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Abstract

Self-Supervised monocular visual odometry (VO) is often cast into a view synthesis problem based on depth and camera pose estimation. One of the key challenges is to accurately and robustly estimate depth with occlusions and moving objects in the scene. Existing methods simply detect and mask out regions of occlusions locally by several convolutional layers, and then perform only partial view synthesis in the rest of the image. However, occlusion and moving object detection is an unsolved problem itself which requires global layout information. Inaccurate detection inevitably results in incorrect depth as well as pose estimation. In this work, instead of locally detecting and masking out occlusions and moving objects, we propose to alleviate their negative effects on monocular VO implicitly but more effectively from two global perspectives. First, a multi-scale non-local attention module, consisting of both intra-stage augmented attention and cascaded across-stage attention, is proposed for robust depth estimation given occlusions, alleviating the impacts of occlusions via global attention modeling. Second, adversarial learning is introduced in view synthesis for monocular VO. Unlike existing methods that use pixel-level losses on the quality of synthesized views, we enforce the synthetic view to be indistinguishable from the real one at the scene-level. Such a global constraint again helps cope with occluded and moving regions. Extensive experiments on the KITTI dataset show that our approach achieves new state-of-the-art in both pose estimation and depth recovery.

Introduction

Visual odometry (VO) aims to estimate the relative camera poses from image sequences. It has found applications in a variety of computer vision fields including autonomous driving (Engel et al. 2015; Engel, Schöps, and Cremers 2014; Mur-Artal, Montiel, and Tardos 2015), augmented reality (Yang et al. 2013; Davison et al. 2007), and interactive collaborative robotics (Geiger, Ziegler, and Stiller 2011). Early geometric-based approaches (Engel, Koltun, and Cremers 2018; Leutenegger et al. 2015; Liao et al. 2018; Engel, Sturm, and Cremers 2013) exploit artificially designed rigid transformation for VO. This results in sub-optimal performance when dealing with low texture, complex scene structures and occlusions. Moreover, they suffer from the problem of large-scale drift and the need for hand-crafted feature design. Recent methods (Xue et al. 2019; Henriques and Vedaldi 2018; Clark et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2017) utilize deep neural networks (DNNs) to solve the VO problem, because they are able to learn scale priors from large amounts of data and jointly learn the optimal feature representation. However, data annotation for VO is very costly, which limits the scalability of these supervised methods. As a result, self-supervised monocular VO (SSM-VO) has attracted increasing attention lately.

SSM-VO is often cast into a view synthesis problem based on solving two closely intertwined problems: monocular depth estimation and relative camera pose regression. The key challenge faced by an SSM-VO method is the presence of occlusions and moving objects in the scene (Figure 1). Existing SSM-VO approaches (Bian et al. 2019; Luo et al. 2018) typically leverage multiple frames and additional models (e.g., optical flow models) to estimate an occlusion mask. With the mask, partial view synthesis is performed by excluding the masked regions. However, occlusion and moving object detection itself is an unsolved problem. Inaccurate detection inevitably results in incorrect depth as well as pose estimation. These methods thus choose to use more...
than two consecutive frames to improve the mask detection performance, giving rise to higher computational cost.

Humans often pay attention to the global layout of the scene first when detecting occlusions. Inspired by this, in this work, a novel SSM-VO approach is proposed (see Figure 2) which effectively alleviates the negative effect of occlusions and moving objects from two global perspectives. To this end, first, a new attention module is introduced for robust depth estimation in an unsupervised manner. Adopting a deep encoder-decoder architecture, most existing deep SSM-VO methods (Zhou et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2017; Bian et al. 2019; Luo et al. 2018) use skip connections to concatenate multi-level features computed over different layers. However, this ignores the correlation of attention information at different levels. In contrast, we propose a new cascaded across-stage attention module that contains both intra-stage augmented attention and cascaded across-stage attention for accurate perception and better feature extraction. With such an attention module, the depth estimation model is able to exploit global and multi-scale information to better estimate the depth in the occluded regions. Second, adversarial learning is introduced in view synthesis. Unlike existing methods that utilize pixel-level losses on the quality of synthesised views, we enforce the synthetic view to be indistinguishable from the real one at the scene-level. Such a global constraint again makes our proposed model more robust against occluded and moving regions as shown in Figure 1. With the improved capability for coping with occlusions and moving objects, our proposed model is able to estimate both depth and pose with two frames only instead of three or five required by most existing methods.

