X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r} | \text{dist}(X, Z) \leq \hat{C} \}
\]
with
\[
\hat{C} < \sqrt{2(\sqrt{2} - 1)} \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} \sigma_r(M^*)^{1/2}.
\]
Here, \( \text{dist}(X, Z) \) is the Frobenius distance between the matrix \( X \) and the set \( Z \) of global minimizers of the problem (4). A similar result will also be derived for the asymmetric problem (5). Based on these results, local linear convergence can then be established. Compared with the previous results, our new results are advantageous for two reasons. First, the weaker RIP assumptions imposed by our results allow them to be applicable to a much broader class of problems, especially those problems with nonlinear measurements where the RIP constant of the loss function \( f_s \) or \( f_a \) varies at different points. In this case, the region in which the RIP constant is below the previous bounds may be significantly small or even empty, while the region satisfying our bounds is much larger since the radius of the region is increased by more than a constant factor. Second, when the RIP constant is large and global convergence cannot be established due to the existence of spurious solutions, the enlarged local regularity regions identified in this work can reduce the sample complexity to find the correct initial point converging to the ground truth. This has a major practical value in problems like data analytics in power systems (Jin et al. 2021) where the ground truth. This has a major practical value in problems like data analytics in power systems (Jin et al. 2021) where the

**Assumptions**

The assumptions required in this work will be introduced below. To avoid using different notations for the symmetric and asymmetric problems, we use the universal notation \( f(M) \) henceforth to denote either \( f_s(M) \) or \( f_a(M) \). Similarly, \( M^* \) denotes the ground truth in either of the cases.

**Assumption 1.** The function \( f \) is twice continuously differentiable and Lipschitz continuous for some constant \( \rho_1 \), i.e., the inequality
\[
\| \nabla f(M) - \nabla f(M') \|_F \leq \rho_1 \| M - M' \|_F
\]
holds for all matrices \( M, M' \) with \( \text{rank}(M) \leq r \) and \( \text{rank}(M') \leq r \). The Hessian of the function \( f \) is also \( \rho_2 \)-restricted Lipschitz continuous for some constant \( \rho_2 \), i.e., the inequality
\[
| \nabla^2 f(M) - \nabla^2 f(M')(K, K) | \leq \rho_2 \| M - M' \|_F \| K \|_F^2
\]
holds for all matrices \( M, M', K \) with \( \text{rank}(M) \leq r \), \( \text{rank}(M') \leq r \) and \( \text{rank}(K) \leq 2r \).

**Assumption 2.** The function \( f \) satisfies the \( \delta \)-RIP\(_{2r} \) property. Furthermore, \( \rho_1 \) in Assumption 1 is chosen to be large enough such that \( \rho_1 \geq 1 + 2\delta \).

**Assumption 3.** The ground truth \( M^* \) satisfies \( \| M^* \|_F \leq D \), and the initial point \( X_0 \) of the local search algorithm also satisfies \( \| X_0X_0^T \|_F \leq D \), where \( D \) is a constant given by the prior knowledge (every large enough \( D \) satisfies this assumption).

**Assumption 4.** In the asymmetric problem (5), the coefficient \( \phi \) of the regularization term is chosen to be \( \phi = (1 - \delta)/2 \).

Note that the results of this paper still hold if the gradient and Hessian of the function \( f \) are restricted Lipschitz continuous only over a bounded region. Here, for simplicity we assume that these properties hold for all low-rank matrices.

As mentioned before Definition 1, the RIP-related Assumption 2 is a widely used assumption in studying the landscape of low-rank matrix recovery problems, which is satisfied in a variety of problems, such as those for which \( f \) is given by a sufficiently large number of random Gaussian linear measurements (Candès and Plan 2011). Moreover, in the case when the function \( f \) does not satisfy the RIP assumption globally, it often satisfies RIP in a neighborhood of the global minimizers, and the theorems in this paper can still be applied to obtain local convergence results.

