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Abstract
AI systems are breaking into new domains and applications, and it is pivotal to center humans in contemporary AI systems and contemplate what this means. This discussion considers three perspectives or human roles in AI as users, contributors, and researchers-in-training, to illustrate this notion.

Introduction
The state of AI makes it essential for a growing AI research community to consider what centering humans in AI involves. As a starting point intended to generate discussion, a subset of the many important roles humans take on in the AI ‘ecosystem’ are considered. The discussion highlights AI user, contributor, and researcher-in-training considerations.

User Perspectives
To exemplify the user role, consider three characteristics of human reactions, including in emotion or cognitive modeling, to illustrate the complexities in human-AI model design.

Ambiguity in Expression: Human emotion states are moderated by social and situational conventions (Alm 2012, and can be modulated through intervention (Kota, Gali, and Nwogu 2020). Affect states tend to be expressed in exaggerated ways in acted scenarios vs. more subtly in realistic contexts (Alm 2022), and emotional behaviors also vary in intensity or meaning (e.g., prosody in language has both emotive and grammatical functions). While there are prominent trends in emotion expression (Ekman 2016), analysis from ecologically valid elicitations indicate that variation in expression is fundamental (Alm 2022), rather than irregular.

Subjectivity in Perception: People do not always agree on how to interpret human behaviors (Alm 2011). This insight highlights the need for engaging multiple interpretations in modeling (Davani, Díaz, and Prabhakaran 2022). Interpersonal subjectivity appears guided by a core of shared interpretation with a substantial periphery, where systematic disagreements can occur (Hochberg et al. 2014; Alm 2022).

Accommodation in Interaction: Interlocutors accommodate to each other’s sociolinguistic and pragmatic cues (Beaver and Dénlinger 2022), or other features, e.g., a presenter’s delivery mode may influence a listener’s expressions (Medina et al. 2018). Interaction partners can also align to their visual cues time-wise (Wilkins and Nwogu 2020).

AI modeling should be cognizant of ambiguity in production, subjectivity in interpretation, and accommodation with convergence. Systems should, e.g., be trustworthy (Riedl 2019), accessible, socio-culturally inclusive and considerate. Respecting users, AI must avoid the possibility of adversarial use or putting users at risk for unexpected consequences.

Contributor Perspectives
Many AI systems are driven by data elicited from or labeled by humans, and developers’ decisions impact others. Some approaches may enhance the decision-making balance.

Interactive Machine Learning: Interactive methods (Holzinger 2016; Tegen, Davidsson, and Persson 2021), including active learning (Zhang, Strubell, and Hovy 2022), machine teaching (Zhu 2015), and evolutionary modeling, show promise in broadening development contributions (Amershi et al. 2014). While there are pros and cons to interactive feedback in learning, example benefits include sustainability and early intervention (Titung and Alm 2022).

Integrated and Mixed-initiative Frameworks: Using an integrated feedback-data collecting framework (Titung 2022) can enhance human-inspired, continual learning flexibility. Also, mixed-initiative paradigms (Alvarez et al. 2019) can ensure that creativity flows both ways—inspiring individuals (e.g., designers) and human-guided system adaptation.

The contributor role can equalize decisions, boost creativity, aid inspection, support efficiency, and help address bias or privacy issues early. It must avoid risks of exposing people to fatigue, frustration, stress, etc. (Llorà et al. 2005; Larson, Font, and Alvarez 2022; Tornblad et al. 2018).

Researcher-in-Training Perspectives
AI is spreading across new domains, tasks, and uses. AI research is multidisciplinary and benefits from multiple perspectives. In addition to improving and widening representation (Alm et al. 2024), there is also space and need for new research training frameworks that expose students early to AI training (Alm and Bailey 2022), and that include broader skill sets and knowledge beyond traditional training. To conclude, in addition to users and contributors in AI, to center humans, we must also consider how the next generation in AI is prepared and ensure wide access to enter AI research.
Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. DGE-2125362. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

References