Our main contributions are: (1) A novel attention module, consisting of both intra-stage augmented attention and cascaded across-stage attention, is proposed to learn a better global feature representation for multi-scale depth estimation as well as dealing with the problem of occlusion. (2) We also introduce an adversarial-learning based framework to enforce a global constraint on view synthesis so that the detrimental effect of occlusion and moving objects can be mitigated effectively. (3) Extensive experiments demonstrate that our proposed approach achieves new state-of-the-art in both unsupervised depth estimation and pose estimation on the KITTI dataset (Geiger et al. 2013).

Related Work

Self-Supervised VO

Existing self-supervised monocular VO (SSM-VO) methods differ mostly in how the correlation between consecutive frames in the video sequence can be utilized as a supervision signal. Zhou et al. (Zhou et al. 2017) leveraged the geometric correlation of monocular depth and camera pose based on warping nearby views to the target. GeoNet (Yin and Shi 2018) further proposed an adaptive geometric consistency check to improve the robustness of depth estimation. GANVO (Feng and Gu 2019) formulated unsupervised VO as a generative learning problem (Goodfellow et al. 2014) with a depth generator and a discriminator. Based on GAN-VO (Feng and Gu 2019), (Li et al. 2019) further proposed to learn a compact representation of frame-to-frame correlation, which is updated by incorporating sequential information (with LSTM). Similar geometric constraints were also used in (Li et al. 2018; Almalioglu et al. 2019; Iyer et al. 2018). However, the above methods simply estimate and mask out regions of occlusions locally by several convolutional layers without any global information, resulting in sub-optimal performance. This problem is exacerbated by using only pixel-level losses. Both issues are resolved in our model from two global perspectives.

Unsupervised Monocular Depth Estimation

Many existing SSM-VO methods (Zhou et al. 2017; Zhan et al. 2018; Yin and Shi 2018; Feng and Gu 2019; Li et al. 2019) rely on accurate depth estimation, which sometimes is studied as a standalone problem (Garg et al. 2016; Godard, Mac Aodha, and Brostow 2017; Godard et al. 2019). (Garg et al. 2016) is the first end-to-end unsupervised depth estimation model based on a photometric consistency constraint. MonoDepth (Godard, Mac Aodha, and Brostow 2017) replaced the use of explicit depth data during training with easier-to-obtain binocular stereo footage. It exploited epipolar geometry constraints and generated disparity images by training the network with an image reconstruction loss. Improved upon (Godard, Mac Aodha, and Brostow 2017), Godard et al. (Godard et al. 2019) proposed to upsample depth predictions at different scales into the input resolution and then minimize the photometric reprojection errors to reduce visual artifacts, significantly improving the quality of depth prediction. Recently, (Johnston and Carneiro 2020) proposed a self-attention module to explore non-contiguous region features for better depth estimation. However, all existing methods use skip connections to concatenate multi-level features, ignoring the correlation of attention information at different levels. Importantly, without modeling multi-level attention, they lack the tool to deal with difficult regions for depth estimation due to occlusions and moving objects. In our work, a novel cascaded across-stage attention mechanism is introduced for better feature extraction and more robust depth estimation.

Methodology

Robust Depth Estimation

As shown in Figure 2, our proposed model consists of a depth generator, an ego-motion generator, and a discriminator. The depth generator is used to estimate the depth map of a target frame. The performance of pose prediction relies on accurate depth estimation.