For the asymmetric problem, it can be verified that, by choosing the coefficient \( \phi \) of the regularization term as in Assumption 4, the function \( F \) in (7) satisfies the \( 2\delta/(1 + \delta) \)-RIP\(_{2r} \) property after scaling (see Zhang, Bi, and Lavaei (2021)). Other values of \( \phi \) can also lead to the RIP property on \( F \), but the specific value in Assumption 4 is the one minimizing the RIP constant. Furthermore, if \( M^* = U^*V^*T \) is a balanced factorization of the ground truth \( M^* \), then
\[
\tilde{M}^* = \begin{bmatrix} U^* & V^* \\ V^* & V^* \\ U^* & U^* \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times (n+m)}
\]
is called the augmented ground truth, which is obviously a global minimizer of the transformed asymmetric problem (7). \( \tilde{M}^* \) is independent of the factorization \( (U^*, V^*) \), and
\[
\| \tilde{M}^* \|_F = 2\| M^* \|_F \leq 2D, \quad \sigma_r(\tilde{M}^*) = 2\sigma_r(M^*).
\]
We include the proofs of the above statements in Appendix A for completeness. In addition, we prove in Appendix A that the gradient and Hessian of the function \( g_s \) in
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the symmetric problem (4) and those of the function \( g_a \) in the asymmetric problem (5) share the same Lipschitz property over a bounded region. Using the above observations, one can translate any results developed for symmetric problems to similar results for asymmetric problems by simply replacing \( \delta \) with \( 2\delta/(1 + \delta) \), \( D \) with \( 2D \), and \( \sigma_r(M^*) \) with \( 2\sigma_r(M^*) \).

**Related Works**

The low-rank matrix recovery problem has been investigated in numerous papers. In this section, we focus on the existing results related to the linear convergence for the factored problems (4) and (5) solved by local search methods. The major previous results on the local regularity property are summarized in Table 1. In this table, each number in the last column reported for the existing works denotes the radius \( R \) such that their respective objective functions \( g \) satisfy the \( (\alpha, \beta) \)-regularity condition

\[
\langle \nabla g(X), X - P_Z(X) \rangle \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{dist}(X, Z)^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \| \nabla g(X) \|_F^2
\]

for all matrices \( X \) with \( \text{dist}(X, Z) \leq R \). Here, \( Z \) is the set of global minimizers, and \( P_Z(X) \) is a global minimizer \( Z \in Z \) that is the closest to \( X \). The \( (\alpha, \beta) \)-regularity condition is slightly weaker than the strong convexity condition, and it can lead to linear convergence on the same region. In Table 1, we do not include specialized results that are only applicable to a specific objective (Jin et al. 2017; Hou, Li, and Zhang 2020), or probabilistic results for randomly generated measurements (Zheng and Lafferty 2015). Moreover, Li and Lin (2020); Zhou, Cao, and Gu (2020) used the accelerated gradient descent to obtain a faster convergence rate, but their convergence regions are even smaller than the ones based on the \( (\alpha, \beta) \)-regularity condition as listed in Table 1. Each number in the last column reported for our results refers to the radius of the region satisfying the PL inequality, which is a weaker condition than the \( (\alpha, \beta) \)-regularity condition while offering the same convergence rate guarantee. It can be observed that we have identified far larger regions than the existing ones under weaker RIP assumptions by replacing the \( (\alpha, \beta) \)-regularity condition with the PL inequality.

Regarding the existing global convergence results for the low-rank matrix recovery problem, Jin et al. (2017) established the global linear convergence for the symmetric problem in a very specialized case with \( f_r \) being a quadratic loss function, and Tu et al. (2016) proposed the Procrustes flow method with the global linear convergence for the linear measurement case under the assumption that the function \( f \) satisfies the 1/10-RIP_6r property for symmetric problems or the function \( f_a \) satisfies the 1/25-RIP_6r property for asymmetric problems under a careful initialization. Zhao, Wang, and Liu (2015) established the global linear convergence for asymmetric problems with linear measurements under the assumption that \( f_a \) satisfies \( \delta \)-RIP_2r with \( \delta \leq O(1/r) \) using alternating exact minimization over variables \( U \) and \( V \) in (5). In addition, the strict saddle property proven in Ge, Jin, and Zheng (2017) leads to the global linear convergence of perturbed gradient methods for the linear measurement case under the 1/10-RIP_2r assumption for symmetric problems and the 1/20-RIP_2r assumption for asymmetric problems. Later, Zhu et al. (2018) proved a weaker strict saddle property under the 1/5-RIP_2r,4r assumption for symmetric problems with general objectives, while Li, Zhu, and Tang (2017) proved the same weaker property under the 1/5-RIP_2r,4r assumption for asymmetric problems with general objectives and nuclear norm regularization. Our results requiring the \( \delta \)-RIP_2r property with \( \delta < 1/2 \) for symmetric problems with general objectives and the \( \delta \)-RIP_2r property with \( \delta < 1/3 \) for asymmetric problems with general objectives depend on significantly weaker RIP assumptions and thus can be applied to a broader class of problems, which is a major improvement over all previous results on the global linear convergence.