We adopt a multi-stage encoder-decoder architecture in our depth generator $G_d$ to generate multi-scale depth prediction. We use ResNet (He et al. 2016) as our encoder $E_d$, and a convolutional neural network with 5 layers as our decoder $D_d$. We denote the feature maps from encoder as $f_e$ and the feature maps from decoder as $f_d$, and they have the same spatial scale on the corresponding stage. The depth encoder $E_d$ encodes the input image $I_t$ at time $t$ and outputs a depth latent feature $z_d$, i.e., $E_d(I_t) = z_d$. After the encoding phase, the depth latent feature $z_d$ is decoded into a depth
map space \( \hat{D}_t \) using \( D_d(z_d) = \hat{D}_t \). The entire process of generating a depth map in the depth generator is given by
\[
G_d(I_t) = D_d(z_d) = D_d(E_d(I_t)) = \hat{D}_t.
\]

**Intra-Stage Augmented Attention.** Inspired by the non-local networks (Wang et al. 2018b; Buades, Coll, and Morel 2005), we design our intra-stage augmented attention in our depth generator \( G_d \), as shown in Figure 3. The intra-stage augmented attention bridges high-level and low-level features in each stage and captures long-range dependencies between the feature maps extracted from \( E_d \) and \( D_d \), to provide context for dealing with occlusion and misalignment.

Concretely, in our intra-stage attention, a non-local operation is defined on decoder feature maps \( f_d \) and encoder feature maps \( f_e \) as follows:
\[
k_i = \frac{1}{C(x, y)} \sum_{v_j} f(x_i, y_j) g(y_j),
\]
where \( x_i \) is the element of \( f_d \) and \( k_i \) is the element of our reconstructed feature maps (of the same size as \( f_d \)), whose response is to be computed with all possible \( y_j \) in \( f_e \). The pairwise function \( f(\cdot, \cdot) \) computes a scalar between \( x_i \) and \( y_j \), which represents the attention score between position \( i \) in decoder feature maps \( f_d \) and position \( j \) in encoder feature maps \( f_e \). The unary function \( g(\cdot) \) computes a representation in an embedded space of the encoder feature maps \( f_e \) at the position \( j \). The response is normalized by a factor \( C(x, y) \).

There are many functions that can be used to define \( f \), including Gaussian, embedded Gaussian, and dot product. In this work, we choose the embedded Gaussian function for \( f \):
\[
f(x_i, y_j) = e^{\theta(x_i)^T \phi(y_j)},
\]
where \( \theta(x_i) = W_\theta x_i \) and \( \phi(y_j) = W_\phi y_j \) are two embeddings in our module. The first is for our decoder feature maps \( f_d \), and the second is for our encoder feature maps \( f_e \). We set \( C \) as a softmax operation and have the self-attention:
\[
k_i = \frac{1}{\sum_{v_j} e^{\theta(x_i)^T \phi(y_j)}} e^{\theta(x_i)^T \phi(y_j)} g(y_j).
\]

The simplified representation for the above self-attention is:
\[
k = \text{softmax}(x^T W_\theta^T W_\phi y) g(y) = K g(y).
\]

Our intra-stage augmented attention block is defined as:
\[
z_i = W_z k_i + x_i,
\]
where \( k_i \) is given by Eq. (2), \( W_z \) is a weight matrix to be learned, and \( +x_i \) denotes a residual connection.

**Cascaded Across-Stage Attention.** Now an intra-stage non-local block has been introduced to each stage of the decoder, but there is still no connection among multiple non-local attention blocks. To overcome this drawback, we thus design cross-stage attention, as shown in Figure 2. We define the previous self-attention map (in the former stage) as \( K_{c-1} \). According to Eq. (5), the intermediate self-attention map of the current block is
\[
K = \text{softmax}(x^T W_\theta^T W_\phi y).
\]

For our cascaded across-stage attention module, we combine the previous self-attention maps \( K_{c-1} \) and the intermediate self-attention maps \( K \) to produce the current self-attention maps \( K_c \). We first upsample the \( K_{c-1} \) to the same size as the \( K \) and concatenate them on channels. Then, we perform dimensionality reduction through a fully-connected (FC) layer with sigmoid as follows:
\[
K_c = f_{\text{sig}}(\{K, u(K_{c-1})\}),
\]
where \( u \) is the upsampling operation, \( [\cdot, \cdot] \) denotes the concatenation, and \( f_{\text{sig}} \) is the FC layer with sigmoid.

**Robust Pose Estimation.** As the image sequence \( I = < I_{t-1}, I_t, I_{t+1} > \) is given to the pose generator as input, we choose \( I_t \) as the target view and \( I_s = < I_{t-1}, I_{t+1} > \) as the source view. The pose generator is used to regress the relative pose \( p \in \text{SE}(3) \) which is introduced by motion and temporal dynamics across frames. The image sequence is split into two pairs \( < I_{t-1}, I_t > \) and...
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Figure 3: Details of our intra-stage augmented attention. \( x/y \) denotes the decoding/encoding feature map \( f_x/ f_y \), and \( z \) denotes
the reconstructed feature map. The feature maps are shown as the shape of their tensors, e.g., \( B \times H \times W \times C \) for \( C \) channels
(proper reshaping is performed when noted) in batch size \( B \). “\( \odot \)” denotes matrix multiplication, and “\( \oplus \)” denotes element-wise
sum. The softmax operation is performed on each row. The orange boxes denote
1 \( \times 1 \times 1 \) convolutions.

\(< I_t, I_{t+1} >\), and we denote them as \( I_p \). The pose generator
takes the image pair \( I_p \) as input, and outputs 6-DoF pose values consisting of translation and rotation parameters. Following
(Godard et al. 2019), we use a separate network to
encode the image sequences for pose estimation. Given the
pose encoder \( E_p \), we can obtain the pose latent feature vector
\( z_p = E_p(I) \). The relative camera pose \( T_{t\rightarrow s} \) is generated
according to the pose latent feature vector \( z_p \) using the
pose decoder \( D_p \) as
\[
G_p(I_p) = D_p(z_p) = D_p(E_p(I_p)) = T_{t\rightarrow s}. \tag{9}
\]
In our pose generator, a vanilla self-attention module is also used to select the effective feature, and the re-weighted
pose feature vector is used for pose estimation:
\[
T_{t\rightarrow s} = f_{\text{sig}}(\text{att}(z_p)) = f_{\text{sig}}(z_p \circ f_{\text{sig}}(z_p)), \tag{10}
\]
where \( \text{att} \) means the vanilla self-attention module and \( \circ \)
denotes the dot product.