Besides local search methods for the factored problems, there are other approaches for tackling the low-rank matrix recovery. Earlier works such as Candès and Recht (2009); Recht, Fazel, and Parrilo (2010) solved the original nonconvex problems based on convex relaxations. Although they can achieve good performance guarantees under the RIP assumptions, they are not suitable for large-scale problems. Other approaches for solving the low-rank matrix recovery include applying the inertial proximal gradient descent method directly to the original objective functions without factoring the decision variable \( M \) (Dutta et al. 2020). However, it may converge to an arbitrary critical point, while in this paper we show that RIP-based local search methods can guarantee the global convergence to a global minimum.

**Local Convergence**

In this section, we present the local regularity results for problems (4) and (5), which state that the functions \( g_a \) and \( g_a \) satisfy the PL inequality locally, leading to local linear convergence results for the gradient descent method. The proofs are delegated to Appendix B.

First, we consider the symmetric problem (4). The development of the local PL inequality for this problem is enlightened by the high-level idea behind the proof of the absence of spurious local minima in Zhang, Sojoudi, and Lavaei (2019); Zhang and Lavaei (2020); Bi and Lavaei (2020). The objective is to find a function \( f \) corresponding to the worst-case scenario, meaning that it satisfies the \( \delta \)-RIP_2r property with the smallest possible \( \delta \) while the PL inequality is violated at a particular matrix \( X \). This is achieved by designing a semidefinite program parameterized by \( X \) with constraints implied by the \( \delta \)-RIP_2r property and the negation of the PL inequality. Denote the optimal value of the semidefinite program by \( \delta_f^*(X) \). If a given function \( f \) satisfies \( \delta \)-RIP_2r with \( \delta < \delta_f^*(X) \) for all \( X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r} \) in a neighborhood of the global minimizers, it can be concluded that the PL inequality holds for all matrices in this neighborhood.

**Lemma 1.** Consider the symmetric problem (4) and an arbitrary positive number \( C \) satisfying

\[
C < \sqrt{2(\sqrt{2} - 1)}\sqrt{1 - \frac{\delta^2}{2} \sigma_r(M^*)^{1/2}}.
\]
There exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that the PL inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \| \nabla g_s(X) \|_F^2 \geq \mu (g_s(X) - f_s(M^*))$$

holds for all matrices in the region

$$\{ X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r} | \text{dist}(X, Z) \leq \tilde{C} \},$$

where $Z$ is the set of global minimizers of the problem (4).

In the above, note that $\sigma_r(M^*)$ and thus $\tilde{C}$ are always positive because $M^*$ is assumed to be rank $r$. Both the $(\alpha, \beta)$-regularity condition used in the prior literature and the PL inequality deployed here guarantee a linear convergence if it is already known that the trajectory at all iterations remains within the region in which the associated condition holds. However, there is a key difference between these two conditions. The $(\alpha, \beta)$-regularity condition ensures that $\text{dist}(X, Z)$ is nonincreasing during the iterations under a sufficiently small step size, and thus the trajectory never leaves the local neighborhood. In contrast, the weaker PL inequality may not be able to guarantee this property. To resolve this issue, in our convergence proof we will adopt a different distance function given by $\| XX^T - M^* \|_F$. By Taylor’s formula and the definition of the $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$ property, we have

$$\frac{1 - \delta}{2} \| M - M^* \|_F^2 \leq f_s(M) - f_s(M^*) \leq \frac{1 + \delta}{2} \| M - M^* \|_F^2;$$

for all matrices $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with rank($M$) $\leq r$. Therefore, if $M, M' \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are two matrices such that $f_s(M) \leq f_s(M')$, then the inequality (11) implies that

$$\| M - M^* \|_F \leq \sqrt{\frac{1 + \delta}{1 - \delta}} \| M' - M^* \|_F.$$

Therefore, the distance function $\| XX^T - M^* \|_F$ is almost nonincreasing if the function value $g_s(X)$ does not increase. Combining this idea with the local PL inequality proved in Lemma 1, we obtain the next local convergence result.