Adversarial Learning
To simplify the notations, we use \( G \) to represent the two
decoders, depth decoder \( D_d \) and pose decoder \( D_p \), and use \( z \) to represent the two features, depth latent feature \( z_d \)
and pose latent feature \( z_p \). The view synthesis process \( S(\cdot) \) can be defined as follows:
\[
S(z) = S(G(z)) = S(D_d(z_d), D_p(z_p)). \tag{11}
\]
The rendering of the reconstructed view \( \hat{I}_s \) is based on the
estimated depth map \( \hat{D}_t \) from the depth generator, the \( 4 \times 4 \)
camera transformation matrix \( \hat{T}_{t\rightarrow s} \) from the pose generator,
and the source view \( I_s \) as in (Fehn 2004). Let the homogeneous
coordinates of a pixel in the target view be \( p_t \), and the camera intrinsic matrix be \( K \). The coordinates of \( p_t \) are projected onto the source view \( p_s \) as
\[
p_s \sim K \hat{T}_{t\rightarrow s} D_t(p_t) K^{-1} p_t, \tag{12}
\]
where the coordinates of \( p_s \) take continuous values. We uti-
zilize differentiable bilinear interpolation (Zhou et al. 2016)
that has 4-pixel neighbours of \( p_s \) to approximate \( I_s(p_s) \):
\[
\hat{I}_s(p_t) = I_s(p_s) = \sum_{i \in \{\text{top,bottom}\}; j \in \{\text{left,right}\}} w_{ij} I_s(p_s^{ij}), \tag{13}
\]
where \( w_{ij} \) is the proximity value between the projected pixel
\( p_s \) and its neighbouring pixels \( p_s^{ij} (\sum_{i,j} w_{ij} = 1) \).
After view reconstruction, the view discriminator \( D \) is trained to discriminate the reconstructed image from the real
image. Following (Arjovsky, Chintala, and Bottou 2017) for
easy convergence, we use the Wasserstein distance in the ad-
versarial learning. Moreover, we simply remove the sigmoid
in the view discriminator. After the weights \( w \) are updated,
they are clipped into a stable range. For the discriminator,
the network is trained by optimizing the loss function \( L_d \):
\[
L_d = E_{\hat{I}_s \sim \hat{p}_{\text{data}}(t)}[D(I)] - E_{p_s \sim p(z)}[D(G(z))], \tag{14}
\]
where \( I \) is sampled from the data distribution \( p_{\text{data}} \).

Full Learning Objectives
To better mitigate the effect of occlusions and moving objects,
we generate multi-scale pixel-level soft masks \( \{ \hat{K}_s \} \) from our intra-stage augmented attention blocks, indicating
the belief of the network in where the synthesized view and the real target view can match. In each stage of intra-stage
augmented attention, \( \hat{K}_s \) is generated from \( K_s \) through a
convolutional layer. Note that our occlusion map \( \hat{K}_s \), as shown in Figure 5, benefits from our non-local attention,
which in turn helps to learn better attention at each stage of the
network. The view synthesis objective is weighted cor-
respondingly by minimizing the following photometric loss:
\[
L_p = \sum_{<I_1,I_2,...,I_N>_p} \sum_{p} \hat{K}_s \| I_t(p) - \hat{I}_s(p) \|_1, \tag{15}
\]
where \( I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_N \) denotes the training image sequence, \( p \) is the pixel coordinate index, and \( \hat{I}_s \) is the projected image of the source view \( I_s \) onto the target coordinate frame using relative pose and depth-based rendering. We choose the \( \hat{I}_1 \) loss due to its robustness to outliers.

To encourage nonzero predictions for occlusion maps \( K_s \) and prevent the network converge to a trivial solution, we define a regularization term \( L_{\text{reg}}(K_s) \) by minimizing the cross-entropy loss with constant label 1 at each pixel location, as in (Zhou et al. 2017). The regularization term is:

\[
L_{\text{reg}}(K_s) = \text{BCE}(K_s, 1),
\]

where 1 represents a tensor of all ones with the same dimension as \( K_s \), and \( \text{BCE} \) is the binary cross-entropy between the soft mask \( K_s \) and 1.

The overall appearance loss of the reconstructed image \( L_a \) is measured by both weighted photometric loss and structural similarity metric (SSIM) (Wang et al. 2004):

\[
L_a = L_{\text{reg}}(K_s) + (1 - \alpha)L_p + \alpha \frac{1}{2}(1 - \text{SSIM}(I(p), \hat{I}_s(p))),
\]

where the hyper-parameter \( \alpha \) is set to 0.85 in this work.

As the photometric loss is not informative in the low-texture or homogeneous region of the scene, we incorporate an edge-aware smoothness prior (Yin and Shi 2018) to regularize the estimated depth map:

\[
L_e = \sum_{p_t} |\nabla D(p_t)| \cdot (c^T|\nabla I(p_t)|)^T, \tag{18}
\]

where \( |\cdot| \) denotes the element-wise absolute operator, \( \nabla \) is the vector differential operator, and \( T \) denotes the transpose of image gradient weighting.

The full learning objectives for self-supervised monocular VO (SSM-VO) are defined as follows:

\[
L_{\text{final}} = \sum_l l^1d + w_1l^1a + w_2l^1e, \tag{19}
\]

where \( l \) indexes over different image scales and \( w_1, w_2 \) are the weighting hyper-parameters balancing these losses.

### Experiments

### Implementation Details

#### KITTI Dataset.

For single-view depth estimation, we select the popular KITTI raw dataset (Geiger et al. 2013) with the Eigen (Eigen, Puhrsch, and Fergus 2014) split and the pre-processing method in (Zhou et al. 2017) to remove static frames, as in (Yin and Shi 2018; Zou, Luo, and Huang 2018; Ranjan et al. 2019). This provides 39,810 monocular triplets for training and 4,424 for the test. Moreover, for pose estimation, the KITTI odometry dataset (Geiger, Lenz, and Urtasun 2012) is used for performance evaluation. Following (Zhan et al. 2018), sequences 00-08 and 09-10 are used for training and test, respectively.

#### Network Architecture.

The deep learning framework is implemented on PyTorch (Paszke et al. 2019). For the depth generator network, we use ResNet18 (He et al. 2016) as the encoder backbone of the depth generator. The decoder of the depth generator has sigmoids at the output and ELU nonlinearities (Clevert, Unterthiner, and Hochreiter 2015) elsewhere. We also scale the sigmoid output \( D_l \) of the depth decoder between 0.1 and 100 units. In addition, for the pose generator network, the backbone ResNet18 is modified as in (Godard et al. 2019).

#### Single-View Depth Estimation.

In the training phase, we use a snippet of three sequential video frames as a training sample, where we set the middle image as the reference...
Figure 4: Qualitative results obtained by various methods for depth estimation on the KITTI Raw Dataset. It is evident that the estimated depth using our method preserves more accurate boundaries between objects with finer details.

Figure 5: Visualization for our occlusion maps. The ego-motion is stationary in the first two columns while dynamic in the last column. For stationary scenarios, only moving objects are detected. In dynamic scenarios, occlusions (e.g., caused by traffic signs), moving objects, and truncation are detected at the same time. Our occlusion map \( \hat{K}_s \) helps to remove ambiguous areas in view synthesis, thereby improving both the depth prediction and relative pose estimation.

Table 2: Comparative results on the KITTI odometry dataset. The absolute trajectory error (ATE) is used as the evaluation metric. * denotes the authors’ updated results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Frames</th>
<th>Sequence 09</th>
<th>Sequence 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ORB-SLAM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zhou et al. 2017)*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeoNet (Yin and Shi 2018)*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ranjan et al. 2019)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANVO (Feng and Gu 2019)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDVO (Wang et al. 2018a)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.045 ± 0.018</td>
<td>0.033 ± 0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGANVO (Feng and Gu 2019)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.015 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mahjourian et al. 2018)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.010</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPC++ (Luo et al. 2018)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodepth2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.015 ± 0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bian et al. (Bian et al. 2019)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.015 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pose Estimation. We trained our models on sequences 0-8 from the KITTI odometry split and tested on sequences 9 and 10. The performance of pose estimation is evaluated using Absolute Trajectory Error (ATE) for both translation and rotation. For trajectory evaluation, we choose the evaluation metrics as in (Zhou et al. 2017; Yin and Shi 2018).

Comparison with the State-of-the-Art

Depth Estimation Results on KITTI Raw Dataset. Table 1 compares our proposed model with the existing self-supervised depth estimation methods. Note that most of the compared methods are based on deep learning and some results are updated according to the authors’ updated implementation. The comparative results in Table 1 show that our depth generator outperforms all self-supervised methods and achieves new state-of-the-art on this dataset. Particularly, with the same backbone, our model beats the latest and strongest competitor (Johnston and Carneiro 2020) on five out of seven metrics. The qualitative results for various depth estimation methods on the KITTI Eigen split are shown in Figure 4. It is evident that the estimated depth using our method preserves more accurate boundaries between
objects with finer details. This is mainly due to the proposed attention module which enables our model to better cope with occlusion and moving objects (see the visualization of our occlusion maps in Figure 5). Note that although these enhanced edges/details are relatively small in size and thus contribute little to various depth estimation metrics in Table 1, visual inspection on Figure 4 suggests that the improvement in depth estimation quality is significant.