**Theorem 2.** For the symmetric problem (4), the gradient descent method converges to the optimal solution linearly if the initial point $X_0$ satisfies

$$\| X_0X_0^T - M^* \|_F < 2(\sqrt{2} - 1)(1 - \delta)\sigma_r(M^*)$$

and the step size $\eta$ satisfies

$$1/\eta \geq 12\delta \tau^{1/2} \left( \frac{1 + \delta}{1 - \delta} \| X_0X_0^T - M^* \|_F + D \right).$$

Specifically, there exists some constant $\mu > 0$ (which depends on $X_0$ but not on $\eta$) such that

$$\| X_tX_t^T - M^* \|_F \leq (1 - \mu \eta)^{t/2} \sqrt{\frac{1 + \delta}{1 - \delta}} \| X_0X_0^T - M^* \|_F,$$

$$\forall t \in \{0, 1, \ldots \},$$

where $X_t$ denotes the output of the algorithm at iteration $t$.

Note that since the left-hand side of (13) is nonnegative, we have $0 \leq 1 - \mu \eta \leq 1$. As a remark, although our bound on the step size $\eta$ in Theorem 2 seems complex, it essentially says that $\eta$ needs to be small, and the upper bound on the acceptable values of the step size can be explicitly calculated out routinely after all the parameters of the problem are given. Furthermore, using the transformation from asymmetric problems to symmetric problems, one can obtain parallel results for the asymmetric problem (5) as below.

**Theorem 3.** Consider the asymmetric problem (5). The PL inequality is satisfied in the region

$$\{ X \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times r} | \text{dist}(X, Z) \leq \tilde{C} \},$$

Table 1: Previous local regularity results for the low-rank matrix recovery problems and the comparison with our results ("S", "A", "L" and "G" stand for the symmetric case, asymmetric case, linear measurement and general nonlinear function).
where $Z$ denotes the set of global minimizers and

$$\hat{C} < 2\sqrt{2-1}\sqrt{1+2\delta-3\delta^2} \sigma_r(M^*)^{1/2}.$$  

Moreover, local linear convergence is guaranteed for the gradient descent method if the initial point $X_0$ satisfies

$$\|X_0X_0^T - M^*\|_F < 4(\sqrt{2}-1)\frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}\sigma_r(M^*)$$

and the step size $\eta$ satisfies

$$1/\eta \geq 12\rho_1\rho^{1/2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1+3\delta}{1-\delta}} \|X_0X_0^T - \tilde{M}^*\|_F + 2D \right).$$

### Global Convergence

Having developed local convergence results, the next step is to design an algorithm whose trajectory will eventually enter the local convergence region from any initial point. The major challenge is to deal with the saddle points outside the local regularity region. One common approach is the perturbed gradient descent method, which adds random noise to jump out of a neighborhood of a strict saddle point. Using the symmetric problem as an example, the basic idea is to first use the analysis in Jin et al. (2017) to show that the perturbed gradient descent method will successfully find a matrix $X$ that approximately satisfies the first-order and second-order necessary optimality conditions, i.e.,

$$(\nabla g_s(X))_F \leq \kappa, \quad \lambda_{\min}(\nabla^2 g_s(X)) \geq -\kappa,$$  

(14)

after a certain number of iterations where the number depends on $\kappa$. Here, $\lambda_{\min}(\nabla^2 g_s(X))$ denotes the minimum eigenvalue of the matrix $G$ that satisfies the equation

$$(\text{vec}(U))^T G \text{vec}(V) = |\nabla^2 g_s(X)|(U, V),$$

for all $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$. The second step is to prove the strict saddle property for the problem, which means that for appropriate values of $\kappa$ the two conditions in (14) imply that $\|XX^T - M^*\|_F$ is so small that $X$ is in the local convergence region given by Theorem 2. After this iteration, the algorithm switches to the simple gradient descent method. This two-phase algorithm is commonly called the perturbed gradient descent method with local improvement (Jin et al. 2017), whose details are given by Algorithm 1 in Appendix C. The proofs in this section are also given in Appendix C.