### Pose Estimation Results on KITTI Odometry Dataset

We compare our proposed approach with recent SSM-VO methods. We also report the results of ORB-SLAM system (without loop closing) (Mur-Artal, Montiel, and Tardos 2015) as a reference. It is worth noting that our simple frame-to-frame pose estimation framework is not expected to beat a visual SLAM system, which has a strong back-end optimization system (i.e., bundle adjustment) for improving the performance. Also note that our pose generator takes only two frames as input whilst most other methods take more frames. The odometry results on the KITTI odometry dataset are shown in Table 2. Our proposed approach clearly outperforms other methods using the same 2 input frames and achieves similar results w.r.t. the methods using more input frames (3 or 5 frames). Some qualitative results (sequence 09) for visual odometry are shown in Figure 6.

### Ablation Study

#### Ablation Study for Our Full Model

We conduct ablation studies for our full model, which consists of three main components: intra-stage augmented attention (ISA), cascaded across-stage attention (CASA) and global constraint (GC). Specifically, ISA bridges high-level and low-level features in each sub-network and makes it focus more on the areas that are difficult to estimate from a global perspective; CASA enables our network to provide gradually refined attention and balance the attention map from different scales; GC is induced by adversarial learning and enforces the synthetic view to be indistinguishable from the real one to help cope with occluded and moving regions at the scene-level. Table 3 clearly demonstrates the contribution of each component to the overall performance.

#### Ablation Study for Our ISA Module

Note that ISA is crucial for feature selection during the whole training process. We compare our ISA with three alternative implementations: (a) ISA (inner): The self-attention, like the vanilla self-attention module in pose generator, implemented on depth latent feature $z_d$ existed in the inner of the depth generator. (b) ISA (stage-wise): The self-attention implemented on the feature maps $f_d$ at each stage of the depth decoder. (c) ISA (non-local): Traditional implementation of non-local self-attention on feature maps $f_d$ at each stage of the depth decoder. From Table 3, we can see that our ISA performs better than alternative implementations. More ablative results can be found in the suppl. material.

### Conclusion

We have proposed an adversarial-learning based framework for monocular VO which learns the depth estimation and view synthesis from a global perspective. We have also introduced a novel non-local attention module, consisting of both intra-stage augmented attention and cascaded across-stage attention, to learn a better feature representation for depth estimation and deal with the problem of moving objects and occlusions. With the proposed module, our global occlusion-aware approach to monocular VO achieves new state-of-the-art on the KITTI dataset.

---

Table 3: Ablation study for our proposed model. Notations: ISA – intra-stage augmented attention; CASA – cascaded across-stage attention; GC – global constraint. ISA (inner), ISA (stage-wise), and ISA (non-local) are alternatives of ISA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Abs Rel ↓</th>
<th>Sq Rel ↓</th>
<th>RMSE ↓</th>
<th>RMSE log ↓</th>
<th>δ &lt; 1.25 ↑</th>
<th>δ &lt; 1.25^2 ↑</th>
<th>δ &lt; 1.25^3 ↑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline (BL)</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>1.253</td>
<td>6.232</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL+ISA (inner)</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>1.248</td>
<td>5.393</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL+ISA (stage-wise)</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>5.412</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL+ISA (non-local)</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>1.072</td>
<td>5.339</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL+ISA (ours)</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>1.065</td>
<td>5.152</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL+ISA+CASA</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>4.963</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL+ISA+CASA+GC</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>4.827</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Qualitative results obtained by our proposed model for visual odometry on Sequence 09.
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