In this section, we will present two conditions that guarantee the global linear convergence of the above algorithm. For symmetric problems, the next lemma provides the strict saddle property and fulfills the purpose for the second step mentioned above. Its proof is a generalization of the one for the absence of spurious local minima under the same assumption in Zhang (2021).

**Lemma 4.** Consider the symmetric problem (4) with $\delta < 1/2$. For every $C > 0$, there exists some $\kappa > 0$ such that for every $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ the two conditions given in (14) imply $\|XX^T - ZZ^T\|_F < C$.

The remaining step is to show that the trajectory of the perturbed gradient descent method will always belong to a bounded region in which the gradient and Hessian of the objective $g_s$ are Lipschitz continuous (see Appendix C). Combining the above results with Theorem 3 in Jin et al. (2017), we can obtain the following global linear convergence result.

**Theorem 5.** Consider the symmetric problem (4) with $\delta < 1/2$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, the perturbed gradient descent method with local improvement under a suitable step size $\eta$ and perturbation size $w$ finds a solution $X$ satisfying $\|XX^T - M^*\|_F \leq \epsilon$ with high probability in $O(\log(1/\epsilon))$ number of iterations. Here, $\eta$ and $w$ are defined in Algorithm I in Appendix C.

In the above theorem, the order $O(\log(1/\epsilon))$ of the convergence rate is determined by the number of iterations spent in the second phase of the algorithm, because the number of iterations in the first phase is independent of $\epsilon$. Note that we only show the relationship between the number of iterations and $\epsilon$, but the convergence rate also depends on the initial point $X_0$ and the loss function $f_s$. Moreover, although not being related to the final convergence rate, Theorem 3 in Jin et al. (2017) also shows that the number of iterations in the first phase is polynomial with respect to the problem size.

For asymmetric problems with arbitrary objectives and rank $r$, if we apply the transformation from asymmetric problems to symmetric problems and replace $\delta$ in Theorem 5 with $2\delta/(1+\delta)$, Theorem 5 immediately implies the following global linear convergence result.

**Theorem 6.** Consider the asymmetric problem (5) with $\delta < 1/3$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, the perturbed gradient descent method with local improvement under a suitable step size $\eta$ and perturbation size $w$ finds a solution $X$ satisfying $\|XX^T - M^*\|_F \leq \epsilon$ with high probability in $O(\log(1/\epsilon))$ number of iterations.

### Numerical Illustration

In this section, we conduct numerical experiments to demonstrate the behavior of the perturbed gradient descent algorithm for solving low-rank matrix recovery problems. The linear convergence rate observed for the examples below supports our theoretical analyses in the previous two sections.

In the first experiment, we consider the loss function (3) induced by a linear operator $A$ with

$$A(M) = \langle A_1, M \rangle, \ldots, \langle A_p, M \rangle.$$  

Here, each entry of $A_i$ is independently generated from the standard Gaussian distribution. As shown in Candès and Plan (2011), such linear operator $A$ satisfies RIP with high probability if the number of measurements is large enough. Since it is NP-hard to check whether the resulting loss function $f_s$ or $f_a$ satisfies the $\delta$-RIP$_p$, for certain $\delta$, the $\delta$ parameter is estimated as follows: For the symmetric problem (4), we first generate $10^4$ random matrices $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2r}$ with each entry independently selected from the standard Gaussian distribution, and then find the proper scaling factor $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and the smallest $\delta$ such that

$$(1 - \delta)\|XX^T\|_F^2 \leq \|\alpha A(XX^T)\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \delta)\|XX^T\|_F^2.$$
Figure 1: The trajectory of the perturbed gradient descent method for solving the low-rank matrix recovery problem. The marker in each figure shows the boundary of the local convergence region provided by Theorem 2. (a) A symmetric linear problem with \( r = 1, n = 40, p = 120 \) and \( \delta \) estimated to be 0.49. (b) An asymmetric linear problem with \( r = 5, n = 10, m = 8, p = 220 \) and \( \delta \) estimated to be 0.32. (c) The 1-bit matrix recovery problem with \( r = 5, n = 10 \). (d) The 1-bit matrix recovery problem with \( r = 2, n = 600 \).

holds for all generated matrices \( X \). The \( \delta \) parameter for the asymmetric problem (5) can be estimated similarly. After that, the ground truth \( M^* \) is generated randomly with each entry of \( X \) or \((U, V)\) independently selected from the standard Gaussian distribution. The initial point is generated in the same way.

Figure 1(a) and (b) show the difference between the obtained solution and the ground truth together with the norm of the gradient of the objective function at different iterations. The convergence behavior clearly divides into two stages. The convergence rate is sublinear initially and then switches to linear when the current point moves into the local region associated with the PL inequality. In Figure 1(a) and (b), the marker shows the first time when the current point falls into the local convergence region provided in Theorem 2 or Theorem 3. It can be seen that these theorems predict the boundary of the transition from a sublinear convergence rate to the linear convergence rate fairly tightly. After this point, \( O(\log(1/\epsilon)) \) additional iterations are needed to find an approximate solution with accuracy \( \epsilon \). On the other hand, the occasion when perturbation needs to be added is rare in practice since it is unlikely for the trajectory to be very close to a saddle point. However, such perturbation is necessary theoretically to deal with pathological cases.

Second, we consider the 1-bit matrix recovery (Davenport et al. 2014) with full measurements, which is a nonlinear low-rank matrix recovery problem. In this problem, there is an unknown symmetric ground truth matrix \( \tilde{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) with \( \tilde{M} \succeq 0 \) and \( \text{rank}(\tilde{M}) = r \). One is allowed to take independent measurements on every entry \( \tilde{M}_{ij} \), where each measurement value is a binary random variable whose distribution is given by \( Y_{ij} = 1 \) with probability \( \sigma(\tilde{M}_{ij}) \) and \( Y_{ij} = 0 \) otherwise. Here, \( \sigma(x) \) is commonly chosen to be the sigmoid function \( e^x/(e^x + 1) \). After a number of measurements are taken, let \( y_{ij} \) be the percentage of the measurements on the \((i, j)\)-th entry that are equal to 1. The goal is to find the maximum likelihood estimator for the ground truth \( M^* \), which can be formulated as finding the global minimizer \( M^* \) of the problem (4) with

\[
f_s(M) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{ij} M_{ij} - \log(1 + e^{M_{ij}}))
\]

To establish the RIP condition for the function \( f_s \) above, consider its Hessian \( \nabla^2 f_s(M) \) that is given by

\[
[\nabla^2 f_s(M)](K, L) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma'(M_{ij}) K_{ij} L_{ij}
\]
for every $M, K, L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. On the region

$$\{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid |M_{ij}| \leq 2.29, \forall i, j = 1, \ldots, n \}, \quad (15)$$

we have $1/12 < \sigma'(M_{ij}) \leq 1/4$, and thus the function $f_s$ satisfies the $\delta$-RIP$_2$ property with $\delta < 1/2$.

Note that due to the noisy measurements the global minimizer $M^*$ is not equal to $\hat{M}$ in general. However, for demonstration purposes we should know $M^*$ a priori, and hence we consider the case when the number of measurements is large enough such that $y_{ij} = \sigma(M_{ij})$ and $M^* = M$. In Figure 1(c), the ground truth and the initial point are generated randomly in the region (15). Here, we can observe a similar two-stage convergence behavior as in the example with linear measurements. We experiment on the same problem with a larger matrix size $n$ as shown in Figure 1(d), which also gives similar results.

Conclusion
In this paper, we study the local and global convergence behaviors of gradient-based local search methods for solving low-rank matrix recovery problems in both symmetric and asymmetric cases. First, we present a novel method to identify a local region in which the PL inequality is satisfied, and the same convergence property can also be guaranteed for asymmetric problems with $\delta < 1/3$. Compared with the existing results, these conditions are remarkably weaker and can be applied to a larger class of problems.
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